

fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Dan Malooly

020000

William Turner  
517 N Merrill St  
Park Ridge, IL 60068-3403

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

020001

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
William Turner

Tessa Meiser  
6443 Clarendon Hills Rd  
Willowbrook, IL 60527-2150

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

There are no good options for disposing of the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

Ban fracking in this state and everywhere else before it's too late.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Tessa Meiser

Elisa Helford  
1705 Highland Ter  
Glenview, IL 60025-2284

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

026013

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for

high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Elisa Helford

Brigid Malone  
1107 Sherman Ave  
Evanston, IL 60202-1335

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two

026005

respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Brighid Malone

Russell Rybicki  
2803 S Myra Ridge Dr  
Urbana, IL 61802-7034

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

020006

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

I have been concerned about fracking for long time. We can't take chances with our water!!!

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed

026007



rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Russell Rybicki

Benita Coffey  
7430 N Ridge Blvd  
Chicago, IL 60645-1913

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

026008

Sincerely,  
Benita Coffey

Lynnley Wilson  
22148 Schaaf Ct  
Richton Park, IL 60471-1032

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

This is why the public doesn't trust our government to make the hard decisions needed to protect our environment. Why in the world would The Illinois Department of Natural Resources, the very department SWORN to protect our resources and keep our groundwater safe and untainted, release new INADEQUATE regulations for fracking in our state?? Fracking has already PROVEN to pollute and poison the lands and environments surrounding these "extraction" sites. These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

The Illinois Department of Natural Resources CANNOT allow this to happen! The people of Illinois are depending on you to protect us. Don't be coerced by promises of "jobs and money". Believe me, Illinois will see little profit despite the enticing promises and perks being dangled in front of your department. There are things that are far more important than the wealth of these corporations whose only goal is to make more and more profit and the future be damned. Once these waters are contaminated, they are poisoned forever. It can't be undone!!

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or

026000

abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Lynnley Wilson

020010

Philip Southworth  
1111 Ontario St Apt 810  
Oak Park, IL 60302-1983

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

026011

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Philip Southworth

Matthew Black  
2241 S Marshall Blvd  
Chicago, IL 60623-3534

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

020012

Fracking is a new technology and it's under-studied. We need to approach it with caution. Protecting the environment is good for business, good for the prosperity of Illinois, and good for its citizens.

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Matthew Black

Linda Trujillo  
6821 White Ct  
Woodridge, IL 60517-1925

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits,

020014

when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Linda Trujillo

Lena Rush  
2621 W Rice St # 3  
Chicago, IL 60622-4541

Dec 17, 2013

020015



Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and

fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Lena Rush

Linda Sullivan  
5008 N Hermitage Ave  
Chicago, IL 60640-2732

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to

026017

create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Linda Sullivan

6.20018

marilyn black  
1630 Sheridan Rd  
Wilmette, IL 60091-1876

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for

626019

fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
marilyn black

Marvin Makinen  
5628 S Harper Ave  
Chicago, IL 60637-1832

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater,

020620

our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

We cannot afford to ruin the environment and the health of our children.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Marvin Makinen

mark zablocki  
17 Broadway Pl  
Normal, IL 61761-3610

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well

(measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
mark zablocki

Bob Gendron  
6134 N Maplewood Ave  
Chicago, IL 60659-2804

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

020023



Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence

of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Bob Gendron

john johnson  
1109 Grant Ave  
Rockford, IL 61103-6105

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at

020025

(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
john johnson

Cindy Jensen  
508 Park Ct  
Fox River Grove, IL  
60021-1125

- 020026

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating

020027

new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Cindy Jensen

Susan Lee  
1354 Waukegan Rd  
Northbrook, IL 60062-4680

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

020028

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,

020028

Susan Lee

carol hanson  
2460 Swan Pond Rd  
Dongola, IL 62926-2616

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile

- 020030

away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
carol hanson

Melodie Huffman  
47 Shorewood Dr S  
Danville, IL 61832-1415

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

020031



These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Melodie Huffman

Nancy Laskero  
7039 W Summerdale Ave  
Chicago, IL 60656-1942

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well

026033

(measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Nancy Laskero

John Landers  
PO Box 126  
Oneida, IL 61467-0126

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

020034

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence

of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
John Landers

Leland Becker  
625 Woodlea Rd  
Kankakee, IL 60901-8197

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at

020035

(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Leland Becker

Barrett Goldflies  
4824 W Balmoral Ave  
Chicago, IL 60630-1504

020087

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

020033

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Barrett Goldflies

Trisha Winn  
11 Jan Ave  
Kankakee, IL 60901-6003

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or

020039



abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Trisha Winn

026040

Gary Houston  
1525 W Granville Ave  
Chicago, IL 60660-1811

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

025041

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Gary Houston

Ricardo Garcia  
6732 S Pulaski Rd  
Chicago, IL 60629-4119

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for

026043

high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Ricardo Garcia

Keith Adams  
1432 W Elmdale Ave Apt 3w  
Chicago, IL 60660-2563

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two

respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Keith Adams

michael landess  
627 Hardin Ave  
Jacksonville, IL 62650-3067

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

026045

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well! blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
michael landess

Kenneth Jones  
9058 Forestview Rd  
Evanston, IL 60203-1913

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and

026047



grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Kenneth Jones

norman sands  
11649 S Brightway Dr  
Mokena, IL 60448-1437

020048

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

020043

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
norman sands

Robert Sacks  
641 Sunset Dr  
Naperville, IL 60540-6630

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from

026050

fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Robert Sacks

020051

Charles Jelinek  
1542 W Sherwin Ave Apt 2n  
Chicago, IL 60626-2136

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Charles Jelinek

Cat Roberts  
3202 S Prairie Ave Apt 3  
Chicago, IL 60616-5701

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

626053

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can

guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Cat Roberts

David Rechs  
221 S Maple Ave Apt A  
Oak Park, IL 60302-3095

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

026065



existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
David Rechs

James Bodkin  
13 Turning Shore Dr  
South Barrington, IL  
60010-9597

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

020056

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

020657

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
James Bodkin

mathieu sussman  
251 N Larch Ave  
Elmhurst, IL 60126-2731

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and

020058

grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
mathieu sussman

Marie Quinn  
304 Stewart Ave  
Waukegan, IL 60085-2062

026759

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Marie Quinn

Elizabeth Schuch  
1239 Highridge Pkwy  
Westchester, IL 60154-3422

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from

026061

fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Elizabeth Schuch

Steve Schueth  
421 W Barry Ave  
Chicago, IL 60657-5545

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

020063



Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Steve Schueth

Laura Maushard  
2718 N Prospect Rd  
Peoria, IL 61603-2141

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

1120064

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can

guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Laura Maushard

George Brower  
3908 N Grant St  
Westmont, IL 60559-1106

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

020066

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
George Brower

Todd Hartman  
1603 Imperial Dr  
Glenview, IL 60026-1543

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

026007

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

D2D068

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Todd Hartman

Rita Kain  
219 Bates St  
Earlville, IL 60518-8133

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits,

020069

when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking. I was just curious, did ALEX write these rules, it sure seems that they had a major influence on them!

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Rita Kain

Joseph Nowosielski  
15630 Rockdale Rd  
South Beloit, IL 61080-9201

020070

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

026071



As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Joseph Nowosielski

James Sarelas  
3860 Sugar Hill Rd  
Ava, IL 62907-2130

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from

fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
James Sarelas

020070

susan friedman  
3900 N Lake Shore Dr Apt 7c  
Chicago, IL 60613-3463

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

020074

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
susan friedman

Charlene Wrobel  
3930 N Clark St  
Chicago, IL 60613-2651

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

026075

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can

020076

guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Charlene Wrobel

Jonice Taylor  
6750 S Ridgeland Ave  
Chicago, IL 60649-2047

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

026077

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jonice Taylor

Nicholas Williams  
816 Cole Ct  
Plano, IL 60545-9815

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

626073

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.



There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Nicholas Williams

Gary Wisby  
2538 Gross Point Rd  
Evanston, IL 60201-4929

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits,

020030

when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Gary Wisby

Joseph Jenkins  
26448 N Bittersweet Trl  
Mundelein, IL 60060-9505

Dec 17, 2013

626081

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Do what is right for the earth . Do not let GREED prevail.

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

What are we getting in return for destroying our most precious resources that are vital for not only the next generation of humans but the total ecosystem ?? Do you really believe that we must continue the earths destruction in the name of job creation ? ? It is clear there is value, big value to the few with the mega bucks and mega influence on the DNR to compromise the life quality of the masses . There will be no turning back once the damage is done and the legacy of the DNR will be one of shame. Countless jobs could be created with the development of safe alternate energy sources. ASs it stands , those running away with shameful money will not be around to see their systems fail. Greed has made all of them terribly short sighted. These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously

inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Joseph Jenkins

Elizabeth H Horner  
PO Box 540  
Wilmette, IL 60091-0540

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules.

