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## Summary

Understanding and estimating gene flow between populations of H. scutatum may be vital to the conservation of this species. A total of 153 tissue samples were collected from three subpopulations of $H$. scutatum at the Middlefork State Fish and Wildlife Area and 28 microsatellite loci from four salamander species were screened for their potential usefulness. Of these, only 7 proved useful for this project. A subsample of individuals from each subpopulation were genotyped and MICROCHECKER v.2.2.3 3 (Oosterhout et al. 2004) and GenePop v3.4 (Raymond \& Rousset, 1995) and were used to check for scoring errors, null alleles, and large allele dropout and to test the assumptions of HardyWeinberg equilibrium and linkage equilibrium respectively. GENEPOP v3.4 was also used to calculate the inbreeding coefficient, $\mathrm{F}_{\text {IS }}$, for each population and GENEALEx v6.5 (Peakall and Smouse 2012) was used to evaluate genetic diversity by calculating the allelic diversity and heterozygosity for each population. These subpopulations of $H$. scutatum may be useful as a model for future restoration sites for this and other similar salamander species.

## Project Objectives

1. Collect tissue samples from individuals at each site.
2. Estimate genetic variation within each population.

## Introduction

Globally amphibians are declining at a greater rate than other vertebrate taxa (Alford \& Richards 1999, Barinaga 1990). In Illinois alone, eight of the 41 native amphibian species are listed as endangered or threatened and an additional six species have been identified as conservation priorities (Illinois Wildlife Action Plan 2005). The long term survival of any species depends on its ability to tolerate or adapt to changes in its environment. Commonly, such changes involve short-term natural processes, such as seasonal weather changes, and species in temperate climates evolved phenotypic plasticity to respond to these environmental fluctuations. Directional shifts of environmental conditions, such as lower average temperatures towards colder climate or increased precipitation, generally occurred slowly and species could track and adapt to these long-term changes. But human impacts with long-term consequences, including habitat fragmentation or destruction, introduction of exotic species, and environmental pollution, have occurred with increasing frequency and at an accelerated rate and tested the ability of species to adapt.

The capacity or evolutionary flexibility of a species to cope with new environmental challenges depends on the genetic diversity present in the population. As populations decrease in size and become increasingly isolated, alleles are lost due to genetic drift, and inbreeding as a result of decreased genetic diversity can become a serious problem. Because of their limited lifetime dispersal, plethodontid salamanders are especially vulnerable to habitat fragmentation resulting in isolated populations and decreased genetic diversity. Microsatellites are especially useful for assessing genetic diversity because they are highly polymorphic, can be examined with PCR-based techniques, and are relatively inexpensive.

The four-toed salamander, Hemidactylium scutatum, is a plethodontid species with a large geographic distribution ranging as far north as Nova Scotia, south to Florida,
and as far west as Oklahoma and Missouri (Petranka 1998). The distribution of this species is unusual among plethodontids, however, in that it is characterized by patchy occurrence in the southern and western sections of its range. Even in the continuous portions of its distribution H. scutatum populations tend to centralize around patches of suitable habitat due to the specific breeding requirements of this species. An understanding of the genetic variation present in the remaining Illinois populations of $H$. scutatum may be important for the future management and conservation decisions for this state-threatened species and for other plethodontids with limited dispersal capabilities.

The objectives of this study are to collect tissue samples from and estimate genetic variation within populations of $H$. scutatum in the Middle Fork State Fish and Wildlife Area. Based on these results, the level of inbreeding within the populations will be determined. These findings will be important for future assessments of the health of $H$. scutatum populations within Illinois and may be used to advise future management and conservation decisions.

## Materials and Methods

I conducted visual encounter surveys for H. scutatum at three localities (Northern Marsh, Sweet Flag Marsh, and Silvery Seep) in the Middle Fork State Fish and Wildlife Area in Vermilion County, IL from March - November of 2009, 2010, 2011 , and 2012. For each capture, I recorded the GPS location, salamander gender and size, and photographed the underside of the individual for re-capture identification purposes. Small tail clips ( $1-5 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) were collected from individuals with a mass larger than 0.18 g using sterilized clippers and stored in EtOH at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The target sample size for each breeding pool was 30 tissue samples. I isolated whole genomic DNA from tail clips using a Qiagen DNEasy Extraction kit following the manufacture's protocol with the exception that tissue samples were digested overnight in proteinase K .

