#### Illinois Wildlife Action Plan Update

# **1.** Green Cities - Metropolitan Areas Campaign

The Green Cities/Metropolitan Areas Campaign of the Illinois Wildlife Action Plan advances habitat conservation and restoration in support of wildlife species within the state's developed Metropolitan areas. Metropolitan areas have higher levels of human population density with greater levels of structural development. These areas, however, still sustain significant wildlife and, as a result, having a wildlife action plan dedicated to these areas is necessary to have a complete and effective wildlife management strategy. It is the goal of this campaign to look at the interaction of humans and natural resources to: provide recommendations to protect, maintain and enhance resource function and species survival in urban areas; and address urban development patterns to help establish resilient and vibrant Green Cities in Illinois.

Illinois' population is concentrated within a number of urban areas throughout Illinois. Urban areas were isolated first by using the US Census Bureau's Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSA)<sup>1</sup> designation as primary basis



Metropolitan/Urban Focus Areas

for identification. A Metropolitan Statistical Area has an urban core with a population of 50,000 or more and it can contain multiple counties that either include the core area or are integrated socially and economically into the urban core. For purposes of this campaign, eight Illinois Metropolitan/Urban Focus Areas have been identified: Rockford Metropolitan Area; Chicago Metropolitan Area; Quad Cities Metropolitan Area; Peoria Metropolitan Area; Bloomington & Champaign/Urbana Metropolitan Area; Springfield/Decatur Metropolitan Area; East St. Louis Metropolitan Area; and Carbondale/Marion Metropolitan Area. The whole of the Metropolitan Planning Area for the Quad Cities, Rockford, Peoria, and East St. Louis have also been included in the Focus areas shown. Please note that these defined areas do not contain the whole of Illinois' expanding communities and all recommendations in this section can be applied to other communities and cities throughout the State of Illinois. This campaign recommends focusing on the more densely populated urban<sup>2</sup> counties with these actions. (*See Green Cities APPENDIX 1 - Illinois Metropolitan/Urban Focus Areas: Maps and Statistical information*)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> **Metropolitan Statistical Area:** Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSA), as described by the U.S. Census Bureau using 2010 standards, must have at least one urbanized area of 50,000 or more inhabitants. The largest city in each MSA is designated a "principal city." Additional cities qualify if specified requirements are met concerning population size and employment. The title of each MSA consists of the names of up to three of its principal cities and the name of each state into which the metropolitan statistical area extends. For more information on MSA designation: http://www.census.gov/population/metro/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> An **Urbanized Area** is a statistical geographic entity designated by the Census Bureau, consisting of a central core and adjacent densely settled territory that together contain at least 50,000 people, generally with an overall population density of at least 1,000 people per square mile.

#### Why protect and enhance Metropolitan Area species and habitats in Illinois?

Cities and associated metropolitan areas are becoming increasingly important to global biodiversity conservation. Most cities were originally founded in places that are biodiverse and functionally valuable to society, such as in floodplains, along coasts, on islands, or near wetlands. Today, urbanization continues to expand into these valuable habitats and into the hinterland where society most often placed its biological reserves (McDonald et al. 2008). Species previously outside city limits may need to migrate through urban areas as they adjust to a changing climate (Hellmann et al. 2010).

In general as the world urbanizes we put pressure on species to make use of urban areas—to adapt and colonize. Some of Illinois' metropolitan areas contain important populations of rare species (e.g., Blanding's turtle and the prairie white-fringed orchid occur in the greater Chicago region), made more vulnerable to extirpation by their typically small population sizes and fragmented distribution patterns (McDonald 2013). Terrestrial natural areas in urban settings provide critical habitat for resident and migratory native species but tend to be small and isolated remnants of formerly widespread habitats that are progressively vulnerable to loss and degradation from a host of urban-centric stressors (Kowarik 2011; Cook et al. 2013). Often termed "green" or "natural infrastructure" by urban planners, the ecological functions of these natural areas and other undeveloped or formerly developed spaces provide crucial, but highly threatened, benefits to biodiversity and human communities of metropolitan regions (Goddard et al. 2011; Hostetler et al. 2011; Kattwinkel et al. 2011). Likewise, freshwater biodiversity is threatened by both water withdrawal for urban consumption (McDonald et al. 2011) and the addition of pollutants from urban stormwater, industrial, and residential sources (Alberti 2005; Blanco et al. 2011). These biodiversity impacts are all projected to accelerate as global urbanization trends continue to increase (McDonald 2013).

Twenty-six of the 32 Conservation Opportunity Areas (COAs) in Illinois are partially or totally located within the Metropolitan Statistical Areas of the Green Cities Campaign (*See Appendix 1, COA map and table*). This means that the bulk of the State's designated COAs are contained or intersect with existing urban and growth/projected growth areas. This is important for several reasons, as these COAs will come under continued threat due to development scenarios over the next several decades. But this also presents an opportunity to strengthen both the COAs and the Green Cities Campaign-- these areas in and around the COA's are where the people are, where the most "on the ground" conservation action is occurring, and where much of the private conservation funding is focused, particularly in northeastern Illinois. Because of the concentration of conservation professionals, concerned citizens and culture of working together to solve local problems, there exists the biggest potential and return on investment for collaboration, and partnerships to address the goals in both the Green Cities Campaign and the COAs.

Beyond benefits to wildlife, it has been repeatedly documented over the last decade that the integration of nature and wildlife habitat into, or back into, our cities and communities has multiple benefits to the social, economic and human health of the urban citizen. The introduction of increased natural resources into city neighborhoods through parks, urban tree canopy, stormwater best management practices, native plantings, stream buffering and linkages through trails have been shown to produce multiple benefits including; heat island reduction, flood reduction, increased groundwater recharge, and improvement in air and water quality. Along with wildlife benefits the "greening" of urban areas has also been shown to: improve community cohesion, aesthetics, and livability; reduce gray infrastructure costs, increase property values and enhance business districts; improve health and reduce noise pollution and crime; and increase access to and appreciation of nature.

The actions included within this campaign section are provided to help guide the next 10 years of implementation. While not an exhaustive list these actions have been identified to address the Green Cities Campaign. The campaign prioritizes the actions contained in this section as realistic, achievable and most needed within the next 10 years to best aid in meeting the overarching goals of all Campaigns of the Wildlife Action Plan:

1. Establish desired number and distribution of viable populations for each Species in Greatest Conservation Need (SGCN),

2. Manage habitats through promoting natural processes, desired structure, and disturbance regimes for the benefit of native species, and

3. Develop resiliency and connectedness into habitats so species can adjust to landscape and environmental changes.

# **2.** Goals of the Green Cities Campaign

Illinois' Metropolitan Areas support significant populations of Species in Greatest Conservation Need (SGCN), which include species identified by the Illinois Endangered Species Protection Board as Threatened or Endangered Species. These Illinois Metropolitan Areas also include a significant number of Illinois Nature Preserves and Illinois Natural Area Inventory sites, fall within designated IWAP Conservation Opportunity Areas, and Important Bird Areas (*See Green Cities Appendix for maps and further information*). The goals and actions identified within the Green Cities/Metropolitan Areas Campaign are critically important to supporting SGCN and the habitats upon which they depend. And collectively, these Metropolitan Areas provide valuable Statewide linkages for migratory species that are listed as SGCN.



Urban areas continue to expand, both in the Illinois and throughout the world. Cities contain a tremendous number of resources for species that can take advantage of them, and in some cases urban adapted populations exhibit higher survival rates and greater reproduction than their counterparts in more natural landscapes. As such, we should anticipate a wider range of species adapting to and making use of urban areas in the future. The trend towards increasing green space in cities will likely accelerate, providing additional habitat availability and complexity, and creating a wide range of niches for urban-adapted species.

Traditionally cities have been viewed as biodiversity dead zones, regions hostile to wildlife where animal species might be managed, but never conserved. However, new urban conservation ethics are now emerging, in part due to the rapidly urbanizing nature of the planet, and in part because formerly rare species such as the Butler's Gartersnake and Blackcrowned Night-heron have been found in

metropolitan areas. 'Reconciliation ecology', sometimes called 'win-win' ecology, is the branch of

conservation biology devoted to conserving species diversity in the heart of human-created ecosystems. Concepts from landscape ecology, animal behavior, conservation genetics and other fields can be applied to allow for healthy populations of wildlife in cities. These efforts can increase the connection of urban residents to nature and improve ecological literacy, and also help minimize conflict between humans and animals in urban spaces.

The Green Cities-Metropolitan Areas Campaign seeks to elevate the importance of Illinois Metropolitan areas for their significant habitats and species diversity, address the need to protect, enhance and expand significant habitat for species in Metropolitan areas, and replace former or existing land use policies and development patterns with a new paradigm that values wildlife and the habitats upon which they are dependent.

## The Green Cities Campaign Goals:

- 1. Protect, manage, and restore lands and waters of importance to SGCN.
- 2. Utilize elements of good preserve design to identify and preserve land that builds and connects large and small blocks of habitat.
- 3. Integrate wildlife and habitat conservation needs into local and regional planning,
- 4. Increase the ecosystem services<sup>3</sup> in Illinois urban areas through functioning and resilient natural habitats, connections and corridors, and site-scale practices.
- 5. Develop citizen awareness of natural resource and wildlife value to promote understanding and support for wildlife conservation.

# **3.** Stressors and Threats for the Green Cities Campaign

Illinois' large population of 12.8 million is identified in the 2010 U.S. Census figures as being 88% "urban." And though figures and definitions of urban areas can vary, the primary message is that most of Illinois' population, as it is across the nation, is economically linked to central urbanizing communities for work and goods and services. As noted above, many of these Metropolitan areas were originally targeted for settlement based on their abundant resources. The impact of development in these Metropolitan areas has included loss, degradation, and/or fragmentation of wildlife habitat and has degraded the ecosystem services provided by our lands and waters. Despite these impacts, Metropolitan areas support a disproportionately large number of SGCN in protected and unprotected habitats that materially add to the quality of life of those who live in Metropolitan Areas.

With close to 7000 units of local government, more than any other state in the nation, Illinois jurisdictional landscape is often noted for its fragmentation. This can be particularly apparent in land use planning, with development decisions made at the smallest local scale. Where other areas of the country can collaborate under a regional authority, allowing for comprehensive planning on scales such as watersheds, Illinois jurisdictions operate within their own boundaries, making cross-jurisdictional planning difficult or non-existent. Decades of fractured development have led to degraded water quality and polluted urban streams, flooding and stormwater issues, and loss of valuable habitat. Targeted actions will require collaboration between area conservation stakeholders to address the stressors and begin to change the standard development climate. Steps include the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Ecosystem services are the benefits people obtain from ecosystems. These include provisioning services such as food and water; regulating services such as flood and disease control; cultural services such as spiritual, recreational, and cultural benefits; and supporting services, such as nutrient cycling, that maintain the conditions for life on Earth.

need to organize as a conservation community, inventory and set conservation goals, and begin to educate and assist jurisdictions in charge of development.

Both urban development and agricultural practices put further stresses on Illinois wildlife through increased loss of habitat, nutrient loads, pesticides and erosion. Approximately 27 million acres or 75 percent of Illinois' total land area is under agriculture, with approximately 89 percent of Illinois agricultural land suitable for growing commodity crops. Removal of fencerow habitat and increased drainage of farmed wetlands continues to add to the loss of remnant habitat for many SGCN species. The monoculture in so much of our landscape has made remnant habitat protection in Illinois urban areas even more critical to Illinois SGCN.

In recent years, Illinois' population movement and development have primarily occurred in suburban areas on the fringes of larger Metropolitan areas. Though the pace of sprawl development has slowed, this urban fringe pattern continues, increasing the amount of developed land and degrading and fragmenting already limited wildlife habitat. Lack of integration of natural resource function in development patterns continues to exacerbate flooding, water quality impairments, exposure to invasive species, and heat and atmospheric pollutants. Illinois Metropolitan areas are also hubs for transportation of goods and products resulting in increased vulnerability to foreign invasive pests, pathogens and species. There is a critical need to improve urban planning efforts to include open space and wildlife needs into Metropolitan areas but there are numerous obstacles and threats that need to be addressed.