020083

The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an

outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Elizabeth H Horner

Kathleen Hathaway  
12888 Williams Rd  
Genoa, IL 60135-7750

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under

020085

the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Kathleen Hathaway

Michael Johnson  
PO Box 892  
Mundelein, IL 60060-0892

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

026086

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed

026087



rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Michael Johnson

Lori Andrews  
1250 Pin Oak Ln  
Caseyville, IL 62232-2001

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

020088

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Lori Andrews

Sarah Winblad  
918 S Carpenter St

026089

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that

widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Sarah Winblad

Tim Marcum  
PO Box 137  
Weldon, IL 61882-0137

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

020091

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Tim Marcum

Christopher Beer  
4433 N Wolcott Ave Apt 2n  
Chicago, IL 60640-5826

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one

020033

well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Christopher Beer

Courtney Bean  
1308 E Mumford Dr  
Urbana, IL 61801-6908

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources

and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.



In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Courtney Bean

Mary Krieg  
17 Lakeview Dr  
Freeburg, IL 62243-1808

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits

020096

on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Mary Krieg

Rose & John Martin  
16845 Head Ave  
Hazel Crest, IL 60429-1309

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

020097

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois

020098

will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Rose & John Martin

J W  
I  
Chicago, IL 60625

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

626099

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
J W

John & Rose Bagley  
25w042 Keswick Ln  
Naperville, IL 60540-5910

020100

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating

new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
John & Rose Bagley

Penny Blubaugh  
4613 N Oketo Ave  
Harwood Heights, IL  
60706-4690

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.



Sincerely,  
Penny Blubaugh

Nada Finn  
3315 Victoria Ln  
Waukegan, IL 60087-5346

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one

020104

well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Nada Finn

Ken Pize  
230 N Whisp  
Maperville, IL 60550

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources

626135

and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Ken Pize

lynn beagle  
38500 N Lakeside Pl  
Antioch, IL 60002-8150

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits

020107

on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
lynn beagle

Marisol Acevedo  
2064 Holt Ln  
South Elgin, IL 60177-2205

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

020103

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow. And lead to tremors in previously stable areas.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. This regulation is inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals vague criteria for fracking permits. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Marisol Acevedo

Sandra Virella  
1189 Heathrow Ln  
Aurora, IL 60502-6814

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well

026119

(measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Sandra Virella

Kaitlyn Rose  
62 Mill St.  
Elsah, IL 62028

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

620111



Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence

of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

How can you even consider such an obviously dangerous procedure? Your mandate is to protect the environment and the health of the citizens of this state. Please do your job, and ban fracking before it has a chance to do irreparable harm to both.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Kaitlyn Rose

Betsy Davis  
97 E Sycamore St  
Normal, IL 61761-1656

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit--Please support a ban on fracking!

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

Dear DNR,

The most valuable resources that we have in Illinois are our abundant water and our rich farmland. Both of these would be destroyed by fracking.

626113

When that happens, and it will, Illinois will be a state of ghost towns.

No amount of fracking industry propaganda is going to convince people that it is safe, because there are so many examples that already exist that prove that it is NOT SAFE.

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Betsy Davis

Luan Railsback  
2719 W Reservoir Blvd Apt  
C9  
Peoria, IL 61615-4169

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at

020115

(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Luan Railsback

Helen Page  
3315 Culver St  
Evanston, IL 60201-1113

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

626117

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Helen Page

James Lindholm  
337 Iroquois Rd  
Hillside, IL 60162-1633

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or

626118

abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
James Lindholm



Matt McCrory  
South Desplaines St  
Chicago, IL 60661

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Matt McCrory

Christine Stefano  
1134 W Columbia Ave Apt  
3w  
Chicago, IL 60626-4558

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

626121

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Christine Stefano

Kent Kubert  
936 W Margate Ter  
Chicago, IL 60640-3839

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well

026123

(measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Kent Kubert

Carol Kimsey  
1162 Highland Ave  
Oak Park, IL 60304-2243

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

020124

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence

020125

of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Carol Kimsey

Calvin E Johnson  
1505 W Central St Apt E  
Marion, IL 62959-1648

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at

020126

(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

The fracking industry does not care about Illinois or the immeasurable devastation that results from fracking. Their sole objective is profit - profiting at the expense of others.

As citizens of Illinois we must care deeply for the sake of our environment and the future well-being of our state, its residents and our children.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.



Sincerely,  
Calvin E Johnson

Daniel Becker  
1800 Maplewood Ln  
Glenview, IL 60025-3011

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one

020123

well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Daniel Becker

Anna Assenmacher  
1767 N Hoyne Ave  
Chicago, IL 60647-5513

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources

026129

and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Anna Assenmacher

Jodey Schonfeld  
901 Cheshire Dr  
Champaign, IL 61821-3300

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits

020131

on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jodey Schonfeld

Frankie DiCiaccio  
2009 W Byron St  
Chicago, IL 60618-3901

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois

will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Frankie DiCiaccio

John Stoner  
2358 S Marshall Blvd  
Chicago, IL 60623-3535

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
John Stoner

Douglas Kowalewski  
336 Hiawatha Dr  
Lake IN The Hills, IL

626135



Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that

widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Douglas Kowalewski

Angie Affolter  
1650 Winston Ct  
Mundelein, IL 60060-3372

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

626137

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Angie Affolter

Matthew Burns  
628 N Genesee St  
Waukegan, IL 60085-2074

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one

026130

well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Matthew Burns

Thomas Humphrey  
9630 Keeler Ave  
Skokie, IL 60076-1128

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources

020140

and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as “fracking.”

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Thomas Humphrey

Terrence Lynch  
839 1/2 Pine St  
East Alton, IL 62024-1042

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits

on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Terrence Lynch

John Gray  
592 Stuart Dr  
Carol Stream, IL 60188-4409

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

626143



Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois

will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
John Gray

Karen Rissman  
1285 S Lawler Ave  
Lombard, IL 60148-4265

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

020145

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Karen Rissman

David Gustafson  
3419 50th St  
Moline, IL 61265-6619

020148

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating

new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
David Gustafson

Linda Tyson  
2907 Bob O Link Rd  
Flossmoor, IL 60422-1417

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

020140

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

I am appalled that the state would jeopardize the health of our citizens, especially our most vulnerable, the children by proposing such weak rules for fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can

guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Linda Tyson

Carol Jurczewski  
452 Shenstone Rd  
Riverside, IL 60546-2032

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

020450

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

**FRACKING NEEDS TO BE MORE REGULATED!! IT IS EXTREMELY ENVIRONMENTALLY DAMAGING!**

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Carol Jurczewski

ann buxbaum  
661 Checker Dr  
Buffalo Grove, IL  
60089-1410

Dec 17, 2013

020151



Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it

626152

back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
ann buxbaum

Dwyane Johnson  
2113 S 4th Ave  
Maywood, IL 60153-3326

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can

020133

flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Dwyane Johnson

Charles Stransky

626154

5060 N Marine Dr Apt E1  
Chicago, IL 60640-3213

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

026155

contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Charles Stransky

Tracy Koppel  
5434 S Cornell Ave  
Chicago, IL 60615-5604

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Protect Our Precious Groundwater!

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing

626156

fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Tracy Koppel

Jon Kelley  
PO Box 478534  
Chicago, IL 60647-7247

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously

F26153

inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jon Kelley

ivan sizemore  
913 Shorewood Dr  
Bartlett, IL 60103-4733

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules.

020159



The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an

outright ban on fracking.

With out safe clean fresh water we all die and fracking endangers our clean fresh water let us not be fracking nuts in Illinois ; please do the right thing DNR .

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
ivan sizemore

Robert Beck  
1105 E Mayfair Rd  
Arlington Heights, IL  
60004-6725

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

020161

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Robert Beck

Mary Cray  
63 N Cowley Rd  
Riverside, IL 60546-2041

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

When I got my degree in Natural Resources from UW/Stevens Point, one of my best instructors said that if we forget everything he has taught us, please remember this, "Never, ever, mess with water." Your new fracturing rules indicate that you do not deserve to be called a department of Natural Resources.