I screened 28 microsatellite loci from four salamander species for their potential usefulness in amplification of target DNA and assessing gene flow in in H. scutatum. Seven of these loci (HS3a, HS3b, HS5, HS7, HS8, HS14, and HS15) were developed for H. scutatum by the Reid Harris lab at James Madison University. The remaining loci were initially designed for other salamander species, including 11 for Plethodon elongatus (PE0, PE1, PE3, PE4, PE5, PE7, PE8, PE9, PE10, PE11, and PE12; Degross et al. 2004), seven for P. cinereus (PC1, PC2, PC3, PC4, PC5, PC6, and PC7; Connors \& Cabe 2003), and three for Dicamptodon tenebrosus (DT4, DT5, and DT8; Curtis \& Taylor 2001). Initial screening was assessed using gel electrophoresis and successful primers were assigned to fluorescent dyes. To further assess and examine the usefulness of these loci in terms of polymorphism, loci specificity, and signal strength and to genotype individuals using these loci, fragment analysis of resulting PCR products was conducted on an Applied Biosystems 3730xl Analyzer at the W. M. Keck Center for Comparative and Functional Genomics, University of Illinois. An internal size standard (Liz 500) was included with each sample to determine fragment length. I scored alleles using Genemapper v3.5 and genotypes compiled in an excel spreadsheet.

Genotype scoring errors, the presence of null alleles, and the occurrence of large allele dropout were assessed via MICROCHECKER v2.2.3 (Oosterhout et al. 2004). I used GenePop v3.4 (Raymond and Rousset 1995) to test each locus for Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium and linkage disequilibrium and to estimate the inbreeding coefficient, $\mathrm{F}_{\text {IS }}$, for
each population. I used GeneAlEx v6.5 (Peakall and Smouse 2012) to evaluate genetic diversity by calculating the allelic diversity and heterozygosity for each population.

## Results

A total of 153 unique tissue samples (Table 1) were collected from H. scutatum at three distinct locations in the Middlefork State Fish and Wildlife Area (Figure 1). Of the 28 microsatellite loci screened, 20 initially amplified via gel electrophoresis (Figure 2, Table 2) and were subsequently assigned to a fluorescent dye. When screened using fragment analysis at the Keck Center, eight of these loci, HS3a, HS5, HS7, HS8, HS14, $\mathrm{HS} 15, \mathrm{PC} 1$, and PC 2 , demonstrated variability and appeared to be potentially useful in assessing the gene flow and population genetics of H. scutatum and were used in genetic analyses. The remaining 12 loci, HS3b, PE0, PE3, PE7, PE8, PE9, PE10, PE11, PC5, DT4, DT5, and DT8, had additional scoring problems, including inconsistent or weak amplification and artifacts. As a result, I excluded these from this analysis, but additional optimization could potentially render these loci useful in future studies of $H$. scutatum. Because amplification issues sometimes resulted in incomplete genotypes, a subset of tissue samples with the most complete genotypes were chosen for each location to be used in the genetic analysis (Table 3). While no large allele dropout was detected for any of the eight loci, a homozygote excess, possibly suggestive of null alleles, was found at locus HS7 in the Sweet Flag Marsh population. As a result, this was the only marker/population combination for which a violation of Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium was indicated (Table 4). HS7 was also involved in the only marker pair/population combination (HS7 \& PC1/Silvery Seep) for which linkage disequilibrium was suggested (Table 5). Because a test analysis run without HS7 resulted in no effective difference in results and because the p-value for the linkage disequilibrium test was not highly significant, HS7 was included in subsequent analyses. The number of observed alleles and number of effective alleles found at each locus in each population are shown in Table 6 and a summary of the allelic diversity per population, including mean number of alleles, mean number of effective alleles, mean number of rare alleles, and mean number of private alleles, is illustrated in Figure 3. While the mean number of alleles ranged from 2.750 (Northern Marsh) to 8.500 (Sweet Flag Marsh), the mean effective numbers of alleles in each population were relatively similar and ranged only from 2.433 (Northern Marsh) to 3.782 (Silvery Seep). The genetic diversity as measured by heterozygosity, and the inbreeding coefficients, FIS, for each population are shown in Table 7 and Table 8 respectively.

## Discussion

While the goal of at least 30 tissue samples was achieved for both the Sweet Flag Marsh and the Silvery Seep populations, obtaining a sufficient samples size from the Northern Marsh was challenging. While this may be an indication of low population density, the drought-like conditions of the 2012 sampling season probably contributed to the difficulty in finding individuals.