See other Campaigns for additional stressors identification in direct relation to habitats.

## **STRESSORS/THREATS**

#### **Habitat Stresses:**

#### Extent (amount of habitat)

• Loss of species habitat due to competing human demands on land use.

#### **Fragmentation**

- Fragmentation of habitats from roads and development that degrade land and water resources, inhibit species movement, and increase edge effect impacts on SGCN.
- Loss of historic canopy cover and important urban migratory stopover habitat.

#### Disturbance/Hydrology

- Alteration of surface and groundwater hydrology adversely effecting water and groundwater hydrology, water quality, water temperatures, and water quantity.
- Stress on critical water and groundwater-dependent habitats and associated species through increasing competition on water resources.

#### Invasive/Exotic species

- Urban ports of transportation and goods that facilitate introduction of foreign invasive pests, pathogens and species increasing vulnerability of SGCN.
- o Rapid spread of invasive species through maintenance and landscape practices

#### Pollutants - Sediment

 Increase in heavy rainfall events with increases in stormwater volume and velocity, and pollution and sedimentation, affecting stream and wetland SGCN and other species dependent on them.

#### Composition-structure

- Loss of tree species of high resource value for SGCN, such as Oaks
- Lack of financial resources to protect, manage and restore high quality resource habitats.

#### **Community Stresses:**

#### **Predators**

• Increase in urban adapted meso-predators adding to vulnerability of SGCN to predation.

#### Parasites and Disease

• Spread of emerald ash borer and other disease.

#### **Population Stresses:**

#### Recruitment

• Poor regeneration rates of existing high habitat-value woodlands and forests.

0

#### **Direct Human Stresses:**

#### Killing

• Declines in insects and pollinators due to loss of native plant and tree species, insecticide use, and urban monoculture landscape practices.

#### Disturbance, Structures-Infrastructure

- Lack of urban tree canopy diversity and tree canopy loss due to poor selection and planting practices.
- Lack of effective urban deer management programs to counter effects of deer browse and overpopulation.
- Increasing conflict between humans and wildlife species. (e.g. deer collisions, coyote, other meso-predator conflicts).

#### Structures – Infrastructure

 Loss of aquatic species habitat and spawning connectivity from dams, culverts and channelized streams.

#### <u>Other</u>

- Diminished recreational access resulting in a public not connected to the natural world.
- Lack of public understanding of the value of nature and necessity of functioning natural systems for wildlife and human health and well-being.
- Lack of municipal strategic plans that recognize natural resource value and function and include and prioritize wildlife and habitat protection.
- A fragmented, competitive, and disparate development atmosphere that discourages watershed and other regional planning implementation.

#### <u>Climate</u>

o Climate change that is expected to compound and exacerbate existing stressors.

# 4. STATUS REPORT FROM 2005-2015

Listed below is a non-comprehensive sample of State and regional actions, policies, and accomplishments reflecting advances for Illinois IWAP since 2005 that have enhanced the State of Illinois and Metropolitan Areas ability to maintain and protect SGCN and their associated natural habitats.

# **REGIONWIDE STATUS**

## ADDRESSING URBAN CONSERVATION ACTIONS

Ecological Places in Cities (EPIC) 2014 - Numerous Illinois agencies, NGOs, educational institutions and conservation organizations are participating in the EPIC Network Steering Committee for Urban Watershed Management. EPIC is a joint focus of the two of the US Fish and Wildlife Landscape Conservation Cooperatives: the Eastern Tallgrass Prairie & Big Rivers Landscape Conservation Cooperative (EPTBR LCC) and the Upper Midwest Great Lakes Landscape Conservation Cooperative (UMGL LCC). EPIC's draft mission is: *Provide people living in cities with resources to harmonize people, wildlife, natural and working landscapes and to cultivate the love of life and living systems. <u>http://www.tallgrassprairielcc.org/what-we-do/</u>* 

# **STATEWIDE STATUS**

#### 1. ADDRESSING HABITAT ASSESSMENT/ENHANCEMENT ACTIONS

A number of resource agencies in Metropolitan areas have been managing habitats to enhance resource value for SGCN. The following provide valuable update information on the effectiveness of management activities.

- Illinois Natural Areas Inventory Update completed, assessment in progress
   The INAI is a record of high quality forests, prairies, wetlands, and other significant natural features first conducted in the mid-1970s for the Illinois Department of Natural Resources (IDNR). It was a three-year project conducted by the University of Illinois that identified 1,089 sites as natural areas. These 1,089 sites covered 25,723 acres, which represents only 0.07% of all the land area of Illinois. While the list of INAI sites has been maintained by IDNR since the first inventory was conducted 30 years ago, many changes have occurred. Some INAI sites have been degraded and others added.
- **The Illinois Sustainable Natural Areas Vision (SNAV)** is the corollary to the Illinois Natural Areas Plan written in 1980 following the completion of the first INAI. The SNAV update's primary goal is to set forth a workable, implementable framework for creating a sustainable connected system of natural areas.

http://wwx.inhs.illinois.edu/files/9513/3907/5663/SNAV\_Final.pdf

#### 2. ADDRESSING IMPACTS OF DEVELOPMENT TO WATER

The following studies, strategies, and updates provide guidelines for comprehensive practices to address stormwater and flooding issues including site-based green infrastructure practices and Best Management Practices to achieve infiltration and water quality benefits, directly benefitting urban streams species.

• **The Illinois Nutrient Loss Reduction Strategy** (2015) guides state efforts to improve water quality at home and downstream by reducing nitrogen and phosphorus levels in our lakes, streams, and rivers. The strategy lays out a comprehensive suite of best management practices for reducing nutrient loads from wastewater treatment plants and urban and

agricultural runoff. http://www.epa.illinois.gov/topics/water-quality/watershedmanagement/excess-nutrients/nutrient-loss-reduction-strategy/index

 Urban Flooding Awareness Act Study - The IDNR prepared the report completed June 2015 in collaboration with other state agencies, at the direction of the Illinois General Assembly to detail the extent, cost, prevalence, and policies related to urban flooding in Illinois and to identify resources and technology that may lead to mitigation of the impacts of urban flooding.

<u>http://www.dnr.illinois.gov/news/Pages/NewReportExaminesIssues,StrategiesforDealingwith</u> <u>UrbanFloodinginIllinois.aspx</u>

- Illinois Urban Manual Update 2014 updated by the Illinois Association of Soil and Water Districts in collaboration with IEPA. It contains criteria for planning, Best Management Practices (BMP) selection, practice standards, construction and materials specifications and evaluation methods. <u>http://www.aiswcd.org/illinois-urban-manual/</u>
- Illinois Environmental Protection Agency Green infrastructure Plan and Illinois Department of Natural Resource Addendum (2010) - Illinois EPA assessed and evaluated methods for sitescale green infrastructure to help manage stormwater in Illinois. The plan identifies effective best management practices, site-scale green infrastructure standards and institutional and policy frameworks. Department of Natural Resource's Addendum adds large-scale green infrastructure planning elements. <u>http://www.epa.illinois.gov/topics/water-quality/surfacewater/qreen-infrastructure/index</u>

# 3. ADDRESSING NATURAL RESOURCE VALUE OUTREACH

 OAKtober! October was designated as a statewide Oak Awareness Month in Illinois in June 2015. The oak ecosystem supports many SGCN in Illinois. Outreach during the month of October calls for individual, organization, community, park district, forest preserve, and public or private landowner or manager to be involved and play an important role in celebrating oaks and oak ecosystems across Illinois throughout the month of October.

#### 4. ADDRESSING RECREATIONAL ACCESS FOR WILDLIFE RELATED ACTIVITIES

The Department of Natural Resources instituted the **Illinois Recreational Access Program (IRAP**), to increase public access and participation in outdoor activities and enhance public connection to wildlife. The IDNR works with private landowners who open their land for fishing, hunting and nature viewing experiences to the public.

• Illinois Recreational Access Program (IRAP), established in 2011, received its second USDA VPA-HIP grant in 2014 and another on August 17, 2015 to lease private land and make it available for public access for specific outdoor activities. Focus areas for leases are northern Illinois in the counties surrounding Cook County and southern Illinois. Metropolitan areas will receive a higher lease rate. IRAP is also working diligently on invasive species removal on leased acres and will be pursuing establishment a mentor database to assist new and inexperienced hunters. For every leased dollar spent, 3 more dollars are spent on habitat management practices.

## **METROPOLITAN/URBAN AREA STATUS**

#### 1. NATURAL RESOURCE PLANNING & ASSESSMENT

- A. Chicago/Northeastern Illinois Metropolitan Area:
  - GO TO 2040 Plan, Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning (CMAP) 2008. Funded by a Federal HUD Sustainable Communities grant, it was a three-year intensive community public engagement process that called for investment in existing communities and emphasizes development that is more compact and "livable." CMAP recommended making significant, criteria-based investments in parks and open space including adding an additional 150,000 of preserved open space and providing functional connections using the green infrastructure network as a design concept. <u>http://www.cmap.illinois.gov/about/2040/supporting-materials/processarchive/regional-vision</u>. CMAP is currently working on the plan update and has developed numerous sustainable development resources in addition to this plan. <u>http://www.cmap.illinois.gov/about/updates/policy</u>
  - The Chicago Wilderness Green infrastructure Vision (GIV), 2012. First regionally assembled GIS-based natural resource map and plan for the Chicago Metro area. Resource mapping is extended beyond Illinois state borders to include CW region's portions of Indiana and Wisconsin, in recognition of watershed boundaries and linkage impacts. Links to the full GIS data download and The Field Museum interactive mapper are available at: <u>http://www.chicagowilderness.org/?page=publicationsnew</u>
  - Quantifying resource benefits: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning Ecosystem Valuation – 2015. The Conservation Fund, CMAP, and Chicago Wilderness conducted an ecosystem service valuation of the GIV landscapes in CMAP's seven county region. The project quantified the ecosystem and economic benefits of the region's green infrastructure. Some of the measured ecosystem services provided by green infrastructure, using the GIV, included flood reduction, air and water pollutant removal, biodiversity, and carbon sequestration, and capture the monetized value of those ecosystem functions to communities.

https://datahub.cmap.illinois.gov/dataset/green-infrastructure-vision-2-3-ecosystem-valuation

- **The Chicago Regional Trees Initiative, The Morton Arboretum** was established in 2013 by Chicago region partners working together to develop and implement a strategy that builds a healthier and more diverse urban forest by 2040. A coalition of agency, industry, and community representatives are working together to expand the understanding of the value of the region's trees and to make meaningful tree and forest improvements in the region. This information will be transferrable to other urban areas. <u>http://www.mortonarb.org/science-conservation/chicago-region-trees-initiative</u>
- Oak Ecosystem Recovery Chicago Wilderness, in collaboration with The Morton Arboretum and Lake County Forest Preserves, has developed and is implementing a regional work plan for the Chicago Wilderness Oak Ecosystems Focus Area. Mapping of remnant oak woodlands and savannas for Northeast Illinois was completed in 2015 as a first step in the process, with the following short-term actions for the near future: expand mapping of remnant oak ecosystems to the rest of the Chicago Wilderness Region of Southeast Wisconsin, Northwest Indiana, and Southwest Michigan; establishment of priority areas; compilation of baseline data; assessment of research gaps; selection of relevant metrics; prioritization of land management needs; identification of key partners and audiences and incorporation of climate

considerations. Five-year goals, including health status, trends, and tracking, are being developed. <u>http://www.chicagowilderness.org/?page=OakEcosystemsFocus</u>

#### **B.** Rockford Metropolitan area:

- Winnebago & Boone Counties Greenway Map and Plan 2006, updated 2014. Adopted into the Winnebago County 2030 Comprehensive Land Use Map. County conservation partners worked with Winnebago County GIS (WinGIS) to assemble the natural resource layers of Winnebago and Boone Counties to create a greenways map. The core concept of the plan is green infrastructure connections that will create a corridor of open space for public enjoyment as well as a place for plant habitation and movement of animals. <u>http://ims.wingis.org/Greenways/</u>
- Winnebago Natural Resource Inventory (NRI) map developed by Winnebago County Planning Department with input from multiple resource agencies to accompany the 2030 Comprehensive Land Use Map. <u>http://ims.wingis.org/OtherResources.aspx</u>