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile

away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Mary Cray

Leah Orgel  
13981 W Elm Ln  
Wadsworth, IL 60083-9443

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

626164

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Leah Orgel

Michael Buehler  
106 S Virginia Ave  
Belleville, IL 62220-3881

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well

020166

(measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Michael Buehler

luz Bustamante  
123 S Fairview Ave  
Park Ridge, IL 60068-4017

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

026167



Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence

of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Iuz Bustamante

KAYE AURIGEMMA  
10846 Martindale Dr  
Westchester, IL 60154-5021

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at

020169

(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
KAYE AURIGEMMA

Jonathan Doss  
2336 48th St  
Moline, IL 61265-5001

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

626171

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jonathan Doss

Rosemary Wyche  
322 W 101st St  
Chicago, IL 60628-1937

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or

026172

abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

Initially we were poisoning Mother Earth with pesticides and other -icides at the surface level and NOW you want to go to the interior? Looks like we will be our biggest enemy unless you do the RIGHT thing - BAN fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can

guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Rosemary Wyche

Craig Williams  
319 Dempster St  
Evanston, IL 60201-4797

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Craig Williams

Ro Johnson  
1153 S Humphrey Ave  
Oak Park, IL 60304-2237

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

626175



I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Ro Johnson

Paul Schutt  
310 S Racine Ave  
# 6  
Chicago, IL 60607-2841

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and

026177

grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Paul Schutt

Paul Schutt  
310 S Racine Ave  
# 6  
Chicago, IL 60607-2841

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

026179

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Paul Schutt

Melissa Gordon  
532 Forest Ave  
Oak Park, IL 60302-1602

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or

026180

abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

When will we as a state learn from the mistakes that have already been by other states.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Melissa Gordon

Cheshire Adams  
Chicago  
Chicago, IL 60645

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously

626182

inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Cheshire Adams

Katharine Egan  
1714 Greenwood St  
Evanston, IL 60201-4035

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules.

626183



The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an

outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Katharine Egan

Michael Mallie  
313 Illinois  
Streator, IL 61364

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under

the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Michael Mallie

Tom Mula  
5302 N Glenwood Ave  
Chicago, IL 60640-2219

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

020186

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

Our drinking water is nothing to fool around with, for the sake of someone making a few dollars. WE DON'T KNOW ENOUGH ABOUT WHAT THIS DOES  
YET TO TAKE THE RISK OF POISONING OUR GROUNDWATER.

HOLD OFF UNTIL WE KNOW MORE.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Tom Mula

frank james Johnson  
2901 W 38th St  
Chicago, IL 60632-1739

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

020187

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can

guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
frank james Johnson

diane libman  
1132 W Lunt Ave  
Chicago, IL 60626-3563

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

020139

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
diane libman

sandra earley  
3653 S Emerald Ave  
Chicago, IL 60609-1638

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

626190

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.



There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
sandra earley

T C  
16 H ST  
C H, IL 60403

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits,

026192

when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
T C

Sergio Rivera  
3319 N Karlov Ave  
Chicago, IL 60641-4611

Dec 17, 2013

020193

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and

fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Sergio Rivera

James Stephens  
276 Kenston Ct  
Geneva, IL 60134-2062

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to

020195

create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
James Stephens

Sara Foszcz  
7301 W Burgett Rd  
Richmond, IL 60071-9787

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

The extreme damage fracking can cause can't be reversed in our lifetime - and you can't pretend there aren't people and animals whose lives have been lost & ruined because of fracking...if we have to have it (which we don't) - at least make regulations that are going to stand watch over our most precious natural resource. If our water is lost - so are we...it truly is that simple!

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

020197

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Sara Foszcz

Helen Seiler  
1500 Chapel Ct  
Northbrook, IL 60062-4650

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

026133

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.



There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Helen Seiler

Terri Kierski  
330 W 1st St  
Spring Valley, IL 61362-1304

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits,

026200

when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Terri Kierski

Jose Santiago  
16617 S Mueller Cir  
Plainfield, IL 60586-7275

Dec 17, 2013

026201

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and

020202

fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on tracking.

I don't want to see any form of fracking in this state, what's more important fuel that kills our water and land or lives? I rather ride a horse than to sacrifice my grand children's future health. Do what the people who elected you to do want not what special interest want. No means NO!!!!

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jose Santiago

Megan Norris  
1000 W Washington Blvd  
Chicago, IL 60607-2137

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing

020203

fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Megan Norris

Peter Masterton  
680 W Bel Aire Ln  
Mount Prospect, IL  
60056-4225

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock

020205

formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Peter Masterton

Richard Cichon  
3215 Arthur Ave  
Brookfield, IL 60513-1221

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

626206

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only



begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Richard Cichon

Robert Clapper  
1079 Bucks Pond Rd  
Monticello, IL 61856-8058

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned

020208

wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Robert Clapper

Donald Wegener  
541 N Ashbury Ave  
Bolingbrook, IL 60440-1201

Dec 17, 2013

626209

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it

back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Donald Wegener

jerry arnolts  
9 Hilltop Trailer Ct  
Lexington, IL 61753-9614

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can

020211

flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
jerry arnolts

jacqueline buehring

020212

841 Proud Clarion Ct  
Naperville, IL 60540-6824

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

020213

contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
jacqueline buehring

Merle Felder  
4230 N Northbrook Ct  
Peoria, IL 61614-6737

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing

020214

fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.



Sincerely,  
Merle Felder

Rita Killean  
4063 W Glenlake Ave  
Chicago, IL 60646-5238

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously

inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Rita Killean

Sarah Antos  
5740 Vial Pkwy  
La Grange Highlands, IL  
60525-3740

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

020217

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only

begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Sarah Antos

angelo sturino  
170 N Northwest Hwy  
Park Ridge, IL 60068-3340

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned

020219

wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
angelo sturino

Judith Hultzen  
4524 N Mozart St  
Chicago, IL 60625-3817

Dec 17, 2013

020220

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it

626221

back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Judith Hultzen

Dawn O'Rourke  
3827 N Fremont St  
Chicago, IL 60613-3020

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can

020222

flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Dawn O'Rourke

John McCowen

020223



607 N Almond St  
Carbondale, IL 62901-1307

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
John McCowen

james hodgkinson  
5941 Rolling Hills Ln  
Belleville, IL 62223-7003

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing

626225

fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
james hodgkinson

Carl Augenstein  
2002 N Halsted St  
Chicago, IL 60614-4332

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously

626227

inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Carl Augenstein

Nancy Graham  
388 Narragansett Ct  
Crystal Lake, IL 60012-3701

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules.

026223

The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an

outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Nancy Graham

Nancy Graham  
388 Narragansett Ct  
Crystal Lake, IL 60012-3701

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under

020237

the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Nancy Graham

mike beckstrom  
53 W Crystal Lake Ave  
Crystal Lake, IL 60014-6157

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

020231



Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed

020232

rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
mike beckstrom

Allison Fradkin  
3616 Torrey Pines Pkwy  
Northbrook, IL 60062-3104

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

026233

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Allison Fradkin

james Heller  
2651 W Lunt Ave

020234

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that

widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
James Heller

Michael Mikulka  
2018 Blue Pine Dr  
Crystal Lake, IL 60012-1184

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

020236

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Michael Mikulka

Jeremy Kirkman  
28w790 Calumet Ave E  
Warrenville, IL 60555-2814

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one

026238

well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jeremy Kirkman

Diana Urbon  
846 Fox Chase Dr  
Round Lake Beach, IL  
60073-4102

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules.

626239



The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an

outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Diana Urbon

Dawn Larsen  
2720 W Greenleaf Ave  
Chicago, IL 60645-3014

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under

020241

the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Dawn Larsen

Allan Cardenas  
5626 W Pensacola Ave  
Chicago, IL 60634-1827

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

626242

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed

rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Allan Cardenas

Kathy Hurd  
642 Courtney Ln  
Winfield, IL 60190

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

020244

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Kathy Hurd

00  
2538 W Superior St

026245

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that

widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
0 0

Nova Randolph  
21848 Mocaby Rd  
Thompsonville, IL  
62890-3802

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Are you truly a citizen-serving organization??? Not according to the fracking rules you've proposed!!

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater,

026247



our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-

volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Nova Randolph

John & Barbara Sullivan  
2229 N Brighton Pl  
Arlington Heights, IL  
60004-3349

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

026249

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
John & Barbara Sullivan

Pearl Berman  
18611 W Sterling Ct  
Grayslake, IL 60030-4015

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

626259

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

026251

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Pearl Berman

Aaron Thompson  
645 N Kingsbury St  
Chicago, IL 60654-6940

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits,

626252

when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Aaron Thompson

Kathryn Schubert  
1113 W Webster Ave  
Chicago, IL 60614-3510

Dec 17, 2013

626253

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

Just don't allow fracking anywhere, especially in Illinois

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

020254

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Kathryn Schubert

Gloria Callaci  
2431 Pioneer Rd  
Evanston, IL 60201-2520

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or

020205



abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Gloria Callaci

020256

Matt Fitzgerald  
420 E Ohio St  
Chicago, IL 60611-3390

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Let's ruin all of the ground water!! Hooray!!