Microsatellite cross-amplification is notoriously difficult in amphibians as a result of their large genome size (Garner 2002). Because of this, it is not surprising that so many of the loci from species other than $H$. scutatum failed to amplify initially or were not able to be scored. Despite this, the results obtained using the reduced set of seven loci
should be relatively robust as a number of other studies have successfully used similar numbers of microsatellites to assess salamander population structure (i.e. 6 loci (Cabe et al. 2007), 7 loci (Giordano et al 2007, Noël et al. 2007), and 8 loci (Spear et al. 2005, Purrenhage et al. 2009)).

Because the observed levels of heterozygosity were greater than the expected levels of heterozygosity in every population and because large numbers of alleles were found for each locus in each population, there appears to be no inbreeding and relatively high levels of genetic diversity for this species in these locations. Similarly, all values for $\mathrm{F}_{\text {IS }}$ were very close to zero, suggesting that no more inbreeding is occurring than would be expected by chance. While high levels of genetic diversity could be caused by a high mutation rate, other research suggests that these three "populations" are actually all part of one larger population and that gene flow may readily occur between them or has occurred between them in the recent past (Berkey unpubl. data).

Although H. scutatum is state threatened in Illinois, the relatively high levels of expected heterozygosity and effectively zero values for $F_{\text {IS }}$ in each population found in this study suggests that these subpopulations are healthy in terms of genetic diversity and inbreeding. Because this species is of conservation concern, this site could be used as a model for future restoration efforts for $H$. scutatum and other similar salamander species and the levels of heterozygosity found here could serve as a baseline data to assess future management needs.

Table 1. Results of Visual Encounter Surveys

| Population | Individuals Encountered | No. of Tissue Samples |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Northern Marsh | 3 | 2 |
| Sweet Flag Marsh | 178 | 101 |
| Silvery Seep | 61 | 50 |
| Total | 242 | 153 |

Table 2. Amplification and Potential Usefulness of Screened Microsatellites

| Species | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { GenBank } \\ \# \end{array}$ | Locus | Citation | Initial Screening Results | Final Usefulness |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N/A | HS3a | McGrath (1996) | + | + |
|  | N/A | HS3b |  | + | Ambiguous |
|  | N/A | HS5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Schrecengost } \\ & (1998) \end{aligned}$ | + | + |
|  | N/A | HS7 |  | + | + |
|  | N/A | HS8 |  | + | + |
|  | N/A | HS14 |  | + | + |
|  | N/A | HS15 | Reid (1994) | + | + |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | AY532595 | PE0 | Degross et al. (2004) | + | Ambiguous |
|  | AY532596 | PE1 |  | - | - |
|  | AY532597 | PE3 |  | + | Ambiguous |
|  | AY532598 | PE4 |  | - | - |
|  | AY532599 | PE5 |  | - | - |
|  | AY532600 | PE7 |  | + | Ambiguous |
|  | AY532601 | PE8 |  | + | Ambiguous |
|  | AY532602 | PE9 |  | + | - |
|  | AY532603 | PE10 |  | + | - |
|  | AY532604 | PE11 |  | + | - |
|  | AY532605 | PE12 |  | - | - |
|  | AY151377 | PC1 | Connors \& Cabe (2003) | + | + |
|  | AY151374 | PC2 |  | + | + |
|  | AY151380 | PC3 |  | - | - |
|  | AY151379 | PC4 |  | - | - |
|  | AY151372 | PC5 |  | + | - |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AY151376 | PC6 |  | - | - |
|  | AY151373 | PC7 |  | - | - |
|  | AF149305 | DT4 | Curtis \& Taylor (2001) | + | Ambiguous |
|  | AF150725 | DT5 |  | + | Ambiguous |
|  | AF150728 | DT8 |  | + | Ambiguous |

Table 3. Final Samples Sizes used in Genetic Analysis

| Population | Sample Size |
| :--- | ---: |
| Northern Marsh | 2 |
| Sweet Flag Marsh | 53 |
| Silvery Seep | 31 |
| Total | 86 |