#### C. East St. Louis Metropolitan Area

 A Roadmap for Green infrastructure 2013 - lead by The Heartlands Conservancy and involving agencies, businesses, corporations, municipalities and conservation stakeholders in cooperative planning for a vision of a regionally connected green infrastructure system for a more resilient region. The Heartlands Conservancy has this resource, and other resources available on their site.

http://issuu.com/heartlandsconservancy/docs/13-12-green\_infrastructure\_roadmap\_

 OneSTL - funded by HUD Sustainable Communities Regional Planning Grant, this plan was developed through a collaborative process led by the East-West Gateway Council of Governments. It provides a regional framework for sustainable development that citizens, non-profit organizations, businesses, and local governments can use to make better use of resources and better meet the aspirations and needs of residents, and toolkits for getting there. <u>http://www.onestl.org/</u>

#### D. Quad Cities Metropolitan Area

 Upper Mississippi Conservation Opportunity Area Plan (2012) – gathered cooperative, collective input from a wide spectrum of local conservation partners do develop a plan for this COA. <u>http://prairierivers.org/wp-</u> content/uploads/2013/01/UMR-COA-Master-Plan-Version-3.pdf

#### E. Springfield/Decatur Metropolitan Area

 Lake Springfield Watershed-based Plan and BMP Implementation (2014) - A half million dollar project underway to put together one of the most comprehensive watershed plans in Illinois. The project will implement best management practices (BMP) in the Lake Springfield (ILREF) watershed to reduce nonpoint source pollution, soil erosion, and nutrient and sediment loadings in order to improve water quality in Lake Springfield and its watershed. Major funding came from IEPA through a 319 grant. A 170,000-acre watershed, Lake Springfield is a major drinking water source for many communities in Sangamon County.

#### 2. HUMAN AND WILDLIFE INTERACTIONS

- The gray wolf, American black bear and mountain lion (cougar) came under the protection of the Illinois Wildlife Code on Jan. 1, 2015. IDNR was given the authority to manage these species for the protection of both wildlife and public safety. Historically present in Illinois, this bill was prompted by increasing sightings and incidents in the state.
- Large Carnivore Workshop, 2014, Chicago Wilderness Alliance, IDNR and USFWS convened a large carnivores workshop as a first step in developing a coordinated, regional strategy to living with these species.
- The Urban Wildlife Institute, based at Lincoln Park Zoo, studies the interaction between urban development and the natural ecosystem to develop scientific standards for minimizing conflict between these overlapping areas. Landscape ecology, population biology, epidemiology, endocrinology, veterinary medicine and other core disciplines contribute to an increased understanding of ecosystem health in an urban setting. <u>http://www.lpzoo.org/conservation-science/science-centers/urbanwildlife-institute</u>

#### 3. CLIMATE ADAPTATION

- **Chicago Wilderness Climate Action Plan for Nature** the region's first analysis of how to navigate the complexities of nature conservation in a world with a changing climate
- Climate Change Update to Chicago Wilderness Biodiversity Recovery Plan Analysis of how climate change impacts critical biodiversity in the Chicago region, providing initial strategies for adapting to changing climate. Information are both studies above available at: <u>http://www.chicagowilderness.org/default.asp?page=Climate</u>
- Chicago Climate Action Plan 2008. City of Chicago in conjunction with The Field Museum and other area resource experts and local stakeholders identified climate considerations and goals for the Chicago to the Year 2050. <u>http://www.chicagoclimateaction.org/</u>

# **5.** Focal Species in Greatest Need of Conservation

# **URBAN AREAS - STATE-WIDE FOCAL SPECIES:**

As noted previously in this Campaign, Metropolitan areas in Illinois are playing an increasingly important role for Illinois SGCN species, and the following three focal species have been identified for Metropolitan Areas on a statewide basis.

1. Urban migratory stopover habitat - Focal species: Neotropical Migrants (See Green Cities: Appendix 2: Neotropical Migrants).

Urban areas in Illinois provide valuable stopover habitat for migrating birds. Many bird species that breed in the boreal forest and winter in the neotropics rely on habitats in Illinois to replenish fat reserves. In many areas of the state, agricultural and commercial development has left little in the way of wooded habitat that migrants can use. Urban areas represent a wooded canopy and understory in which many birds stop while migrating. Several Important Bird Areas (*Link IBA map*) as designated by the National Audubon Society are stopover habitats within cities (Busey Wood, Urbana; Chicago lakefront parks; Ewing Park, Bloomington, etc.). Large patches of natural habitat are the best resources for these migrants, but any patch of greenspace has value from city and county parks to corporate campuses, cemeteries and schoolyards, to trees along residential streets.

# Actions:

- Research urban area's value for migrating birds. (See Green Cities: Appendix 3: Plant)
- Urban and suburban habitats can be improved to make cities friendlier to migrating birds. As an example, the city of Chicago has an agreement with the US government to conserve birds, especially migrants, within the city.<sup>4</sup> It focuses on improving habitats for birds and reducing the hazards birds face from human infrastructure (e.g. windows strikes on buildings and communication towers<sup>5</sup>).
- Not all trees and shrubs in urban areas are of equal value to migrating birds. Research suggests that hardwoods such as oak and hickory support greater insect biomass and are preferred by migrating birds. Many ornamental and fast growing trees such as ash and elm hold less value for birds.
- Encourage pet owners to keep their cats indoors.
- Plant native trees and shrubs. Local bird clubs have on-line documents that provide suggestions for improving urban and suburban habitats, including planting lists<sup>6</sup>.

# 2. Pollinators – Focal Species: Monarch Butterfly

Native pollinators (particularly bees and butterflies) are critical to the maintenance of biodiversity, and unique opportunities for their conservation exist in metropolitan areas. Pollination of flowering plants provides food for both humans and wildlife, including species of greatest conservation need. Pollinators are also an important food source for migrating birds, which are also a conservation target in metropolitan areas. Because of the key roles pollinators play and the emerging threats they face, many pollinators are themselves now a priority for conservation. For example, once-common species such as the Rusty-patched Bumblebee (*Bombus affinis*) and Monarch Butterfly (*Danaus plexippus*) are currently found in metropolitan areas of Illinois, but they are experiencing precipitous population declines across their range and have been considered for listing under the federal Endangered Species Protection Act. Widespread pollinator

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> <u>http://www.fws.gov/migratorybirds/partnerships/urbantreaty/urbantreaty.html</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> <u>http://www.bcnbirds.org/window.html</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> http://www.bcnbirds.org/greenpapers\_files/GPflyway.html

decline has been recognized at the highest levels of government, with a Presidential Memorandum on Creating a Federal Strategy to Promote the Health of Honey Bees and Other Pollinators issued in 2014. Native insect pollinators rely on the presence of high quality plant habitat that contains appropriate nesting sites, host plants, and a diverse array of native wildflowers for nectaring (Murray et al. 2009; Tonietto 2015, USDA). Opportunities to increasingly support the conservation of native pollinators in metropolitan areas are directly linked to providing diverse native plant communities. As such, efforts should focus on: 1) managing natural areas for native plant diversity; 2) restoring degraded habitat to support native plant and pollinator diversity; and 3) enhancing landscaping around homes, businesses, and along roads to incorporate native plants (Scheper et al. 2013, Tonietto, 2015).

Conservation of bees<sup>7</sup> and other important insects requires habitat that includes adequate food and nesting areas. Most bees and other insects are not able to fly long distances. For this reason, many small plots across a large area may be more desirable than one native prairie park several acres in size surrounded by miles of lawn and non-native landscape. Such small plantings in a home flower bed or near a public building or commercial property can provide large amounts of pollen and other resources. Many bees are dependent upon pollen from only a few plants to meet the nutritional requirements of their larvae. Further, the availability of floral resources throughout the year requires plantings that incorporate species with varied bloom times. For these reasons, diverse plantings of native species in metropolitan areas would be an important means of supporting diverse communities of native bees and other insects.

#### Actions:

- Conserve existing pollinator habitat areas.
- Restore/create habitat areas for pollinators in urban landscapes incorporate plant species with varied bloom times.
- Use Integrated pest management to reduce pesticide exposure.
- Survey and inventory of pollinator taxa in urban areas.
- Include pollinator data in state Natural Heritage databases and NatureServe.
- Development of S-ranks and G-ranks for pollinator specie.
- Work with partners to develop and implement community outreach programs.

# 3. SGCN that thrive in urban areas - Focal species: Common Nighthawk

Urban environments support a unique assemblage of species, some of which are Species in Greatest Conservation Need. These species include Common Nighthawk, Peregrine Falcon, Black-crowned Night-Heron, and Chimney Swift. While each species has unique requirements, one species whose population has been declining at an alarming rate is Common Nighthawk. Nighthawks are an aerial insectivore that specializes on larger insects such as moths. The decline in habitats that support insects (i.e., pollinators) may be a contributing reason for the rapid decline of this species. While the species continues to breed in native sand prairies, the bulk of its population in Illinois resides in towns, in which they nest on rooftops. While nesting on rooftops eliminates the threat from many terrestrial nest predators, changes in the materials used on roofs (nighthawks prefer gravel roofs) may be limiting nesting habitat. Nighthawks are also vulnerable to being hit by cars as they forage over roads or roost on roadways at night (State of the Birds Report, 2014). Common Nighthawk breeding

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> The invertebrate update suggests that four bumblebees be listed as SGCN (Rusty-patched Bumblebee, Southern Plains Bumblebee, American Bumblebee, and Half-black Bumblebee) all of which appear to be declining in Illinois. Four other Bumble Bees were suggested for the watchlist (Northern Amber Bumblebee, Tricolored Bumblebee, Yellowbanded Bumblebee, Variable Cuckoo Bumblebee), which may already be extirpated, as we have no recent records of their occurrence in Illinois.

distribution is highly concentrated around major cities in the eastern U.S. Threats include reduction in mosquitoes and other aerial insects due to pesticides, and habitat loss including grasslands, open woods and flat gravel rooftops in urban/suburban areas.

## Actions:

- Research/Investigate other conservation methods. Some success has been reported by creating nesting habitat by placing gravel pads in the corners of rubberized roofs and by burning and clearing patches of forest to create open nesting sites.
- Restore habitats (e.g. landscape with native plants) that support insect populations in urban areas; robust insect populations would likely benefit nighthawks.
- Reduce pesticide application (e.g. area-wide mosquito spraying) to help promote a robust insect community, and benefit Nighthawks.

Green Cities Campaign / Urban Areas

## METROPOLITAN/URBAN FOCUS AREAS – PRIORITY HABITATS AND FOCAL SPECIES:

At the time of this update in October 2015, two Metropolitan Areas, Rockford and Chicago, have completed a process to identify Priority Habitats and Focal Species and are included below. It is recommended that other Metropolitan/Urban Focus Areas also undertake identification of priority habitats and focal species for inclusion in the IWAP Green Cities Campaign.

### **ROCKFORD METROPOLITAN AREA - Priority Habitats and Focal Species**

#### Rockford Metropolitan Area: Boone, Winnebago, and Ogle Counties.

Rockford Metropolitan Area lies at the boundary of three Natural Divisions of Illinois, the Northeastern Morainal, the Rock River Hill Country and the Grand Prairie. It includes three designated Conservation Opportunity Areas (COA): Sugar-Pecatonica Rivers, the Coon Creek/Kishwaukee River, and the Rock River. The Rockford Metropolitan Area is extremely rich in natural habitat, Species of Greatest Conservation Need, and water resources. It is the confluence of four major river systems in northern Illinois and includes several important coolwater streams. The four rivers, which form the framework for the natural resource plans for the area, are the Sugar, Pecatonica, Kishwaukee (North, South and Main Branches) and Rock. The Sugar and Kishwaukee rivers are biologically significant streams; highly valued for their natural and recreational resources and hold rich fish and mussel populations. The four rivers have very different hydrology and geomorphology, and as such each have different goals, focus species and actions.