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

020237

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Matt Fitzgerald

Clifford White  
1002 S 2nd St  
Springfield, IL 62704-3024

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed

626258

rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Clifford White

Peter Ayres  
25w640 Indian Hill Woods  
Naperville, IL 60563-1468

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for

626259

fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Peter Ayres

Richard Seitz  
32 State St Apt A  
Charleston, IL 61920-1481

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two

020260

respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Richard Seitz

Robin Pinosof  
2784 Fort Sheridan Ave  
Highland Park, IL 60035-1417

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

626261

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Robin Pinsof

Paul Schutt  
310 S Racine Ave  
Chicago, IL 60607-2841

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing

626262

fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking. Please stop it NOW!

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Paul Schutt

Sarah Cardiff  
902 Sundew Ct  
Aurora, IL 60504-6880

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

626263



I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Sarah Cardiff

Jerry Golden  
307 S Sherman St  
Clinton, IL 61727-2512

020264

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

620285

Sincerely,  
Jerry Golden

Carol Devoss  
1003 Ash St  
St Charles, IL 60174-4116

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

626266

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Carol Devoss

James O'Malley  
920 Dartmouth Dr  
Wheaton, IL 60189-6126

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

020267

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
James O'Malley

Rita Graves  
121 E Southgate Rd  
Peoria, IL 61614-3028

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one

020263

well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Rita Graves

Alice Englebretsen  
501 E California Ave  
Urbana, IL 61801-4335

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking".

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned

626269

wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Alice Englebretsen

Eileen Welch  
2134-3rd Street  
Moline, IL 61265

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from

020270

fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

I support a total ban on fracking for Illinois!

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Eileen Welch

Jovanka Hedeker  
425 Willow Ave  
Deerfield, IL 60015-4025

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed

020271



rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jovanka Hedeker

Eberhard Veit  
3502 S Kilkenny Dr  
Crystal Lake, IL 60014-4714

Dec 3, 2013

020272

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Eberhard Veit

626273

Donna Buechler-Furey  
2675 Piper Ln  
Springfield, IL 62707-6927

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an

020274

outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Donna Buechler-Furey

John Llewellyn  
4529 N Mozart St  
Chicago, IL 60625-3816

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and

020275

fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
John Llewellyn

Bogdan Kwak  
1541 Harlem Ave  
Forest Park, IL 60130-2670

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

020276

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Bogdan Kwak

Kathie Cunningham  
6846 N Washtenaw Ave  
Chicago, IL 60645-4524

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits

626277

on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Kathie Cunningham

karen tilton  
2656 Union St  
Blue Island, IL 60406-1925

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can

020278

flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
karen tilton

Wyman Whipple  
1427 Knox Highway 12  
Dahinda, IL 61428-9301

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

626279



These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Wyman Whipple

Nicholas Williams  
816 Cole Ct  
Plano, IL 60545-9815

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

020280

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Nicholas Williams

Rhoda Symons  
345 Elmwood Ave

- 626261

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and

well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Rhoda Symons

Rhoda Symons  
345 Elmwood Ave  
Crystal Lake, IL 60014-5163

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence

6-26283

of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Rhoda Symons

Joseph Goble  
1123 Maple Ave  
Macomb, IL 61455-3241

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that

020284

widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Joseph Goble

Albert Chesrow  
2103 W Webster Ave  
Chicago, IL 60647-3319

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock

026285

formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Albert Chesrow

Ryan Pilcher  
1531 N Talman Ave # 1  
Chicago, IL 60622-1630

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and

020286

grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Ryan Pilcher

Elizabeth Kinsman  
4515 N Karlov Ave  
Chicago, IL 60630-4401

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

020287



New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Elizabeth Kinsman

Stephen French  
29 Pine Circle Dr  
Tuscola, IL 61953-2024

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed

626283

rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Stephen French

Beverly Lucas  
900 W Sunset Dr Apt 211  
Glenwood, IL 60425-1153

Dec 3, 2013

626288

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Beverly Lucas

026290

Vicki Zoch  
408 Blackberry Dr  
Bull Valley, IL 60098-8103

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an

020291

outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Vicki Zoch

Sienna Cittadino  
1513 Dogwood Rd  
Carbondale, IL 62902-5095

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and

626292

fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

The people of Illinois will not sit idly by; Private hydraulic fracturing companies, the government, and all others with vested interests in fracking cannot possibly hope to simply force their way of doing things through on this issue. There will be governmental oversight with strict rules, or no fracking at all. Although the latter is what I prefer, we will in no instance give up on getting our home and our people the best possible treatment and opportunities as are humanly possible.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Sienna Cittadino

Ariel Moore  
229 Indian Trl  
Lake IN The Hills, IL 60156-1389

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under

626493

the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Ariel Moore

Julie McLauchlin  
1421 E 54th Pl  
Chicago, IL 60615-5404

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to

626294

create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Julie McLaughlin

Jonathon MeDrano  
1032 S Springinsguth Rd  
Schaumburg, IL 60193-3404

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking".

626295



These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jonathon MeDrano

William Kavanagh  
710 S Oak Park Ave  
Oak Park, IL 60304-1216

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

626296

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
William Kavanagh

Amy Andrews

020297

2815 W Fargo Ave  
Chicago, IL 60645-1221

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume

020298

hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Amy Andrews

Joyce Case  
38w322 Berquist Dr  
Geneva, IL 60134-6139

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois

626293

will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Joyce Case

Bette Jordan  
5122 Lee St  
Skokie, IL 60077-2140

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

020307

contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Bette Jordan

Cheryl Engram Francis  
1410 Vines Rd  
Cobden, IL 62920-3615

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

. 020301

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Cheryl Engram Francis

Ken Schmidt  
178 S Lombard Ave # 1401  
Lombard, IL 60148-2750

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at

(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Ken Schmidt

kim sears  
harrison sy  
Batava, IL 60510

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

020303



New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
kim sears

Robert Jakubiec  
992 Reserve Ct  
Fox Lake, IL 60020-2125

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

020304

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Robert Jakubiec

Nicolle Nadreau  
18543 W Main St  
Gages Lake, IL 60030-1756

020315

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,

624306

Nicolle Nadreau

Vince Vitale  
2009 Tracy Ct  
Hanover Park, IL 60133-5124

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only

626307

begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Vince Vitale

Charlene Nelson  
10877 US Route 150  
Oakwood, IL 61858-6126

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

626313

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Charlene Nelson

Pamela Eaton  
861 Dumaroc Rd  
De Soto, IL 62924-3025

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile

020300

away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Pamela Eaton

Myra Perez  
1148 Center Dr  
Marengo, IL 60152-3633

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under

620310

the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Myra Perez

Darrel Follman  
300 Circle Ave  
Forest Park, IL 60130-1674

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to

626311



create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Darrel Follman

emma bradshaw  
2868 Valley Forge Rd  
Lisle, IL 60532-3256

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

025312

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
emma bradshaw

robert davidson  
9216 Robinson Ave  
Franklin Park, IL 60131-2020

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

020313

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
robert davidson

Peter Gerlach

026314

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume

026315

hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Peter Gerlach

Kathleen Rude  
1056 Osterman Ave  
Deerfield, IL 60015-4253

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: No Fracking in Illinois

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will NOT protect our groundwater from cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence

of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Kathleen Rude

Dean Peerman  
929 W Foster Ave Apt 2023  
Chicago, IL 60640-1685

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that

626317

widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Dean Peerman

Molly Schafer  
3827 N Paulina St  
Chicago, IL 60613-2715

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock

626313

formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Molly Schafer

Eva Beck  
161 W Harrison St Unit 1102  
Chicago, IL 60605-1081

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and

620319



grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Eva Beck

Laura Campbell  
13 Phillippi Creek Dr  
Elgin, IL 60120-7586

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

020320

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Laura Campbell

Ellen Alek  
2275 Aurora Dr Unit 4  
Pingree Grove, IL 60140-6440

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed

626321

rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Ellen Alek

Sandra Ditlove  
28774 W Manitoba Trl  
Mchenry, IL 60051-7390

Dec 3, 2013

626322

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Sandra Ditlove

626323

Michael Ramirez  
3441 Elmwood Ave  
Berwyn, IL 60402-3839

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an

626324

outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Michael Ramirez

Elizabeth Claffey  
2157 W Sunnyside Ave  
Chicago, IL 60625-1625

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and

020325

fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Elizabeth Claffey

Annette Cavanagh  
2771 Forreast Green Drive  
Decatur, IL 62521-5475

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

020326

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Annette Cavanagh

Karen Zedek  
333 N Canal St Apt 1504  
Chicago, IL 60606-1373

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits

626327



on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Karen Zedek

Phyllis Treadwell  
446 S State St  
Hampshire, IL 60140-9644

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can

626323

flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Phyllis Treadwell

Patricia Spencer  
5832 S Stony Island Ave  
Chicago, IL 60637-2059

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

626329

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Patricia Spencer

Joe rejkowski  
1009 Canyon Run Rd  
Naperville, IL 60565-2857

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

626339

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Joe rejkowski

renee caputo  
28w521 Purnell Rd

020331

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

If the IDNR cannot use sound science to guide policy, then it is time to defund this agency for dereliction of duty.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
renee caputo