Table 4. Results of Hardy-Weinberg Tests ( $\mathrm{HI}=$ heterozygote deficient)

| Population | Locus | P-value* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Northern Marsh | HS14 | 1.0000 |
|  | HS15 | N/A** |
|  | HS3b | 1.0000 |
|  | HS5 | 0.3333 |
|  | HS7 | 1.0000 |
|  | HS8 | 1.0000 |
|  | PC1 | N/A** |
|  | PC2 | N/A** |
| Sweet Flag <br> Marsh | HS14 | 0.0149 |
|  | HS15 | 0.4538 |
|  | HS3b | 0.5702 |
|  | HS5 | 0.1485 |
|  | HS7 | 0.0000 |
|  | HS8 | 0.6447 |
|  | PCl | 0.7858 |
|  | PC2 | 0.1245 |
| Silvery Seep | HS14 | 0.3191 |
|  | HS15 | 0.4905 |
|  | HS3b | 0.0933 |
|  | HS5 | 0.8633 |
|  | HS7 | 0.1006 |
|  | HS8 | 0.0118 |
|  | PC1 | 0.1325 |
|  | PC2 | 0.3064 |

*To reduce the chance of Type I error due to multiple comparisons, the p-value needed for significance is $\mathrm{p}<0.00208$ (Bonferroni correction).
**Not available due to small sample size.

Table 5. Results of Tests for Linkage Disequilibrium

| Population* | Locus \#1 | Locus \#2 | P-value** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SF | HS14 | HS15 | 0.505803 |
| SF | HS14 | HS3b | 0.381346 |
| SF | HS15 | HS3b | 0.292471 |
| SF | HS14 | HS5 | 0.537894 |
| SF | HS15 | HS5 | 0.784105 |
| SF | HS3b | HS5 | 0.597005 |
| SF | HS14 | HS7 | 0.359231 |
| SF | HS15 | HS7 | 0.50257 |
| SF | HS3b | HS7 | 0.917335 |
| SF | HS5 | HS7 | 0.624627 |
| SF | HS14 | HS8 | 0.034469 |
| SF | HS15 | HS8 | 0.221889 |
| SF | HS3b | HS8 | 0.060098 |
| SF | HS5 | HS8 | 0.463774 |
| SF | HS7 | HS8 | 0.144583 |
| SF | HS14 | PC1 | 0.593275 |
| SF | HS15 | PC1 | 0.210328 |
| SF | HS3b | PC 1 | 0.056382 |
| SF | HS5 | PCl | 0.407901 |
| SF | HS7 | PC1 | 0.370698 |
| SF | HS8 | PC1 | 0.582916 |
| SF | HS14 | PC2 | 0.843522 |
| SF | HS15 | PC2 | 0.221361 |
| SF | HS3b | PC2 | 0.429495 |
| SF | HS5 | PC2 | 0.579298 |
| SF | HS7 | PC2 | 0.058932 |
| SF | HS8 | PC2 | 0.349058 |
| SF | PC1 | PC2 | 0.291652 |
| SS | HS14 | HS15 | 1.000000 |
| SS | HS14 | HS3b | 0.678051 |
| SS | HS15 | HS3b | 0.848423 |
| SS | HS14 | HS5 | 0.362071 |
| SS | HS15 | HS5 | 0.548622 |
| SS | HS3b | HS5 | 0.276448 |
| SS | HS14 | HS7 | 0.656383 |
| SS | HS15 | HS7 | 0.697767 |
| SS | HS3b | HS7 | 0.929021 |
| SS | HS5 | HS7 | 0.372291 |
| SS | HS14 | HS8 | 0.97592 |
| SS | HS15 | HS8 | 0.903865 |
| SS | HS3b | HS8 | 0.358231 |
| SS | HS5 | HS8 | 0.078494 |
| SS | HS7 | HS8 | 0.496616 |


| SS | HS14 | PC1 | 0.715394 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| SS | HS15 | PC1 | 0.65083 |
| SS | HS3b | PC1 | 0.64202 |
| SS | HS5 | PC1 | 0.292805 |
| SS | HS7 | PC1 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 0 2 9 3}$ |
| SS | HS8 | PC1 | 0.585925 |
| SS | HS14 | PC2 | 0.361297 |
| SS | HS15 | PC2 | 0.642569 |
| SS | HS3b | PC2 | 0.479241 |
| SS | HS5 | PC2 | 0.772166 |
| SS | HS7 | PC2 | 0.744442 |
| SS | HS8 | PC2 | 0.416838 |
| SS | PC1 | PC2 | 0.438524 |

*P-values for Northern Marsh population not available due to small sample size.
**To reduce the chance of Type I error due to multiple comparisons, the p -value needed for significance is $p<0.000595$ (Bonferroni correction).