The Rockford Metropolitan Agency for Planning (RMAP) has produced the Boone and Winnebago Greenways Plan, and a Sustainable Development Plan for Boone and Winnebago counties and RMAP has recently expanded its planning area to include the City of Byron in Ogle County. Decades of efforts to acquire land for parks, forest preserves and conservation areas in the region have resulted in the preservation of thousands of acres of land along the four rivers for public recreation and wildlife. The Rockford and Belvidere Park Districts own several parks along the Rock and Kishwaukee rivers, including important habitat for wildlife. The Forest Preserves of Winnebago County, Byron Forest Preserve District, Natural Land Institute, IDNR and the Boone County Conservation District own thousands of acres of important wildlife habitat in the region.

Groundwater is the sole source of drinking water for the region, and provides significant base flow to the rivers, creeks and wetlands of this area. The Illinois Groundwater Protection Act recognized the unique geomorphology of this region and identified this specific area as an objective of its initial focus with IEPA establishing the Northern Regional Groundwater Protection and Planning Committee in the late 1980's. This committee is involved in regional planning efforts in Winnebago, Boone and McHenry counties to protect groundwater and provide expertise to local officials.

#### Priority Habitats and Focal species for Rockford Metropolitan area

#### **1. STREAMS AND RIVERS - FOUR RIVERS AND RIPARIAN AREAS**

Riparian habitat in the Rockford metro area is abundant, with four major rivers flowing through the area. The habitat value of these streams—both in terms of water quality and hydrologic character—varies widely, though. The best habitat occurs within the Kishwaukee River and Sugar River drainages, which harbor a number of species of greatest conservation concern. One such species, the Black Sandshell mussel, was once widespread in these counties and likely occurred in all but the smallest streams; today, it is restricted to the relatively clean waters of the Kishwaukee River. Pollution represents the greatest threat to this species, particularly nutrient pollution from agricultural runoff.

Green Cities Campaign / Urban Areas

9/2/15 8:32 AM

The Rock River is a major corridor for migratory waterfowl and Neotropical birds, while the east-west flowing Pecatonica and Kishwaukee Rivers provide vital stopover habitat for migrating birds. The extensive forests and wetlands in the Pecatonica River valley have been recognized by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The Nature Conservancy and the IDNR as important habitat for migratory birds.

**Over all Focal Species for all Four River Watersheds: River otter and bald eagles.** Once extirpated from the region, river otters were reintroduced to the area, and now healthy populations are found in all four-river systems. A single Bald Eagle nest along the Pecatonica River expanded to breeding pairs on every one of the four rivers in the region. Bald Eagles are now a common sight flying along the Rock River in downtown Rockford, Rockton, Belvidere and Byron. Additional focus species are listed below for each of the four rivers.

#### **Kishwaukee River Watershed**

The Kishwaukee River is a high-quality, groundwater-fed glacial outwash river, with portions classified by the Illinois Department of Natural Resources as class A . The North and South branches of the river converge in Winnebago County at Blackhawk Springs Forest Preserve. It has been a priority of open space agencies in the Rockford metro area to protect lands along the Kishwaukee River to preserve habitat communities, protect water quality, and limit run-off. Immediate threats to the entire watershed come from development due to access from I-39, the Chicago-Rockford Airport, the Winnebago County Landfill and economic growth potential of Rockford and Belvidere. The entire Kishwaukee River watershed offers a diverse range of habitats from floodplain forest, upland forests, sedge meadows, oxbows, and many prairie communities. There are large sections along the Kishwaukee River with steep slopes and a few cliff communities. Unique upland forests and exposed rock outcroppings provide a diverse range of plant and bird species. The river itself holds a diverse mix of mussels and fish.

**Focal species: Black Sandshell Mussels.** Actions would also benefit Osprey, Black-billed Cuckoo, Red-Shouldered Hawk, Cerulean Warbler, Smallmouth Bass, Cope's Grey Tree Frog, River Otter, and Blanding's Turtle.

#### Actions include:

- accelerated land preservation and active management;
- establishment of buffer areas;
- installation of erosion and run-off controls;
- use of prescribed fire;
- invasive species control;
- groundwater protection measures to assure continued stream baseflows;
- monitoring of water quality, species richness, and population numbers.

#### Pecatonica/Sugar Rivers Watersheds

The Pecatonica River is a tributary of the Rock River. The Sugar River is a tributary of the Pecatonica River. The Pecatonica River is a low gradient, meandering, deep muddy river while the Sugar River is clean, shallow, swift and sandy. Both rivers have many oxbows and floodplain wetlands associated with them. Extensive floodplain forest is found within this watershed. Many of the wetlands that once occurred here have been drained for farming through ditches and tiling. Invasive species, such as Reed Canary grass, are a major problem in the floodplain wetlands.

**Focal species: Pileated Woodpeckers.** Actions will also benefit: Sandhill Cranes, Blackcrowned Night-Herons, River Otters, Blue-spotted Salamanders, Fragile Papershell Mussel, and Pistol Grip Mussels, American Bittern and Blanding's Turtle. Action steps to improve the watersheds include:

- protection of additional land to create large blocks of forest habitat;
- filling drainage ditches and breaking drain tile to rebuild wetlands where feasible;
- providing large buffer areas to filter water to enhance water quality.

## **Rock River Watershed**

The Rock River, extending from the Rockton Dam to the Oregon Dam, has sculpted the lands lining its banks into a variety of important habitats, ranging from sandbar islands and shallow, rocky riffles to flood plain forests and scenic, forested limestone bluffs. It harbors many species of fish, and many more species of birds, both nesting and migratory. This stretch of the Rock encounters urban, rural, and natural areas. Industrial, and municipal discharges, agricultural runoff, highways, railways, and the Rockford Airport all can impact water quality of the river. The series of dams on the Rock River are known to isolate fish and prevent them from reaching important spawning areas.

**Focal species: Walleye,** a fish native to the upper Rock River and stocked in the river in the past, is a highly sought-after sport fish by anglers. It plays a key role as a predator and also as a nutritious prey species for Bald Eagles and Ospreys. Walleye are also a host for the Fatmucket, a mussel known to be an important food source for River Otters.

# Actions steps include:

- coordinated transportation project planning along the Rock River corridor especially Illinois Route 2;
- limiting erosion and runoff from agricultural and urban areas;
- adopting special regulations on walleye fishing (i.e. lowering the bag limit, adding a slot limit, special seasonal regulation of night fishing at dams);
- removing or modifying barriers to fish migration;
- identifying and prioritizing valuable habitats and lands;
- installing wetland restoration projects, particularly in conjunction with the Rock River corridor.

# **Cool Water Streams**

Kinnikinnick, Beaver, Coon, Raccoon, Mosquito, Piscasaw, and Kilbuck creeks are tributaries to the four rivers, and provide high quality habitat for many species of wildlife in greatest need of conservation, including healthy populations of mussels and cold-water species like Mottled Sculpin, *Cottus bairdii*. Mottled Sculpin are found in tributaries of the Kishwaukee and Rock River. Historically, there were Mottled Sculpin in Kinnikinnick Creek and Raccoon Creek. Stream modifications have altered groundwater inputs and the natural hydrology of the streams. Barriers to fish movement include low-head dams and culverts with elevation drops. Bottom substrates and benthic invertebrates are susceptible to smothering from sedimentation. Drainage activities and removal of native riparian vegetation buffers accelerate erosion and overland transport of sediments into streams.

#### Focal species: Mottled Sculpin.

**Actions needed** to protect the natural hydrology, and water quality of the coolwater streams in the region include:

 complete an inventory of streams in region to document existing populations of Mottled Sculpin and other cold-water fish species;

- monitor groundwater supplies and characterization (e.g., temperature), and monitor groundwater extractions;
- promote recharging of regional aquifers by allowing more precipitation into the ground;
- encourage use of native vegetation that helps draw water into the soil;
- remove or modify barriers to fish migration.

### 2. OAK WOODLANDS

#### Oak Savanna

Oak savannas are some of the most important communities in the region. Many savanna areas were cleared for agriculture and developed for towns and villages while remaining savannas quickly turned into dense forests after wildfires were stopped. Some efforts have been made to restore savannas where remnants exist and to recreate savannas from bare ground by planting trees and native prairie species together (e.g. at the Nygren Wetlands complex). Many urban parks retain savanna-like tree structure, but lack the native understory and ground layer species. Limiting factors that land managers face are controlling the deer and rodent populations, very slow growth of oak trees, and the continuous battle with exotic and invasive species.

**Focal species: Red-headed Woodpecker.** Actions for this species will also benefit Brown Creeper.

Action steps to improve and increase savanna communities are:

- control invasive species;
- increase fire frequency;
- create savanna areas as buffer zones between prairies and woodlands;
- promote oak regeneration;
- acquire and build large continuous tracts of land.

#### **Oak-Hickory Woodland**

Oak-Hickory forests were once common in the Rockford metro area, but have been reduced to scattered remnants due to clearing, and residential development. The few remnants that remain are small, disconnected and degraded by past grazing, lack of fire and invasive species like bush honeysuckle and multi-flora rose. Regeneration of oaks and hickories is low to non-existent, and remnant woodlands are slowly being taken over by sugar maple, black cherry and other shade-tolerant species. A large number of neotropical migratory birds are dependent upon healthy oak-hickory forests for breeding.

**Focal Species: Ovenbird.** Expansion and regeneration of oak-hickory woodlands will also benefit Wood Thrush and Broad-winged Hawk.

#### Actions include:

- inventory oak-hickory woodlands in the Rockford metro area and evaluate their health;
- develop management tools to regenerate oaks and hickories;
- remove invasive trees and brush, and restore understory species;
- connect and expand blocks of upland oak-hickory forests where possible to increase habitat for neotropical migratory birds.

#### **3. GRASSLANDS**

Upland grasslands once dominated the landscape in the Rockford Metro area. Very few remnants exist to this day and almost all native grasslands are re-created. Challenges with re-creating grasslands and managing them are the continued threat from invasive and exotic species, lack of diversity, and performing routine management activities. One of the biggest limiting factors on the recovery of some to these species is fragmentation. Management and protection of these species require setting aside large tracts of land, having good travel corridors, management that promotes plant diversity, invasive species control, and implementing a regular fire regime.

**Focal species: Dicksissel.** Actions will also benefit Bobolinks, Henslow's Sparrows, Green Snakes, Badgers, Franklin's Ground Squirrels, and Monarch Butterflies.

#### Action steps include:

- protecting and acquiring more land that can be restored to grasslands;
- increasing diversity of grassland plant species;
- long term habitat maintenance including burn regiment and invasive control .

## **CHICAGO METROPOLITAN AREA - Priority Habitats and Focal Species**

#### 1. GRASSLANDS - FOCAL SPECIES – BOBOLINK

Grassland birds have declined precipitously in abundance as their preferred habitats In Illinois have been converted to row crops and, in urban areas, additional residential and commercial development. During the 25-year period ending in 1984, grassland birds in Illinois declined by as much as 75-95% and their numbers continue to decline. Grassland birds are "area sensitive" and are attracted to larger blocks of grasslands. Additionally, larger grasslands provide more secure nesting habitat with lower rates of nest predation. The Chicago metropolitan area remains an important stronghold for grassland birds, primarily because large grasslands have been protected and restored by natural resource agencies. Research and monitoring of these grasslands has documented that the abundance of grassland bird species has remained stable or increased. Restoration efforts that target the bobolink will also benefit Henslow's Sparrow, Eastern Meadowlark, Smooth Green Snake and a host of other grassland species. The Bird Conservation Network has an extensive system for monitoring grasslands so that the baseline abundance of grassland birds has been established for many locations. Some notable grasslands in the Chicago metro area include Orland and Bartel Grasslands, Glacial Park, Goose Lake Prairie, Springbrook Prairie, Nelson Lake Marsh, Midewin National Tallgrass Prairie and Rollins Savanna. Grassland birds face significant threats related to development, the lack of fire and resultant brush invasion, and invasive species. See Herkert et al. (1993) for a review of habitat management guidelines for grassland birds.