Jackie Hirsh  
1895 Lake Ave  
Highland Park, IL 60035-3322

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it

626333

back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jackie Hirsh

Ted Sarver  
2124 State Route 8  
Yates City, IL 61572-9482

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

020334

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Ted Sarver

Jacqueline Crow  
PO Box 9393  
Peoria, IL 61612-9393

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well

626335



(measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jacqueline Crow

Jamie Nichols  
1455 Hunters Run Dr  
Bourbonnais, IL 60914-4521

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

626336

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jamie Nichols

Nada Finn  
3315 Victoria Ln  
Waukegan, IL 60087-5346

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater,

626337

our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Nada Finn

Carol Jurczewski  
452 Shenstone Rd  
Riverside, IL 60546-2032

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

020333

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

**NO ENVIRONMENTALLY DAMAGING FRACKING IN ILLINOIS!**

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Carol Jurczewski

Patti Brandt

626339

corona rd  
Waukegan, IL 60087-4043

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume

020349

hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Patti Brandt

craig figtree  
1906 N Bissell St  
Chicago, IL 60614-5015

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois

020341

will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
craig figtree

David Butler  
2211 Rainbow Vw  
Urbana, IL 61802-5621

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
David Butler

Karen Knack  
16230 New Ave  
Lemont, IL 60439-3697

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

020343



existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Karen Knack

Susan Lee  
1354 Waukegan Rd  
Northbrook, IL 60062-4680

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at

020344

(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Susan Lee

Sonya Zajczenko  
289 W Arden Ln  
Round Lake, IL 60073-5672

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

020345

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Sonya Zajczenko

Diane Fascione  
528 Woodbine Ave  
Oak Park, IL 60302-1606

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

626346

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Diane Fascione

Bryan Petruleis  
7818 Lakeside Dr  
Tinley Park, IL 60487-5182

626347

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,

626343

Bryan Petrusis

Courtney Bean  
1308 E Mumford Dr  
Urbana, IL 61801-6908

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only

020349

begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Courtney Bean

Susan Mitchell  
5s646 Charles St  
Naperville, IL 60563-3349

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

026059

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Susan Mitchell

leah geo  
3520 Highland Dr  
Island Lake, IL 60042-9531

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile

026351



away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
leah geo

Rael Slavensky, RN, MPH, MSN  
2 Peppertree Farm  
Geneseo, IL 61254-9526

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under

62635?

the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Rael Slavensky, RN, MPH, MSN

Margaret Chilton  
906 Jefferson St  
Pekin, IL 61554-1639

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to

020337

create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Margaret Chilton

John & Barbara Sullivan  
2229 N Brighton Pl  
Arlington Heights, IL 60004-3349

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking".

020354

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
John & Barbara Sullivan

Beth Followell  
2001 Bittersweet Dr  
Champaign, IL 61821-6369

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

020305

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Beth Followell

Zygmunt Czykieta

626356

2735 N Mobile Ave  
Chicago, IL 60639-1021

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume

020337

hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Zygmunt Czykieta

Debbie Owens  
38340 N Munn Rd  
Lake Villa, IL 60046-8816

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois

626358

will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Debbie Owens

John Peeters  
428 S Indiana Ave  
Kankakee, IL 60901-5114

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

626359



contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
John Peeters

Doug Bullock  
3416 California Ave  
Alton, IL 62002-4123

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

020309

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Doug Bullock

Rhonda Konrath  
2407 Oak Tree Ln  
Plainfield, IL 60586-6106

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at

62636

(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Rhonda Konrath

Jenny Churchill  
1007 S Euclid Ave  
Princeton, IL 61356-2527

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

020362

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jenny Churchill

John Schwab  
12227 Trinidad Ter  
Marion, IL 62959-8653

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

626363

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
John Schwab

teresa earp  
332 Custer Ave  
Evanston, IL 60202-3461

6-26-384

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,

020305

teresa earp

William Strong  
1927 Norfolk Ave  
Westchester, IL 60154-4433

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only

- 026366

begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
William Strong

Nancy Strickland  
204 Kiowa St  
Edwardsville, IL 62025-1828

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

020367



As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Nancy Strickland

leo gideon  
1308 Elmwood Ave  
Evanston, IL 60201-4306

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile

626363

away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
leo gideon

Andrea Jones  
2265 Coach and Surrey Ln  
Aurora, IL 60506-4409

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under

626369

the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Andrea Jones

Lisa Giannone  
1600 W Grove St  
Addison, IL 60101-5751

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to

020379

create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Lisa Giannone

Jude Rodriguez  
3739 N Sacramento Ave  
Chicago, IL 60618-3528

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

626371

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jude Rodriguez

Michael Runyon  
1207 S Sumner St  
Wheaton, IL 60189-6540

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

626372

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Michael Runyon

Johh Heuman

020373

1236 Chicago Ave Apt 405  
Evanston, IL 60202-6510

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume

626374

hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
John Heuman

Patricia Poulin  
1169 S Plymouth Ct  
Chicago, IL 60605-2062

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois

626375



will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Patricia Poulin

Joanna Klonsky  
2119 W Race Ave  
Chicago, IL 60612-1509

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

626376

contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Joanna Klonsky

Nicholas Hartman  
4238 9th St  
Rock Island, IL 61201-6634

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Nicholas Hartman

Eileen Zeidman  
7555 Kilbourn Ave  
Skokie, IL 60076-3843

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at

626378

(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Eileen Zeidman

Samantha Maffeo  
3934 W Estes Ave  
Lincolnwood, IL 60712-1034

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

020379

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Samantha Maffeo

Sarah Palm  
95 Apple Orchard Rd  
Carbondale, IL 62903-7674

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

020337

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Sarah Palm

lee kivi  
1015 Cedar Ln  
Northbrook, IL 60062-3541

026331

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,

626382

lee kivi

Fredrick Whalum  
3905 Tower Dr  
Richton Park, IL 60471-1341

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only

626333



begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Fredrick Whalum

Cornelius Devlin  
213 Old Germantown Rd  
East Peoria, IL 61611-1285

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Cornelius Devlin

Jan Sward  
2726 180th St  
Galesburg, IL 61401-9610

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile

626335

away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jan Sward

Liz Berry  
22 E Cullerton St  
Chicago, IL 60616-4885

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Fracking Permit, Not One!

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

As you may already be aware, these new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned

626336

wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Liz Berry

Marie Zajac  
1827 W Estes Ave  
Chicago, IL 60626-2317

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from

026337

fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Marie Zajac

Dori Cole  
68 Sterling Cir Apt 107  
Wheaton, IL 60189-2123

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

026388

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Dori Cole

Christian Gray  
939 W Ainslie St # 3e  
Chicago, IL 60640-3811

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

020339

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Christian Gray

026339

Ronda White  
2308 Halsted Rd  
Rockford, IL 61103-2854

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

020331



In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Ronda White

Bettina Bronski  
4223 N Marmora Ave  
Chicago, IL 60634-1738

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed

020302

rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Bettina Bronski

Christine Wawronowicz  
2328 Flat Rock Ct  
Naperville, IL 60564-4341

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for

626333

fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Christine Wawronowicz

Kristin Garcia  
4610 N Dover St  
Chicago, IL 60640-4610

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two

020394

respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Kristin Garcia

Candace Davis  
1001 N Bridge St  
Carbondale, IL 62901-1260

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

026395

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Candace Davis

K P  
1000 wist ct  
Naperville, IL 60565

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing

626396

fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
K P

Robert Johnson  
1738 N 75th Ct  
Elmwood Park, IL 60707-4131

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

020337

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Robert Johnson

Angie Affolter  
1650 Winston Ct  
Mundelein, IL 60060-3372

026336

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

020399



Sincerely,  
Angie Affolter

Carol Weber  
212 Middleburg Dr  
Sherman, IL 62684-9630

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

626403

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Carol Weber

Daniel Garrison  
1228 Simpson St  
Evanston, IL 60201-2642

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

020411

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Daniel Garrison

Carol Weber  
212 Middleburg Dr  
Sherman, IL 62684-9630

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one

626402

well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Carol Weber

Mark Haeseler  
3951 N Paulina St  
Chicago, IL 60613-2517

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned

626483

wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Mark Haeseler

Anne Wengerd  
204 Murray Dr  
Wood Dale, IL 60191-2241

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

I CAN ONLY BELIEVE THAT YOU HAVE BEEN PAID TRAITOR MONIES TO BELIEVE THAT SUCH RULES ARE GOOD FOR US. WHERE DO YOU LIVE? WHAT WATER DO YOU DRINK? SHAME ON YOU ALL FOR EVEN THINKING OF ALLOWING THE GAS & OIL COMPANIES TO DESTROY OUR HABITAT...