Table 6. Allelic Diversity per Population

| Population | Locus | Sample Size (N) | No. Alleles ( Na ) | No. Effective Alleles (Ne) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HS14 | 2 | 3.000 | 2.667 |
|  | HS15 | 2 | 2.000 | 1.600 |
|  | HS3b | 2 | 3.000 | 2.667 |
|  | HS5 | 2 | 3.000 | 2.667 |
|  | HS7 | 2 | 4.000 | 4.000 |
|  | HS8 | 2 | 3.000 | 2.667 |
|  | PC1 | 2 | 2.000 | 1.600 |
|  | PC2 | 2 | 2.000 | 1.600 |
|  | HS14 | 53 | 6.000 | 2.746 |
|  | HS15 | 53 | 15.000 | 3.192 |
|  | HS3b | 53 | 8.000 | 3.806 |
|  | HS5 | 53 | 9.000 | 4.594 |
|  | HS7 | 53 | 14.000 | 3.249 |
|  | HS8 | 53 | 8.000 | 4.379 |
|  | PC1 | 53 | 2.000 | 1.994 |
|  | PC2 | 53 | 6.000 | 2.117 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{\ddot{0}} \\ & \ddot{\sim} \\ & \dot{己} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\Delta} \end{aligned}$ | HS14 | 31 | 6.000 | 3.348 |
|  | HS15 | 31 | 14.000 | 5.555 |
|  | HS3b | 31 | 10.000 | 2.696 |
|  | HS5 | 31 | 8.000 | 5.414 |
|  | HS7 | 31 | 14.000 | 4.398 |
|  | HS8 | 31 | 7.000 | 4.449 |
|  | PCl | 31 | 2.000 | 1.875 |
|  | PC2 | 31 | 5.000 | 2.522 |

Table 7. Genetic Diversity as Measured by Heterozygosity

| Population |  | Observed Het. | Expected Het. | Unbiased Expected Het. |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Northern Marsh | Mean | 0.750 | 0.547 | 0.729 |
|  | SE | 0.094 | 0.052 | 0.070 |
| Sweet Flag Marsh | Mean | 0.667 | 0.666 | 0.673 |
|  | SE | 0.050 | 0.038 | 0.038 |
| Silvery Seep | Mean | 0.734 | 0.698 | 0.709 |
|  | SE | 0.036 | 0.044 | 0.045 |
| All Populations | Mean | 0.717 | 0.637 | 0.704 |
|  | SE | 0.037 | 0.028 | 0.029 |

Table 8. Inbreeding Coefficients ( $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{IS}}$ ) for Each Population

| Population | $\mathbf{F}_{\text {IS }}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Northern Marsh | -0.0435 |
| Sweet Flag Marsh | 0.0081 |
| Silvery Seep | -0.0351 |



Figure 2. Example Gel Photo Illustrating Successful Cross-Amplification of Locus PC1


L = 50bp Ladder
$\mathrm{N}=$ Negative Control (No DNA)
HS = Cross-amplification (H. scutatum DNA)
$\mathrm{P}=$ Positive Control (Plethodon cinereus (original target species for PC1) DNA)

Figure 3. Number of Alleles (mean $+/-$ SE) of All Combined Loci for Each Population


## Digital Images

Image 1. H. scutatum adult on the forest floor.


Image 2. Group of H. scutatum found under a log.


Image 3. Ventral view of H. scutatum


Image 4. H. scutatum nest


Image 5. Researcher measuring $H$. scutatum individual
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| Appendix I. Supplies Purchased* | Cost |
| :--- | ---: |
| Description |  |
| UIUC Life Sciences Storeroom - Laboratory Supplies (i.e. Pipette tips, gloves, |  |
| PCR Reagents, DNA Extraction Kits) | 555.09 |
| Integrated DNA Technologies - Microsatellite Primers | 848.80 |
| VWR International, Inc. - Misc. Laboratory Supplies | 89.11 |
| Grainger - Electronic Balance (x1) | 75.02 |
| Fisher Scientific - Laboratory Reagents | 35.77 |
| Denville Scientific, Inc. - Cryovials (x1 case) | 135.89 |
| DOT Scientific, Inc. - PCR Strip Tubes (x120 strips) | 78.50 |
| Total | 1818.18 |

*Additional supplies and contractual services at the UIUC Keck Center paid for by other funding sources.
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03/18/2011

## FUNDS

## MARGARET/EMAIL

Said publisher further certifies that the date of the first paper containing the said notice was on the first date hereinabove set forth and that the date of the last paper continuing the said notice was on the last date hereinabove set forth,
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