#### Conservation actions include:

- protection of large parcels of non-linear grasslands;
- o identification of areas on urbanizing edge where large grassland tracts can be established;
- o removal of woody incursions such as tree lines, brush mowing, and herbaceous weed mowing;
- restoration of hydrology;
- o establishment of a fire regime.

#### 2. OAK WOODLANDS

Oaks and oak dominated ecosystems provide myriad benefits within the Midwest region, including food and habitat for wildlife species, ecosystem functions such as carbon storage and water regulation, and natural beauty for the enjoyment of the people who inhabit them (Dwyer et al. 1992). Oaks are foundational species in forested ecosystems across the temperate zone, creating ecosystem structure and supporting an array of plant and animal life (McShea and Healy 2002, Rodewald and Abrams 2002, Spetich, 2004). Oaks provide the structure that shapes Chicago's savanna, woodland and forest habitats, and promote high biodiversity in part because they foster heterogeneous landscapes. The oak ecosystems of the Midwest region are generally classified into four categories based on canopy density and composition and structure of associated plant communities: Forests – 60-100% cover, Woodlands – 25-60% cover, Savanna – 10-25% cover, and Open savanna/barrens – >0-25%. Many of the oak species that were abundant in the Midwest region are adapted to live in fire-dominated ecosystems. The historical landscape's frequent fires therefore favored open oak barrens, savannas and woodlands. White, bur, red, and black oak were most common, but varied in abundance across the region with fire frequency and edaphic factors.

Many species identified as "critical species" in the Illinois Wildlife Action Plan are associated with high quality, open oak ecosystems including: Red-headed Woodpeckers, Black-billed Cuckoos, Northern Flickers, Wood Frogs, and Blue-spotted Salamanders. In addition, many other wildlife species utilize the energy rich acorns produced by oaks as well as the nuts of the hickories, walnuts, and hazelnuts that are associated with these ecosystems. These nut crops are a major food source for a wide variety of birds, mammals, and insects and are a key component of food webs in the region. Finally, oaks are a

Green Cities Campaign / Urban Areas

very important source of shelter for wildlife species in the form of cavities in large, old trees, standing dead trees, and downed woody debris. Many species rely on these cavities for burrows or nesting locations.

#### Mesic Oak woodlands – Focal Species - Blue spotted Salamander

In the Chicago metro area, the more mesic oak woodlands are restricted to moist soils arrayed along the eastern shores (or fire shadows) of the Des Plaines, Du Page, Chicago, and Fox Rivers.

### Actions include:

- restoration and maintenance of vernal pool systems;
- invasive species removal;
- restoration of hydrology;
- implement actions to encourage oak regeneration;
- assembling large 1000 acre woodlands/forested complexes;
- linking protected oak complexes to existing urban oak canopies;
- educating the public on the value of oak woodlands.

#### Dry/Mesic Oak woodlands – Focal Species - Red-headed Woodpecker

The dry-mesic woodlands exist throughout the Chicago metro area, are associated with rolling topography characteristic of the Northeastern Morainal Division, and occur on drier soils formed from glacial till.

#### Management actions include:

- invasive species removal;
- restoration of hydrology;
- prescribed burning;
- adopting management practices that encourage oak regeneration, while maintaining existing large blocks of mature woodlands;
- assembling large forested complexes 1000 acre woodlands;
- reducing edge effects;
- link protected oak complexes to existing urban oak canopies;
- adopt management practices that benefit oak ecosystem dependent bird species.

# 3. WETLANDS

Sulloway and Hubbell (1994) provide a good overview of the extent and distribution of different types of wetlands in Illinois. Many of those wetlands occur along rivers and lakes that later became developed as urban areas. Emergent wetlands that support diverse and abundant populations of wetlands birds occur in northeastern Illinois (Sulloway and Hubbell 1994). Northeast Illinois once supported large numbers of freshwater wetlands. Despite the efforts to drain or fill these wetlands, a large number of wetlands still survive in the region and support the largest populations of Yellow-headed Blackbirds, Sandhill Cranes, Black Terns, and Virginia Rails in the state. A study of 12 wetland bird species from 196 wetlands in the region during the period 1980-2005 showed that 10 species declined in abundance while only 2 species increased in abundance (Ward et al. 2010). The study further demonstrated that the value of wetlands to wetland birds was compromised by development within 2 km of the wetland basin.

#### Freshwater marshes – Focal species – Yellow-headed Blackbird

The preferred nesting habitat of the Yellow-headed Blackbird, and many other wetland bird species, consists of an interspersion of emergent vegetation and open water known as a "hemi-marsh". While many wetlands have been protected by natural resource agencies, the hydrological regimes necessary to establish "hemi-marshes" are frequently compromised by land use decisions on adjacent properties that preclude natural fluctuations in water levels. With altered hydrological regimes, hemi-marshes typically become either monocultures of emergent vegetation or open ponds; both of which support fewer wetlands birds.

#### Action include:

- manage the hydrological regime in wetland basins with water control structures that are manipulated to aid in establishing "hemi-marsh" conditions by drawing down water levels to reestablish wetland vegetation or by increasing water levels to prevent solid stands of emergent vegetation from becoming established;
- establish a collaborative approach by natural resource agencies to manage for "hemimarsh" wetlands on a regional basis to provide habitat for a number of wetland bird species that have declined in abundance over the last 25+ years;
- use existing wetland basin models to prioritize acquisition and restoration of wetland basins and sites with hydric soils in the Chicago Wilderness area during the implementation period. Utilize the two Chicago Wilderness wetland basin models, one for wetland birds and one for amphibians and reptiles, that rank the value of several parameters (wetland size, distance to nearest wetland, adjacent land uses).

#### Fen wetlands - Focal Species - Baltimore Checkerspot (Euphydryus phaeton).

Fen wetlands are the rarest wetland communities in Illinois and nearly all occur in the Northeast Morainal Natural Division of Northeastern Illinois. Fen wetlands are represented by six community types that include calcareous floating mat, calcareous seep, forested fen, graminoid fen, low shrub fen, and tall shrub fen. Collectively, fen communities identified on the Illinois Natural Areas Inventory are represented by only 353 acres at 44 different locations (Byers 2000). Many, but not all of these fen wetlands occur with the Lake-McHenry Wetland COA. Most fen wetlands are associated with sand and gravel lenses laid down by torrential melt during the retreat of the Wisconsinan glaciation. Rainfall percolates through these glacial deposits, becomes laden with calcium and other minerals, and surfaces in groundwater discharge zones. The groundwater discharge zones provide habitat for unique plant and animal communities.

Fen wetlands are extremely sensitive to alterations in groundwater quality and groundwater flow rates and are, consequently, sensitive to land use changes that occur in and well beyond the actual groundwater discharge zones. Land use changes that affect infiltration rates in groundwater recharge zones (conversion from pasture or agriculture to more intensive land uses) or groundwater quality (high chloride levels associated with roadways) can also degrade fen wetlands.

#### Actions:

• Implement management that includes controlled burning (2- to 3-year rotations) and efforts to remove invasive woody and herbaceous species.

- Identify and protect groundwater recharge zones, using tools such as designation of a Class III groundwater zone for dedicated Illinois Nature Preserves in which fen wetlands are located.
- Restore hydrology by removing woody invasive species and implementing steps (installing check dams to rehydrate the peat, and removing drain tiles) that restore hydrological function.
- Reintroduce extirpated inspect species<sup>8</sup>. Establish protocols for identifying suitable host populations and developing captive rearing strategies that can lead to reintroductions.

## 4. STREAMS

#### Urban streams - Focal Species – Iowa Darter (*Etheostoma exile*)

lowa Darter prefers clear water with vegetation in lakes or streams of moderate to slow current, which would include portions of the Fox River and Des Plaines Rivers and numerous tributaries of these rivers. Aquatic vegetation can grow in a variety of stream habitats, in both slow and fast currents. Iowa Darter lives along the bottom, hidden among the vegetation, foraging on small aquatic invertebrates. They spawn over fibrous root mats, but will settle for filamentous algae or other vegetation at times. They are not particularly good swimmers, so strong currents run the risk of displacing them if there is no cover for them to find shelter from extreme flows. Reducing the flashiness of streams will prevent the scouring of streambeds and also benefit Iowa Darters along with a host of other species.

#### Actions:

- improve water quality and clarity by reducing the amount of pollutants and particulates that enter the stream. Clearer and cleaner water will facilitate growth of native aquatic vegetation;
- use native riparian vegetation buffers help prevent erosion and overland transport of sediments into streams;
- enhance the effectiveness and capacity of wastewater treatment facilities (e.g., reduced phosphorus loads;
- protect and buffer headwater wetlands and wetlands adjacent to streams to filter water before it enters the main stream channel, these areas may provide additional habitat for Iowa darters.
- Increase stream habitat heterogeneity by creating meanders and leaving woody debris, natural stones, etc. in the stream channel to facilitate the formation of pools, riffles, side channels, backwaters, etc. The resulting variety of depths, current velocities, and bottom substrate types will provide the basis for habitat heterogeneity.
- Decrease flashiness of streams by allowing more rainwater to enter the ground (e.g., permeable pavement, plantings of native trees, shrubs, grasses, etc.);
- install non-point source infiltration practices to mitigate discharge from wastewater treatment facilities after extreme storm events to reduce or eliminate the occurrences of Combined Sewer Overflows;
- create natural floodplains adjacent to streams.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> The Baltimore Checkerspot is known from 15 locations in northeastern Illinois (Cook, Kane, DuPage, Will, Lake, and McHenry Counties) and is actively monitored by the Illinois Butterfly Monitoring Network. It occurs in close association with the larval host turtlehead (*Chelone glabra*) in the northern part of the state. Implementation of management activities, and protection of the groundwater resource will maintain populations of the Baltimore Checkerspot. In some instances, reintroduction of the Baltimore Checkerspot to restored habitat is appropriate.

#### Coolwater Streams - Focal Species - Mottled Sculpin (Cottus bairdii)

Coolwater streams in northern Illinois are typically of small to medium size. Primary source of water is groundwater, which helps explain the colder temperatures. In some instances coolwater streams start from visible springs or seeps. They often fall into the category of headwater streams, which are typically isolated from one another, making them susceptible to fragmentation. Although headwater species may have habitat preferences specific to headwater systems, it is still possible and important for them to move from headwaters to headwaters to facilitate gene flow and re-colonization efforts. Mottled Sculpin live in well-oxygenated coolwater streams of moderate to high gradient. Bottom substrate is usually a mix of cobble and gravel, but they can also be found over sand. When sand is the dominant substrate, the sculpins are usually associated with sticks, logs, etc. or some other type of protective cover. They are well camouflaged among the rocks while foraging for aquatic invertebrates, and lay eggs in the interstitial spaces, cracks, and crevices underneath rocks. In the greater Chicago Metropolitan area, Mottled Sculpin are found in tributaries along the Fox River

Small streams, especially in metropolitan areas, are relatively easy to fill in or redirect during development, resulting in a coolwater stream being destroyed and replaced by a warmwater ditch. In other instances, streams are just filled in and forgotten, especially if they are only seasonally filled with water.

#### Actions:

- Protect natural hydrology, specifically groundwater inputs; monitor groundwater supplies, extractions, and the characterization (e.g., temperature) of the water.
- Promote recharging of regional aquifers by allowing more precipitation into the ground; encourage use of permeable pavements.
- Encourage restoration of native plants species in forests and prairies and use of native vegetation in urban park and yards to help infiltrate water into the soil.
- Establish baselines and protect and monitor groundwater during watershed land use change and stream modifications to maintain natural hydrology.
- Protect connectivity among headwater systems. Barriers, including low-head dams and culverts, need to be removed or modified to allow fish to bypass them.
- Encourage native riparian vegetation buffers to prevent erosion and overland transport of sediments into streams to prevent sedimentation of bottom substrates and smothering of benthic invertebrates.