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater,

026404

our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Anne Wengerd

Kate Cronin  
2300 W Monroe St  
Chicago, IL 60612-2928

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

626435

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Kate Cronin

Melissa Mazias  
1620 Arbor Ln Apt 105  
Crest Hill, IL 60403-2143

626406

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, what we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

020437



Sincerely,  
Melissa Mazias

Barbara Bellin  
138 Austin Ave  
Carpentersville, IL 60110-1506

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

020408

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Barbara Bellin

Katen Stanley  
30955 N Fairfield Rd  
Grayslake, IL 60030-9524

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating

626409

new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Katen Stanley

William Barrett  
5048 N Marine Dr  
Chicago, IL 60640-3270

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously

625413

inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
William Barrett

Martha Pence  
806 Ramblewood Ct  
Savoy, IL 61874-6015

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits,

026411

when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

It is time to put our environment and health of our citizens before companies wishing to make a profit at any cost. Especially, when there are other options that do not have a negative impact on our planet.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Martha Pence

Michael Newell  
1121 Lake St  
Evanston, IL 60201-4127

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater,

our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Michael Newell

Janice Frankel  
1819 S Michigan Ave  
Chicago, IL 60616-4638

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

020413

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Janice Frankel

Paul Dolinko  
911 Echo Ln  
Glenview, IL 60025-3327

- 020414

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

626415



Sincerely,  
Paul Dolinko

Chelsay Endres  
2106 Village Park Way  
Savoy, IL 61874-7420

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

626416

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Chelsay Endres

char schumann  
1020 S Gull Ct  
Palatine, IL 60067-7025

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating

626417

new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
char schumann

Cynthia Swanson  
1172 Starwood Pass  
Lake IN The Hills, IL 60156-4891

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously

626418

inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Cynthia Swanson

Mary Sachs  
327 Dawes St  
Libertyville, IL 60048-2629

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits,

026419

when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Mary Sachs

Eugene ChinEugeneC  
1082 Horizon Dr  
Bartlett, IL 60103-1235

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or

020429

abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Eugene ChinEugeneC

Jeff Glidden  
1101 S State St  
Chicago, IL 60605-3175

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from

020421

hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jeff Glidden

Lillian Korous  
109 Adele Ct  
Jacksonville, IL 62650-6561

Dec 3, 2013

626422

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Lillian Korous

626423



Linette George  
78 S Lombard Ave  
Lombard, IL 60148-2748

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

626424

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Linette George

Lynn Barron  
10 W 35th St  
Chicago, IL 60616-3717

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it

020425

back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Lynn Barron

Tina Reyes  
8241 S 85th Ave  
Justice, IL 60458-1724

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

626426

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Tina Reyes

Daniel Bieniek  
114 Glen Hill Dr  
Glendale Heights, IL 60139-3039

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well

020427

(measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Daniel Bieniek

Dan Sendlak  
3705 Kemman Ave  
Brookfield, IL 60513-1520

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

020423

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Dan Sendlak

Peter Schultz  
501 Andrus Rd 501 Andrus Rd  
Downers Grove, IL 60516

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater,

026429

our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines. It has happened in other areas.

Companies engaged in fracking elsewhere have shown they are not concerned with the public interest. Their main interest is providing increased profits and any increase in jobs is secondary. These companies wish to keep secret the chemicals used in the process because they are dangerous and contaminants. The argument that the chemicals are proprietary is unacceptable.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Peter Schultz

Leia Norton  
2628 W Thomas St  
Chicago, IL 60622-5087

- 020430

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

020431



Sincerely,  
Leia Norton

Donna Vanderhoof  
871 Barlina Rd  
Crystal Lake, IL 60014-8368

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Donna Vanderhoof

Elizabeth Neighbors  
2956 Vigal Rd  
Springfield, IL 62712-8970

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating

026433

new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Elizabeth Neighbors

Linda Kruhmin  
1422 Greenwood St  
Evanston, IL 60201-4053

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously

inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Linda Kruhmin

Janice Rothbaum  
2204 S Lynn St  
Urbana, IL 61801-6838

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits,

626435

when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Janice Rothbaum

Ryan Hamm  
510 Crossing Ct  
Rolling Meadows, IL 60008-2127

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or

020436

abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Ryan Hamm

Robert E. Lerner  
2733 Thayer St  
Evanston, IL 60201-1344

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from

020437

hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Robert E. Lerner

John Hemmer  
4045 Dixon Dr  
Hoffman Estates, IL 60192-1428

Dec 3, 2013

020433

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
John Hemmer

020439



Ceridwen Hall  
1209 E Florida Ave  
Urbana, IL 61801-6083

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

020449

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Ceridwen Hall

Jan Daker  
13 Forest Glen Dr  
Belleville, IL 62223-1874

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it

020441

back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jan Daker

Michael Lahey  
1504 W Farwell Ave  
Chicago, IL 60626-3606

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Michael Lahey

Tony Gray  
155 N Harbor Dr  
Chicago, IL 60601-7364

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well

020443

(measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Tony Gray

James Boots  
509 W American St  
Freeport, IL 61032-5415

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

626444

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
James Boots

Karen Peterson  
735 York Ct  
Northbrook, IL 60062-3954

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater,

626445

our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Karen Peterson

Martin deBoer  
2333 Hastings Ave  
Evanston, IL 60201-1847

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

020446

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Martin deBoer

Barbara Gelman  
4907 Shady Oaks Ln  
Crystal Lake, IL 60012-1926

626447



Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

626443

Sincerely,  
Barbara Gelman

Laura Moore  
16419 Borio Dr  
Crest Hill, IL 60403-0772

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

626449

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Laura Moore

Mary Laing  
714 Brummel St  
Evanston, IL 60202-6029

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating

626457

new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Mary Laing

Kay Diblik  
27w126 Jewell Rd  
Winfield, IL 60190-1347

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously

020451

inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Kay Diblik

Phil Young  
6702 Double Eagle Dr Apt 207  
Woodridge, IL 60517-5442

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits,

when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Phil Young

Anah McMahon  
1000 Geneva Rd  
St Charles, IL 60174-4251

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or

020453

abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Anah McMahon

Michael Reich  
1916 Deere Ln  
Glendale Heights, IL 60139-1976

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from

020454

hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Michael Reich

Vincent Marneffe  
rue du Brocsous 73  
Dion-Valmont, IL 01325

Dec 3, 2013

026455



Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Vincent Marneffe

020456

Heather Ruhnke  
1609 N Center St  
Crest Hill, IL 60403-2631

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

626457

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Heather Ruhnke

Steven Darnall  
4923 N Oakley Ave  
Chicago, IL 60625-1925

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it

026453

back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Steven Darnall

Keith Peebles  
14367 Creek Crossing Dr  
Orland Park, IL 60467-7164

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

626459

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Keith Peebles

Keith Peebles  
14367 Creek Crossing Dr  
Orland Park, IL 60467-7164

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well

020467

(measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Keith Peebles

Catherine Dubis  
44 159th St  
Calumet City, IL 60409-5301

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

020461

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Catherine Dubis

Mark Lickerman  
712 W Diversey Pkwy  
Chicago, IL 60614-6222

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater,

626462

our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Mark Lickerman

Leah Duling  
1231 Ivywood Dr  
Springfield, IL 62704-4392

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

626463



Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Leah Duling

Holly Gietl  
1209 W Edwards St  
Springfield, IL 62704-1634

626464

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

026465

Sincerely,  
Holly Gietl

Ed Kucera  
PO Box 386  
Western Springs, IL 60558-0386

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

020486

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Ed Kucera

Lana Bateman  
2107 10th St  
Silvis, IL 61282-2015

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating

626467

new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Lana Bateman

Georgia Libbares  
505 N McClurg Ct  
Chicago, IL 60611-5420

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously

020463

inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Georgia Libbares

Emily Jones  
645 Morris Ct  
Lakemoor, IL 60051-8630

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits,

020409

when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Emily Jones

Dorothy Lane  
2776 Whitlock Dr  
Darien, IL 60561-1789

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or

020470

hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
alex vazquez

Nick Chrisos  
1830 N Windsor Dr  
Arlington Heights, IL 60004-4036

Dec 3, 2013

626471



abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Dorothy Lane

alex vazquez  
6033 N Sheridan Rd  
Chicago, IL 60660-3003

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from

20472

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Nick Chrisos

625473

mark novotny  
5413 6th Ave  
Countryside, IL 60525-3617

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

626474

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
mark novotny

Stephen Reid  
299 Quinlan Ave  
Dekalb, IL 60115-8204

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it

020475

back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Stephen Reid

Janet Shifflet  
2112 East Ave  
Berwyn, IL 60402-1953

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

020476

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Janet Shifflet

Greg Hardigan  
2737 W 16th St  
Chicago, IL 60608-1736

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well

626477

(measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Greg Hardigan

laura Wiclawek  
2642 N Windsor Dr  
Arlington Heights, IL 60004-2746

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

626478

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
laura Wieclawek

Linda Phillips  
523 E Lincoln St  
Mount Prospect, IL 60056-3329

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater,

626479



our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Linda Phillips

Rafael Albarran  
7513f Savoy Ln  
Bridgeview, IL 60455-5501

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

020480

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Rafael Albarran

Dan Lara  
7539 N Ridge Blvd Unit 3  
Chicago, IL 60645-1971

020481

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

020482

Sincerely,  
Dan Lara

Patricia Oleson  
372 E Greenwood St  
Morton, IL 61550-2556

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

020483

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Patricia Oleson

Bruce Rhoades  
4509 Saratoga Ave  
Downers Grove, IL 60515-2753

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating

026484

new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Bruce Rhoades

Caroline Mead  
728 Carriage Hill Dr  
Glenview, IL 60025-5404

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously

020485

inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Caroline Mead

rick canning  
548 S Randall Rd  
Aurora, IL 60506-5381

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits,

620436

when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
rick canning

Amy Andersen  
21233 W Creekside Dr  
Kildeer, IL 60047-7847

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking".

\*These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or

020437



abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Amy Andersen

Hayley Harbert  
529 S Main St  
Taylorville, IL 62568-2534

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from

626488

hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Hayley Harbert

Bobby Salter  
8116 S Francisco Ave  
Chicago, IL 60652-2706

Dec 3, 2013

626489

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Bobby Salter

020490

Norine Leithem  
1330 Slater St  
Sugar Grove, IL. 60554-9823

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

020491

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Norine Leithem

Carol Shepard  
1200 Kaolin Rd  
Cobden, IL 62920-3751

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it

back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Carol Shepard

Christy Kurtz  
841 Prairie Ave  
Bartlett, IL 60103-5079

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

026483

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Christy Kurtz

Stephen Penton  
7 Oak Terrace Ct  
Batavia, IL 60510-1302

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well

626434

(measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

Water and some other resources may be just about irreplaceable, we should very careful the way they are taken care of. If we trash these resources we may be very sorry in the future.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Stephen Penton

Siv Ferguson  
22w134 Butterfield Rd  
Glen Ellyn, IL 60137-7106

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking".

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or

026495



abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Siv Ferguson

Tom Shepherd  
11319 S Langley Ave  
Chicago, IL 60628-5125

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from

626436

hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Tom Shepherd

Tom Boyle  
1479 W Remington Ln  
Round Lake, IL 60073-2387

Dec 3, 2013

026497

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Tom Boyle

- 626485

Judith Frederick  
3144 Bellwood Ln  
Glenview, IL 60026-1524

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

626499

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Judith Frederick

Cara Witkowski  
2772 Capri Ct  
Rockford, IL 61114-6410

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it

020500

back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Cara Witkowski

russ frillman  
16737 W Aptakisic Rd  
Prairieview, IL 60069-4108

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

626581

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
russ frillman

Deb Goodman  
922 North Blvd  
Oak Park, IL 60301-1252

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well

620502

(measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Deb Goodman

Sonja Chan  
944 W Walnut St  
Kankakee, IL 60901-4645

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

626583



Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Sonja Chan

Dariusz Mazur  
703 Beaver Ct  
Naperville, IL 60563-9781

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater,

020504

our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Dariusz Mazur

Iela summers  
RR 1 Box 212a  
Neoga, IL 62447-9611

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

626505

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Iela Summers

John Lyman  
1236 Chicago Ave  
Evanston, IL 60202-6509

020516

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

626507

Sincerely,  
John Lyman

Mary Condon  
1715 Forest Ave  
Wilmette, IL 60091-1531

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

We live in a world where we can control many health variables. Pollution and Climate Change are two we seem to have the least amount of ability to counteract. The only levers we possess is to decrease pollution, minimize gas output and control chemical waste. I urge you to utilize the few levers we have to foster a healthy living planet and minimize fracking and its dangerous side effects.

The new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines. These types of effects are counterproductive to a healthy living environment.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that

626508

widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Mary Condon

Donna Hayes  
401 N Congress Ave  
Polo, IL 61064-1306

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock

626509

formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Donna Hayes

Jan Tervydis  
113 W Linden St Apt 2  
Edwardsville, IL 62025-2000

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and

626810

grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jan Tervydis

Jeff Kreml  
205 Lake St  
Libertyville, IL 60048-1850

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking".

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

626511



New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jeff Kreml

Kathleen Kennedy  
1332 E Rosita Dr  
Palatine, IL 60074-5602

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed

026512

rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Kathleen Kennedy

debra stamp  
PO Box 292  
Millstadt, IL 62260-0292

Dec 3, 2013

026513

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
debra stamp

626514

gary lofgren  
6700 S Brainard Ave  
Countryside, IL 60525-4671

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an

020515

outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
gary lofgren

Elvira Kirch  
9311 Conestoga Trl  
Marengo, IL 60152-9545

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and

020516

fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Elvira Kirch

Victor Skade  
8420 Greenview Ave  
Brookfield, IL 60513-1711

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

026517

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Victor Skade

Gene Majewski  
209 E Potter St  
Wood Dale, IL 60191-2027

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits

626518

on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Gene Majewski

Juleigh Snell  
1783 Storey Ln  
Alton, IL 62002-7372

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can

020519



flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Juleigh Snell

Victor Ramirez  
5049 Brummel St  
Skokie, IL 60077-2815

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

626520

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Victor Ramirez

Alexandra Beris  
1555 Eagle Ridge Dr  
Antioch, IL 60002-8890

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

626521

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Alexndra Beris

Mary Neubecker  
1104 Carol St

626522

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and

626523

well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Mary Neubecker

Roger Rynke  
2415 2nd St  
Peru, IL 61354-3101

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence

626524

of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Roger Rynke

Al Korzonas  
13535 S Chippewa Trl  
Homer Glen, IL 60491-9646

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Reasonable regulations

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

Please ignore the above paragraph... I didn't write it, but cannot get rid of it.

This is the text of my letter:

I support fracking as long as there are regulations in place that make certain that casings are installed properly and do not leak. Furthermore, I believe that there should also be regulations that prohibit the storage of wastewater in unlined ponds.

Please don't be swayed by the well-intentioned, but misguided environmentalists or by the anti-corporatists masquerading as environmentalists.

Please ignore the paragraph below... I didn't write it, but I cannot get rid of it.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Al Korzonas

Kathy Houda  
25599 N Canyon Creek Ct  
Barrington, IL 60010-1308

020525

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

626526

Sincerely,  
Kathy Houda

Byron Dale  
5765 Capetown Ave  
Rockford, IL 61108-6710

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

026527



There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Byron Dale

Tamara Foiles  
1918 Main St  
Alton, IL 62002-4726

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating

626523

new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Tamara Foiles

J. Beverly  
803 Shurts St  
Urbana, IL 61801-6858

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously

626529

inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
J. Beverly

Steve Johnston  
930 Prospect St  
Dekalb, IL 60115-4269

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits,

026531

when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

Is the resource going to go somewhere if we wait until we develop a safe way to extract it?

PLEASE don't allow greedy gluttons to destroy our land to sell our energy to other countries!