# 6. Universal and Targeted Actions for the Green Cities Campaign

Actions included within the Green Cities Campaign are divided into two distinct sets: Universal Management Recommendations and Targeted Actions. **Universal Management Recommendations** are on-the-ground practices that will benefit Illinois wildlife species, including SGCN, wherever they are implemented with Metropolitan areas. Anyone that values wildlife and wants to contribute to meeting the overarching goals of the Illinois Wildlife Action Plan should consider implementing these practices where applicable. For the purposes of the Green Cities Campaign, **Targeted Actions** have been defined as actions that address specific stressors caused by human development and human interaction with the natural world and wildlife. They are actions that are designed to enhance and restore natural resource function and stability in our built environments in cities and communities.

## UNIVERSAL MANAGEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS:

**Universal recommendations:** on-the-ground practices that will benefit Illinois wildlife species, including SGCN, wherever they are implemented with Metropolitan areas.

- 1. Increase high quality habitat for SGCN in Metropolitan Areas;
  - i. Identify potential new core preserves to provide habitat for grassland, woodland and wetland species according to existing conservation plans and through GIS conservation planning.
  - ii. Utilize principles of good preserve design to: establish blocks of habitat capable of supporting area-sensitive species, provide appropriate buffers, utilize genetically appropriate seed and plant sourcing, and maintain or establish landscape linkages (corridors).
  - iii. Identify and expand existing funding sources to proliferate protection of lands of high habitat value for SGCN. (e.g. CMAP GO TO 2040 Plan recommendation.)
- 2. Restore and manage Metropolitan waters that support SGCN
  - Address altered hydrology, water quantity and quality.
  - Increase connectivity in Metropolitan waterways, including floodplain connectivity where feasible, to increase species diversity and abundance and connect recreational waterways.
  - Remove dams that no longer serve a purpose on Metropolitan rivers and streams to: facilitate fish passage; increase upstream headwaters spawning habitat; mitigate low oxygen conditions and poor water quality in dam pools; remove safety hazards and structurally unsound dams.
  - Address thermal pollution from point source and non-point source delivery.
  - Daylight streams<sup>9</sup> and naturalize hardscaped stream channels. (e.g. American Rivers: <u>http://urbanomnibus.net/redux/wp-content/uploads/2013/11/daylighting-streams-report.pdf</u>)
  - Locate and prioritize headwater stream areas for future protection.
- 3. Increase habitat connectivity to reduce fragmentation in urban land and water habitats at all scales and facilitate wildlife movement. Use Green Infrastructure principles (Core and Hub) to establish habitat corridors to large open space.
  - Landscape Scale linkages:
    - Link large blocks of habitat together with corridor plantings of native habitat along streams and rivers
  - Community /Neighborhood Scale linkages:
    - Protect streams corridors through communities with conservation easements and as openspace
    - add native plantings and native buffer zones along streams, wetlands and lakes

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Stream Daylighting – Stream daylighting revitalizes streams by uncovering some or all of a previously covered river, stream, or stormwater drainage.

- install native habitat planting along trials and bike paths
- work with right-of-way property owners to establish linear habitat corridors
- Site Scale linkages:
  - Increase areas of native plantings in public and private land and in raingardens and swales, naturalize detention ponds and restore small wetlands.
  - Establish native habitat along streets and parkways and in conjunction with stormwater BMP practices.
- 5. Identify potential new core preserves to provide habitat for grassland, woodland and wetland species according to existing conservation plans and through GIS conservation planning.
- 6. Investigate causes of initial decline and feasibility of reintroduction success. Species reintroductions may be appropriate where species have been extirpated, where suitable habitat has been reestablished, and where fragmentation prevents re-colonization.
- 7. Research value of Metropolitan Areas for neotropical migrants and other migratory species.
- 8. Recognize and manage specific (niche) habitats in Metropolitan Areas that provide for SGCN not found in the rest of the State such as cave amphipods in karst region, Blue-spotted Salamander in northern flatwoods, and Blanding's Turtle.
- 9. Address wildlife species/human interaction with appropriate education and training for mutually beneficial interaction including large carnivore, deer populations and other urban wildlife.
- 10. Study urban areas for their importance or role in maintaining Illinois species of SGCN.
  - Species that thrive in and have adapted to urban habitat –i.e. peregrine falcon, chimney swift, black-crowned night herons
  - Species that have restricted historical ranges that has or is currently being subjected to urban and exurban development.
  - Research Urban Tree Canopy importance for Illinois migratory specie.
  - Establish a baseline and inventory of existing Urban tree canopies (e.g. Chicago Regional Trees Initiative (CRTI), The Morton Arboretum - <u>http://www.mortonarb.org/science-</u> <u>conservation/chicago-region-trees-initiative</u>)
  - Study wildlife disease and potential zoonotic diseases.
- 11. Establish long term monitoring of SGCN and the species they depend on. Provide data to State and local agencies to inform management decisions. Expand and refine existing data sharing networks for transfer of information.
- 12. Utilize and train volunteers as stewards and citizen scientists to expand habitat restoration capabilities across the state and to expand collected data.

#### TARGETED ACTION RECOMMENDATIONS:

**Targeted Actions:** for the purposed of the Green Cities Campaign **targeted actions** are being defined as actions addressing specific stressors caused by human development and human interaction with the natural world and wildlife. These actions are representative of the some of the current urban conservation best management practices that have been shown to enhance resiliency and ecological function in urban areas.

### 1. Organize under a common conservation goal and coordinate planning efforts:

Need: Urban land-use patterns and rapid land-use change destroys and fragments important wildlife habitats that support SGCN. Establishing under a collective voice will provide a platform for comprehensive priority resource protection, planning and outreach. (e.g. – Vital Lands Illinois: <u>http://www.grandvictoriafdn.org/how-we-work/how-can-we-overcome-fragmentation-and-unite-behind-a-big-picture-vision</u>)

If not already existing, establish a Metropolitan Area–wide network of coordination among local, county, state, and federal resource agencies, regional planning agencies, and private conservation groups to promote area-wide comprehensive resource planning and work together to:

- Develop a baseline inventory of the urban area's natural resources;
- Set conservation priorities and goals, include habitat and species priorities specific to the Urban area (e.g. - Chicago Wilderness *Biodiversity Recovery Plan* <u>http://www.chicagowilderness.org/?page=publicationsnew</u>);

Work with the conservation community to assist county and local units of government, citizens and stakeholders to develop strategic planning that protects, preserves and enhances natural resources and their vital ecosystem functions:

- Develop technical assistance programs for local jurisdictions on watershed and natural resource planning (e.g. Heartlands Conservancy – Building Greener Communities: <u>https://www.heartlandsconservancy.org/what-we-do/fosteringlivable-sustainable-communities/</u>);
- Develop outreach programs for urban area populations on the importance of natural resources, and informal educational opportunities for citizen scientists or volunteers (e.g. Chicago Audubon – Bird Collision Monitors);
- Provide technical assistance to local park districts and towns for acquisition of natural space and native habitat restoration
- Establish a forest preserve or a township open space district if no local open space entity current exists for access to open space grants or funding
- Developing county comprehensive plans with natural resource policy chapters and resource mapping (e.g. – Winnebago and Boone Greenways Plan: <u>http://ims.wingis.org/Greenways/</u>)
- Update ordinances to be natural resource sensitive through targeted assistance programs (e.g.- CMAP assessment for five watershed communities: <u>http://www.cmap.illinoisSilver Creek & Sleepy Hollow Creek Watershed</u> <u>Comprehensive Plan and Ordinance Assessment.gov/programs-andresources/local-ordinances-toolkits</u>)
- Locate and establish funding mechanisms for resource-sensitive planning work (e.g. IEPA Watershed-Based Planning grants)
- Facilitate comprehensive natural resources protection and connectivity in planning with local agencies and jurisdictions:
  - Introduce green infrastructure planning concepts (Benedict and McMahon: Green Infrastructure: Linking Landscapes and Communities);

- Creation of large preserves and protection of priority natural areas and remnant communities;
- Creation of landscape linkages (including trails and stream buffers) that connect and expand existing natural areas to provide wildlife corridors movement corridors and recreational corridors
- Establishment of site-based green infrastructure (BMP) practices, native plantings, other.
- Utilize GIS mapping tools and facilitate in the gathering of resource layers
- Provide a platform for cross-jurisdictional planning through a variety of venues such as workshops, mapping exercises and yearly theme-based seminars.
- Provide accessibility to resource layers through easy-to-use interactive web sites (e.g. Interactive web mapper – The Field Museum: <u>http://www.fieldmuseum.org/science/special-projects/gis-science-and-education/gis-science-and-education-interactive-maps</u>)

## 2. Integrate wildlife and habitat conservation in developed areas

Need: SGCN have experienced habitat decline and loss in urban areas from uninformed local landuse decisions. Increasing technical assistance for local units of government and property owners will help facilitate establishment of wildlife habitat and habitat linkages within developed areas:

- In partnership with local jurisdictions, indentify methods to expand protection of high quality habitats and increase urban native plantings, urban tree canopy and forests through watershed planning, grant programs, easements, mitigation funds and other avenues.
- Work with local jurisdictions to develop or redevelop using "conservation design" principles to establish neighborhood/community scale openspace and landscape linkages and trails, for wildlife and humans. Connect to large natural habitats.
- Coordinate with local Land Conservancies; establish a conservancy if needed.
- Coordinate with federal and state resource agencies and private funders and identify match resources to provide greatest wildlife and habitat benefit.
- Encourage inter-agency and inter-jurisdictional coordination through coordinated networking.
- Develop area workshops and educational materials for specific topics and target audiences (e.g. Beyond the Basics Stormwater Management Seminars –The Conservation Foundation, Naperville, IL).
- Integrate native species into the public and private property plantings working with jurisdictions, DOTs and right-of-way owners.
- Work with and educate individual property owners (i.e. Conservation @ Home, Conservation @ Work: <u>http://www.theconservationfoundation.org</u>)
- Encourage and develop wildlife and natural resource monitoring programs that can continuously update biodiversity inventories. (e.g.- Plants of Concern, Chicago Botanic Garden: <u>http://www.plantsofconcern.org/</u>)
- Explore/expand the use of programs, smartphone apps and online venues as a means of promoting citizen scientists, collecting digital data and expanding citizen knowledge base. (National Great Rivers Research and Education Center RiverWatch program: <u>http://www.ngrrec.org/riverwatch/</u>)
- •

# 3. Improve water quality in areas under high development pressure and/or within fragile geographic zones.

Need: Local jurisdictions do not typically examine the lakes, streams and rivers within their boundaries on the comprehensive watershed basis needed (i.e - looking beyond their community borders) to ensure they institute actions that will sustain and improve water quality and SGCN they support. Actions below address integrated planning methods that will help address resource needs and also aid communities in complying with federal and state regulations for water resources.

- Coordinate with IEPA and USEPA and local jurisdictions on Clean Water Act legislation and work with local NPDES and MS4 (Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems) communities to provide workshops, BMP information and education materials that satisfy MS4 Permit requirements and annual reporting. (USEPA MS4 requirements: <u>http://www.epa.illinois.gov/topics/forms/water-permits/stormwater/ms4/index</u>).
- Promote development of local subwatershed plans and work to implement projects from subwatershed plans and other integrated natural resource plans.
- Promote riparian development and redevelopment that allows for native buffers, resource enhancement, and increased uses of public river access.
- Work with counties and communities on protection of groundwater-dependent resources such as streams and fens. (e.g. - Class Three Groundwater designations -Illinois Nature Preserves.)
- Promote adoption of resource-sensitive water policies and ordinances at the county and local scale. Actions could include:
  - Institute stormwater fees and incentives to off set fees.
  - Establish imperviousness limits.
  - Develop Watershed-wide river and wetland buffering standards.
  - Protect the floodplain from development.
  - Recreate overflow space for streams in urban areas, and investigate benefits of the FEMA Hazard Mitigation program where appropriate (e.g. McHenry County 2014, Nippersink Creek <u>http://usasearch.fema.gov/search?query=Nippersink+creek+mchenry+cou</u>
  - <u>nty&op=Search&affiliate=fema</u>).
     Encourage reductions in road salt applications through alternative methods (e.g. Beet Juice applications).
  - Promote preservation of headwater streams as a flood and stormwater mitigation strategy (e.g. - Milwaukee Metropolitan Sewerage District Greenseams program.

http://www.mmsd.com/floodmanagement/greenseams).

 Address Combined Sewer Overflow systems (CSO) – sewer systems that are designed to collect rainwater runoff, domestic sewage, and industrial wastewater in the same pipe. Separate stormwater from wastewater and infiltrate stormwater.

- Deduce pollution and Improve water quality of point discharge and nonpoint discharge<sup>10</sup> by implementing Illinois Nutrient Loss Reduction Strategy guidelines and other guidelines to reduce nitrogen and phosphorus levels in urban lakes, streams, and rivers.
- For those counties with authority but no current plan, develop a countywide storm water ordinance (Illinois P.A. 94-675 (55 ILCS 5/5-1062.2)

# 4. Integrate natural areas conservation, ecology and environmental education into local Metropolitan area school curricula.

Need: Developmentally appropriate nature education is a critical step to ensuring future environmental stewardship. This education effort must also address changing demographics. The U.S. minority population, currently 30 percent, is expected to exceed 50 percent before 2050. No other advanced, populous country will see such diversity. Most of America's net population growth will be among its minorities, as well as in a growing mixed-race population. Latino and Asian populations are expected to nearly triple. Today in the United States, 25 percent of children under age 5 are Hispanic; by 2050, that percentage will be almost 40 percent. (Smithsonian: <u>http://www.smithsonianmag.com/40th-anniversary/the-changing-demographics-of-america-538284/#kB5PQ2Rtyk1tfCW2.99</u>)

- Target early childhood education; provide opportunities for outdoor nature experiences.
- Provide area specific and bilingual educational and research materials for public and private school use.
- Connect students to wildlife research via citizen science portals and websites. (www.chicagowildlifewatch.org; http://www.friendsofthefoxriver.org/)
- Address changing population demographics to target conservation education to the expanding and ethnically/racially diverse populations of the future.
- Work with higher education institutions to provide hands-on experience and training in conservation practices to develop a qualified conservation applicant pool.
- Connect culturally diverse citizens with nature. Build support for ecosystem protection by partnering with multicultural organizations to host events and programs focused on local nature. Bring nature to them and into their communities. (Openlands: Space to Grow: Greening Chicago Schoolyards: <u>http://www.openlands.org/space-to-grow</u>)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Nonpoint source pollution generally results from land runoff, precipitation, atmospheric deposition, drainage, seepage or hydrologic modification. The term "nonpoint source" is defined to mean any source of water pollution that does not meet the legal definition of "point source" in section 502(14) of the Clean Water Act. That definition states:

The term "point source" means any discernible, confined and discrete conveyance, including but not limited to any pipe, ditch, channel, tunnel, conduit, well, discrete fissure, container, rolling stock, concentrated animal feeding operation, or vessel or other floating craft, from which pollutants are or may be discharged. This term does not include agricultural storm water discharges and return flows from irrigated agriculture. http://water.epa.gov/polwaste/nps/whatis.cfm

# 5. Fill information gaps and research needs, and develop conservation actions to address priority stresses for the Metropolitan area.

Need: Urban areas may serve an increasing role in maintaining and conserving SGCN in the future. Urban areas in Illinois still contain significant resources, and are believed to be playing a key role in regional migration patterns and other dispersal needs for SGCN. A better understand of the Urban area's role, and future actions necessary to maintain and enhance SGCN within the expanding footprint of Illinois Metropolitans/Urban area is needed.

- Study urban boundaries and growth patterns, in relation to important habitats and species locations, to inform land and water protection decisions.
- Study impacts of road / highway / interstate construction on wildlife habitats and connectivity of movement for wildlife.
- Determine value of urban areas for migration and climate change adaptation for species located and utilizing urban areas.
- Better understand the rural-urban interface and improve actions with respect to deer, mesopredators (e.g., cats, raccoons), apex predators (e.g., cougar) humanwildlife conflicts, invasive species, recruitment, dispersal and survival of wildlife, and infrastructure (e.g., roads)
- Improve our understanding of how urban wildlife species select and move between habitats, and come into conflict with humans, in order to develop growth strategies for urban areas that minimize conflict and protect SGCN species.
- Develop effective strategies for deer harvest in urban and suburban settings to alleviate extreme habitat degradation caused by overpopulation.
- Study the effects of climate change and determine adaptive management steps needed to maintain and enhance habitats to support SGCN.

# 6. Increase access to open lands and waters within and near Metropolitan areas for wildliferelated recreation.

Need: Connection to natural resource value comes with contact to the natural world. Increase access to natural lands to help Illinois' urban area citizens experience wildlife adventures and encounters.

- Work with local land holding and open space agencies that share a common recreational mission to create and connect region-wide land and water trails.
- Increase concentration of IDNR naturalist, fishing and other outdoor programs to heavily populated areas
- Increase naturalist and other DNR programs in state parks with high usage by constituents. Make these designated IDNR Priority Outreach work areas.
- Continue to open up private lands for public users (e.g. IDNR Recreational Access Program (IRAP)).

Appendix materials – Green Cities Campaign

APPENDIX 1 - Illinois Metropolitan/Urban Focus Areas: Maps and Statistical information



Metropolitan/Urban Focus Areas

For purposes of this campaign, eight Illinois Metropolitan/Urban Focus Areas have been identified: Rockford Metropolitan Area; Chicago Metropolitan Area; Quad Cities Metropolitan Area; Peoria Metropolitan Area; Bloomington & Champaign/Urbana Metropolitan Area; Springfield/Decatur Metropolitan Area; East St. Louis Metropolitan Area; and Carbondale/Marion Metropolitan Area. The whole of the Metropolitan Planning Area for the Quad Cities, Rockford, Peoria, and East St. Louis have also been included in the Focus areas shown.



A *metropolitan statistical area* has an urban core with a population of 50,000 or more, and it can contain multiple counties that either include the core area or are integrated socially and economically into the urban core. These areas are delineated by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), and in Illinois, there are 13 metropolitan statistical areas. Please review Table 1 for IL's metropolitan areas and total populations and *Appendix 1, Map: IL Metropolitan Statistical Areas* to better visualize these spaces. For data ranging from 1960 – 2013, use Tables 2 and 3 to find the total populations and percent change in each metropolitan area.

Metropolitan Statistical Area	Included IL Counties	Total Population in IL
Bloomington, IL	De Witt; McLean	186,251
Cape Girardeau, MO-IL	Alexander	8,147
Carbondale-Marion, IL	Jackson; Williamson	126,327
Champaign-Urbana, IL	Champaign; Ford; Piatt	231,655
Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI	Cook; DeKalb; DuPage; Grundy; Kane; Kendall; Lake; McHenry; Will	8,587,206
Danville, IL	Vermilion	81,463
Davenport-Moline-Rock Island, IA- IL	Henry; Mercer; Rock Island	214,303
Decatur, IL	Macon	110,558
Kankakee, IL	Kankakee	113,170
Peoria, IL	Peoria; Stark; Tazewell; Woodford	378,886
Rockford, IL	Boone; Winnebago	348,574
Springfield, IL	Menard; Sangamon	210,202
St. Louis, MO-IL	Bond; Calhoun; Clinton; Jersey; Macoupin; Madison; Monroe; St. Clair	671,733

 Table 1: IL Metropolitan Statistical Area Counties and Population

# Table 2: Population Levels in Metropolitan Statistical Areas, 1960 – 2013

Metropolitan	2013	2000	1990	1980	1970	1960
Statistical Area						
Bloomington, IL	186,251	167,231	145,696	137,257	121,364	101,130
Cape Girardeau, MO-IL	8,147	9,590	10,626	12,264	12,015	16,061
Carbondale- Marion, IL	126,327	120,908	118,800	118,060	104,029	88,268
Champaign- Urbana, IL	231,655	210,275	202,848	200,238	195,172	164,002
Chicago- Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI	8,587,206	8,272,768	7,410,858	7,246,032	7,103,510	6,312,517
Danville, IL	81,463	83,919	88,257	95,222	97,047	96,176
Davenport- Moline-Rock Island, IA-IL	214,303	217,351	217,172	243,222	237,245	217,457
Decatur, IL	110,558	114,706	117,206	131,375	125,010	118,257
Kankakee, IL	113.170	103,833	96,255	102,926	97,250	92,063
Peoria, IL	378,886	366,899	358,552	387,732	362,791	334,898
Rockford, IL	348,574	320,204	283,719	279,514	272,063	230,091
Springfield, IL	210,202	201,437	189,550	187,789	171,020	155,787
St. Louis, MO-IL	671,733	671,581	656,987	659,969	665,992	607,274

Metropolitan	2000 - 2013	1990 -	1980 -	1970 - 1980	1960 -
Statistical Area		2000	1990		1970
Bloomington, IL	11.37%	14.78%	6.15%	13.10%	20.01%
Cape Girardeau, MO-IL	-15.05%	-9.75%	-13.36%	2.07%	-25.19%
Carbondale-Marion, IL	4.48%	1.77%	0.63%	13.49%	17.86%
Champaign-Urbana, IL	10.17%	3.66%	1.30%	2.60%	19.01%
Chicago-Naperville-					
Elgin, IL-IN-WI	3.80%	11.63%	2.27%	2.01%	12.53%
Danville, IL	-2.93%	-4.92%	-7.31%	-1.88%	0.91%
Davenport-Moline-					
Rock Island, IA-IL	-1.40%	0.08%	-10.71%	2.52%	9.10%
Decatur, IL	-3.62%	-2.13%	-10.79%	5.09%	5.71%
Kankakee, IL	8.99%	7.87%	-6.48%	5.84%	5.63%
Peoria, IL	3.27%	2.33%	-7.53%	6.87%	8.33%
Rockford, IL	8.86%	12.86%	1.50%	2.74%	18.24%
Springfield, IL	4.35%	6.27%	0.94%	9.81%	9.78%
St. Louis, MO-IL	0.02%	2.22%	-0.45%	-0.90%	9.67%

 Table 3: Percent Change in Total Population in Metropolitan Statistical Areas

 Table 4: Total Number of Natural Communities in Illinois by Metropolitan Area

Metropolitan Statistical Area	Freshwater Communities	Subterranean Communities	Terrestrial Communities	Other Ecological Communities
Bloomington, IL	2	0	5	0
Cape Girardeau, MO-IL	2	0	8	0
Carbondale-Marion, IL	3	5	5	1
Champaign-Urbana, IL	0	0	15	0
Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI	46	0	109	209
Danville, IL	0	0	6	6
Davenport-Moline-Rock Island, IA-	2	0	16	1
IL				
Decatur, IL	2	0	4	0
Kankakee, IL	2	1	15	0
Peoria, IL	1	0	37	2
Rockford, IL	4	0	12	1
Springfield, IL	0	0	5	0
St. Louis, MO-IL	11	21	84	0



# Table 5: Total Acreage of Nature Preserves By State: 106,741.022 Acres. (Includes NaturePreserves and land and Water Preserves)

Nature preserves are protected as a part of state law, and they are instrumental in the preservation of Illinois's native wildlife. The IL Nature Preserves Commission works with private and public landowners to maintain and protect these protected zones. A preserve ranges in size from one acre to more than 2,000 acres, and they provide protection to more than 900 different types of endangered threatened animals and plants. These areas are especially useful in areas with higher levels of development and human population since they provide protected zones for wildlife. For the total nature preserve acreage in each metropolitan statistical area, please review Table 5. Reference *Appendix 3– IDNR Nature Preserves by Metropolitan Statistical Area* for the map displaying this information. <u>http://dnr.state.il.us/INPC/</u>

Metropolitan Statistical Area	Nature Preserve Total Acreage		
Bloomington, IL	1,485.50		
Cape Girardeau, MO-IL	552.29		
Carbondale-Marion, IL	1,459.37		
Champaign-Urbana, IL	1,501.44		
Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI	35,195.09		
Danville, IL	2,262.47		
Davenport-Moline-Rock Island, IA-IL	515.76		
Decatur, IL	342.97		
Kankakee, IL	1,920.57		
Peoria, IL	4,485.51		
Rockford, IL	1,407.23		
Springfield, IL	367.27		
St. Louis, MO-IL	6,427.23		

## By Metropolitan Statistical Area

# **Table 6:** Conservation Opportunity Areas in IL's Metropolitan Statistical Areas Conservation Opportunity Areas (COAs) are spaces:

• with significant existing or potential wildlife and habitat resources;

- where partners are willing to plan, implement, and evaluate conservation actions;
- where financial and human resources are available;
- where conservation is motivated by an agreed-upon conservation purpose and set of objectives

http://www.dnr.illinois.gov/conservation/iwap/pages/conservationopportunityareas.aspx



Please review Table 6 for Conservation Opportunity Areas in each Metropolitan Statistical Area. Figure \* *IL Conservation Opportunity Areas by Metropolitan Statistical Area* - distribution across Illinois.

Metropolitan Statistical Area	Conservation Opportunity Areas
Bloomington, IL	None listed
Cape Girardeau, MO-IL	Cache River – Cypress Creek LaRue – Pine Hills – Western Shawnee – Trail of Tears Middle Mississippi River
Carbondale-Marion, IL	Eastern Shawnee LaRue – Pine Hills – Western Shawnee – Trail of Tears Pyramid – Arkland Landscape Hill Prairie Corridor – South Section Middle Mississippi River
Champaign-Urbana, IL	Vermilion River (Middle Fork, North Fork & Salt Form) & Vermilion R*
Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI	Illinois Beach – Chiwaukee Prairie Kankakee Sands – Kankakee River – Momence Wetlands – Pembroke Savanna Lower Fox River Midewin – Des Plaines – Goose Lake Prairie Upper Des Plaines River Cooridor Coon Creek – Kishwaukee River – Crow's Foot Marsh Lake McHenry Wetland Complex
Danville, IL	Vermilion River (Middle Fork, North Fork & Salt Form) & Vermilion R*
Davenport-Moline-Rock Island, IA- IL	Upper Mississippi River
Decatur, IL	None listed
Kankakee, IL	Kankakee Sands – Kankakee River – Momence Wetlands – Pembroke Savanna
Peoria, IL	Middle Illinois River – Meredosia to DePue Mason County Sand Areas
Rockford, IL	Coon Creek – Kishwaukee River – Crow's Foot Marsh Rock River Sugar-Pecatonica River
Springfield, IL	Mason County Sand Areas
St. Louis, MO-IL	Hill Prairie Corridor – North Section Hill Prairie Corridor – South Section Lower Kaskaskia Bottomlands Middle Mississippi River Pere Marquette Prairie Ridge Landscape Sinkhole Plain Upper Mississippi

# Table 6: Conservation Opportunity Areas in each Metropolitan Statistical Area.

#### Urban Areas by population - Map from IDNR Urban Flooding Awareness Act Study



Figure 1: As of 2014, urban areas in Illinois account for 7.4% of total land area of the state. Land use within areas now identified as urban has changed from forest, agriculture, and wetlands to developed urban uses, which now cover about 80% more land area.

#### Additional Resource maps showing Metropolitan/Urban areas overlaid:







IL Birding Areas by Metropolitan Statistical Area in Illinois



# GREEN CITIES: APPENDIX 2

# Migratory Stopover Habitat and Neotropical Migrants focal species discussion

#### Migrants of concern

What follows is a ranking of the Migratory species of birds in order of the potential importance that Illinois urban areas might play for the species. These birds are recommended as prime representatives for the Metropolitan/Urban Focal Areas of the diversity of migrant songbirds, weighted toward Neotropical Migrants and declining species. (Doug Stotz, The Field Museum/ Michael Patrick Ward, Illinois Natural History Survey)

- 1. Golden-winged Warbler steep decline, nests mainly west of Great Lakes, migration-oak woodlands
- 2. Connecticut Warbler small global pop, wintering range unknown, migration-dense understory, Chicago one of best places to see species in world
- 3. Bay-breasted Warbler declining spruce breeder, heart of breeding range north of us, migration-oak woodlands
- 4. Black-throated Green Warbler winters in Mexican mountains, pine breeder, migration-oak woodlands
- 5. Rusty Blackbird spruce bog breeder, winters SE US, rapid decline, Illinois pops holding up better than most migration low-lying woodlands (riparian, flatwoods, etc.)
- 6. LeConte's Sparrow declining grassland sparrow, winters SE US (to so. Illinois), breeds northern Great Plains, migration-dense grasslands
- 7. Canada Warbler declining spruce breeder, winters base of Andes, under pressure at both ends migration-mostly understory of good woodlands
- 8. Blackburnian Warble, conifer breeder, winter mid-Andean slopes, under pressure at both ends migration-oak woodlands.
- 9. Nelson's Sparrow basically same as LeConte's Sparrow, but in wetter habitats, so probably less at risk
- 10. Philadelphia Vireo uncommon woodland species, Central American winterer Migration-Oak woodlands
- 11. Cape May Warbler declining spruce breeder, West Indian winterer, migration-oak woodlands, flowering trees and shrubs
- 12. Nashville Warbler conifer breeder, Mexican mountains in winter migration oak woodlands
- 13. Black-and-white Warbler declining, Central American winterer migration oak woodlands
- 14. Mourning Warbler declining, but more widespread and common than Connecticut, migrationunderstory

# **GREEN CITIES: APPENDIX 3: 1928 Pollinator study**

Plant, common name	Plant, scientific name	Blooming season	Туре	Long-tongued bees	Short- tongued bees
Virginia Bluebell	Mertensia virginica	early spring	woodland wildflower	16	2
Spring Beauty	Claytonia viginica	early spring	woodland wildflower	21	37
Jacob's Ladder	Polemonium reptans	spring	woodland wildflower	21	17
Golden Alexanders	Zizea aurea	spring	prairie forb	19	42
Red Bud	Cercis canadensis	spring	tree	22	19
Salix interior	Salix interior	spring	tree	16	43
Foxglove beards- tongue	Pentstemon digitalis	late Spring - summer	prairie forb	17	5
Purple Cone- flower	Echinacea purpurea	summer	prairie forb	16	7
Swamp Milkweed	Asclepias incarnate	summer	prairie forb	12	6
Man of the Earth	lpomoea pandurate	summer	prairie forb	14	0
Brown-eyed Susan	Rudbeckia triloba	summer	prairie forb	23	25
Sawtooth sunflower	Helianthus grosseserratus	fall	prairie forb	29	9
Hairy white oldfield aster	Symphyotrichum pilosum	fall	prairie forb	37	53

Number of bees found on various plants at Carlinville, Ill. by Charles Robertson

Adapted by John C. Marlin from Flowers and Insects by Charles Robertson, 1928.

#### **GREEN CITIES: APPENDIX 4 – Definition of Terms**

An **ecosystem** is a dynamic complex of plant, animal, and microorganism communities and the nonliving environment interacting as a functional unit. Humans are an integral part of ecosystems. (Millennium Ecosystem Assessment)

**Ecosystem services** are the benefits people obtain from ecosystems. These include provisioning services such as food and water; regulating services such as flood and disease control; cultural services such as spiritual, recreational, and cultural benefits; and supporting services, such as nutrient cycling, that maintain the conditions for life on Earth. (Millennium Ecosystem Assessment)

A **Metropolitan Planning Area** is defined in the Code of Federal Regulations, (23 CFR 450.104) as the geographic area in which the metropolitan transportation planning process must be carried out. This term is further described in 23 CFR 450.308. The MPA boundary shall, as a minimum, cover the UZA(s) and the contiguous geographic area(s) likely to become urbanized within the twenty year forecast period covered by the transportation plan. The boundary may encompass the entire metropolitan statistical area or consolidated metropolitan statistical area, as defined by the Census Bureau.

**Metropolitan Statistical Area:** Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSA), as described by the U.S. Census Bureau using 2010 standards, must have at least one urbanized area of 50,000 or more inhabitants. The largest city in each MSA is designated a "principal city." Additional cities qualify if specified requirements are met concerning population size and employment. The title of each MSA consists of the names of up to three of its principal cities and the name of each state into which the metropolitan statistical area extends. MSA information: <a href="http://www.census.gov/population/metro/">http://www.census.gov/population/metro/</a>

**Nonpoint source pollution** generally results from land runoff, precipitation, atmospheric deposition, drainage, seepage or hydrologic modification. The term "nonpoint source" is defined to mean any source of water pollution that does not meet the legal definition of "point source" in section 502(14) of the Clean Water Act. That definition states:

The term "point source" means any discernible, confined and discrete conveyance, including but not limited to any pipe, ditch, channel, tunnel, conduit, well, discrete fissure, container, rolling stock, concentrated animal feeding operation, or vessel or other floating craft, from which pollutants are or may be discharged. This term does not include agricultural storm water discharges and return flows from irrigated agriculture. http://water.epa.gov/polwaste/nps/whatis.cfm

An **Urbanized Area** is a statistical geographic entity designated by the Census Bureau, consisting of a central core and adjacent densely settled territory that together contain at least 50,000 people, generally with an overall population density of at least 1,000 people per square mile. Within the transportation planning community Urbanized Areas are typically referred to as the UZAs.

# **Citations**

Chicago Wilderness Biodiversity Recovery Plan http://www.chicagowilderness.org/?page=publicationsnew

#### Wetlands:

<u>http://www.fws.gov/wetlands/Documents%5C%5CWetland-Resources-of-Illinois-An-Analysis-and-</u> <u>Atlas.pdf</u>

## **Pollinators**

Murray, T. E., M. Kuhlmann, and S. G. Potts. 2009. Conservation ecology of bees: populations, species, and communities. Apidologie 40:211-236.

Scheper, J., A. Holzchuh, M. Kuussaari, S. G. Potts, M. Rundlöf, H. G. Smith, and D. Kleijn. 2013. Environmental factors driving the effectiveness of European agrienvironmental measures in mitigating pollinator loss - a meta-analysis. Ecology Letters 16:912-920.

*Tonietto, B. 2015. Effects of Habitat Restoration on Wild Bee Communities. (Unpublished Doctoral Dissertaion). Northwestern University, Evanston, IL.* 

USDA. Monarch Butterfly Habitat Needs: Milkweeds and Nectar Sources. (n.d.). Retrieved from <u>http://www.fs.fed.us/wildflowers/pollinators/Monarch\_Butterfly/habitat/</u>

# Chimney Swift

North American Bird Conservation Initiative, U.S. Committee. 2014. The State of the Birds 2014 Report. U.S. Department of Interior, Washington, D.C. 16 pages.

# <u>Climate</u>

Lehmann, J., Coumou, D., Frieler, K. (2015): Increased record-breaking precipitation events under global warming. Climatic Change [DOI: 10.1007/s10584-015-1434-y]

# Grassland birds:

http://chicagoregion.audubon.org/sites/default/files/documents/kane\_plan\_for\_printer.pdf http://bcnbirds.org/greenpapers\_files/RestoringLargePrairies.pdf

*Ward, M.P., B. Semel, and J. Herkert. 2010. Long-term declines of wetland-dependent birds in a rapidly developing landscape. Biodiversity and Conservation* **19**: 3287-3300.

#### **Migratory Bird information:**

(http://www.fws.gov/migratorybirds/partnerships/urbantreaty/urbantreaty.html

http://www.bcnbirds.org/window.htm

http://www.bcnbirds.org/greenpapers\_files/GPflyway.html

Herkert et al. 1993 An Analysis of Midwestern Breeding Bird Population Trends: 1966-1993 James R. Herkert, American Midland Naturalist Vol. 134, No. 1 (Jul., 1995), pp. 41-50