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Steve Johnston

jack schranz  
11024 Daniel Trl  
Mokena, IL 60448-1003

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

020531

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
jack schranz

John Neisewander  
1316 28th St  
Rockford, IL 61108-3638

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

026532

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
John Neisewander

Katherine Sutton

026533

1229 Western Ave  
Northbrook, IL 60062-4459

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking":

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume

020534

hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Katherine Sutton

Richard Penner  
532 Rosebud Dr N  
Lombard, IL 60148-6165

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois

020535



will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Richard Penner

Nancy L. Cowger  
1538 Heather Ct  
Wheeling, IL 60090-5273

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking":

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

626536

contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Nancy L Cowger

Terri Hanlin  
726 S 19th St  
Quincy, IL 62301-5122

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

020537

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Terri Hanlin

Alan Langrall  
1611 Bensington Ct  
Normal, IL 61761-4811

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking":

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at

020033

(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Alan Langrall

Seth Crackel  
139 School St  
Geneva, IL 60134-2331

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

626539

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Seth Crackel

Mary Ryk  
1607 Westminster Dr Apt 111  
Naperville, IL 60563-9224

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

020540

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Mary Ryk

Martin Kluczewski  
836 E Old Willow Rd  
Prospect Hts, IL 60070-2163

020541

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking":

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,

020042

Martin Kluczewski

Jason Sherman  
427 N Harvey Ave  
Oak Park, IL 60302-2336

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only

020543



begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jason Sherman

Raymond Leszczynski  
4945 N Octavia Ave  
Harwood Heights, IL 60706-3522

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking":

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

020544

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Raymond Leszczynski

Raymond Leszczynski  
4945 N Octavia Ave  
Harwood Heights, IL 60706-3522

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile

020545

away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Raymond Leszczynski

Mark Grotzke  
18136 Rita Rd  
Tinley Park, IL 60477-6453

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under

626546

the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Mark Grotzke

Danny Shoemaker  
107 E 9th St # 2b  
Lockport, IL 60441-3401

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to

020547

create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Danny Shoemaker

Judith Goyak  
1026 McClellan St  
Hillsboro, IL 62049-1525

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

020048

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Judith Goyak

Dan Madden  
146 S Plum Grove Rd  
Palatine, IL 60067-6245

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

626549

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking":

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Dan Madden

Beth Raynis

020550

1537 Warbler Dr  
Naperville, IL 60565-1313

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking":

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume

020551



hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Beth Raynis

Serena Bernstein  
917 Elmwood Ave  
Evanston, IL 60202-1710

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking":

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois

026332

will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Serena Bernstein

Oleh Sydor  
3s306 Juniper Ln  
Glen Ellyn, IL 60137-7418

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

Your new "rules" concerning the dangerous and unnecessary gas-retrieval method called fracking, might as well have been written by the rapacious gas and oil industries. These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

626553

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking. Look at the harm already caused by fracking to citizens and communities from Texas and Colorado to Pennsylvania and New York. We don't need fracking ! Ban it now !

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Oleh Sydor

Karen O'Brien  
125 W 66th St  
Westmont, IL 60559-3259

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned

020554

wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Karen O'Brien

Marilyn Davis  
1222 Dewey Ave  
Evanston, IL 60202-1123

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from

626535

fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Fracking should be banned!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Marilyn Davis

Wm O'Donnell  
5525 N Winthrop Ave Apt 302  
Chicago, IL 60640-1403

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed

020006

rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Wm O'Donnell

Adelaide Kern  
308 Richard St  
Henry, IL 61537-1231

Dec 3, 2013

020557

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking":

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Adelaide Kern

626338

robert mar  
227 Walker Ave  
Clarendon Hills, IL 60514-1347

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an

020539



outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
robert mar

Penelope Rajczyk  
1022 Dewey Ave  
Evanston, IL 60202-1149

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking":

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and

026560

fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Penelope Rajczyk

Huts Erickson  
6236 N Talisman Ter  
Peoria, IL 61615-2749

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

020561

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Huts Erickson

Stephen Gliva  
713 Mulford St Unit 1a  
Evanston, IL 60202-3411

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits

026562

on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Stephen Gliva

Lloyd Smith  
503 N Washington St  
Atkinson, IL 61235-9719

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can

020563

flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Lloyd Smith

Jeanne Doherty  
3434 N Nottingham Ave  
Chicago, IL 60634-3638

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

020364

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jeanne Doherty

Kevin Brewner  
6n265 Old Homestead Rd  
St Charles, IL 60175-6130

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

626565

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking":

NO FRACKING EVER. Under any circumstances.

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Kevin Brewner

020306

tracy wargel  
PO Box 5  
Ridgway, IL 62979-0005

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

626367



In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
tracy wargel

Karen Komperda  
624 Breakers Pt  
Schaumburg, IL 60194-3606

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed

626363

rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Karen Komperda

John Mccarthy  
1017 Oakwood Dr  
Westmont, IL 60559-1039

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for

020589

fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
John Mccarthy

Ken Irwin  
3801 Appian Way  
Glenview, IL 60025-3871

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two

020570

respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Ken Irwin

Mark Pasquesi  
143 Elm St  
Glenview, IL 60025-4906

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

626571

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Mark Pasquesi

Matt Doherty  
305 E John St  
Champaign, IL 61820-8104

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing

626572

fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Matt Doherty

Joseph Naidnur  
3s402 Saddle Ridge Ct  
Warrenville, IL 60555-4042

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

026573

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking":

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Joseph Naidnur

Anthony Vaiarella  
1011 19th St  
Rockford, IL 61104-3429

020574

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines. This could lead to the eventual forced abandonment of the entire state of Illinois due to lack of clean freshwater.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and

026575



well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Anthony Vairella

A.G. Hansen  
4949 141st St  
Crestwood, IL 60445-2103

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking":

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence

026376

of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
A.G. Hansen

Emily Smitti  
4428 42nd Ave  
Rock Island, IL 61201-7117

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that

626577

widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Emily Smitti

Alexandra Sweitzer  
1401 Chestnut Xing  
Lemont, IL 60439-7488

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock

026578

formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Alexandra Sweitzer

Harley Doss  
719 N Court St Apt 212  
Rockford, IL 61103-6964

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and

020579

grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Harley Doss

Freida Ward  
21272 W Burr Oak Ln  
Lake Zurich, IL 60047-8807

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

026580

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules. Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Freida Ward

Barbara Zimmerman  
9640 N Girl Scout Rd  
Stillman Valley, IL 61084-9774

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed

026581

rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking":

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Barbara Zimmerman

Heather La Riviere  
5406 S University Ave  
Chicago, IL 60615-5108

Dec 3, 2013

020332

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking":

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Heather La Riviere

020593



Karen Flusty  
1285 S Lawler Ave  
Lombard, IL 60148-4265

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an

020584

outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Karen Thusty

Rebecca LaGesse  
26 Woodland Ave  
Elgin, IL 60123-5314

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, what we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and

626585

fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Rebecca LaGesse

Carol Reitan  
2025 E Lincoln St Apt 1216  
Bloomington, IL 61701-5995

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These rules promote rather than regulate fracking. Your Dept. is supposed to see that the air and water --and the air and water as well as other natural assests--are here in good order for the future. With all the development of alternative energy as well as the conservation practices being put in place we do not need to allow any fracking to take place. None of us know the underground systems that may be .disturbed when we do not need the oil from them. Some companies want to make money by fracking but we cannot let them just because they can make a buck--they think but that oil will be costly.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Putting it back in the earth is hardly an adequate answer. Regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such underground disposal.

DO) NOT ALLOW FRACKING IN ILLINOIS---LET US BE AHEAD IN THIS RATHER THAN AT THE BACK OF THE LINE OF STATES AS WE ARE IN SEVERAL OTHER AREAS.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

626556

Sincerely,  
Carol Reitan

Debra Gleason  
5700 W Grace St  
Chicago, IL 60634-2651

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking":

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

626587

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Debra Gleason

Gerald Vertrees  
36249 200th Ave  
Pleasant Hill, IL 62366-2452

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

626388

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Gerald Vertrees

Stephen Komistra  
1295 Acorn Ct  
Sleepy Hollow, IL 60118-2654

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one

020589

well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Stephen Komistra

S. Moy  
501 W 24th Pl  
Chicago, IL 60616-1869

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned

026590

wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
S. Moy

Paula Johnson  
604 Broadview Ave  
Highland Park, IL 60035-4802

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from

020591



fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Paula Johnson

Linda Brown  
608 Cherrywood Dr  
North Aurora, IL 60542-1032

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

020592

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Linda Brown

Arturo Carrera  
555 N Mount Prospect Rd  
Des Plaines, IL 60016-2028

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

020593

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking":

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Arturo Carrera

020334

Sasha Fairrow  
912 N 2nd St  
Pekin, IL 61554-2713

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

626395

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Sasha Fairrow

Linda Buczy na  
2041 W Haddon Ave  
Chicago, IL 60622-3605

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed

020336

rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Linda Buczyina

Jack Spicer  
5536 S Kimbark Ave  
Chicago, IL 60637-1619

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for

026387

fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jack Spicer

C. Pearson  
Timberline  
West Chicago, IL 60815-1348

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two

026538

respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
C. Pearson

Linda Querry  
2913 Rutherford Dr  
Urbana, IL 61802-9401

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

626599



Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Linda Querry

Wendy Carranza  
5028 N Monticello Ave  
Chicago, IL 60625-5522

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing

020600

fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Wendy Carranza

Anthony Gibson  
6710 S Claremont Ave Apt 107  
Chicago, IL 60636-2436

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

626601

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking":

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Anthony Gibson

NELSON FLUKER  
18005 Michael Ave  
Country Club Hills, IL 60478-5021

026602

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

020603

Sincerely,  
NELSON FLUKER

Martin Jordan  
11070 Campbell Cemetary Rd  
Loami, IL 62661-3155

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

020004

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Martin Jordan

Ann Davidson  
4217 N Ashland Ave  
Chicago, IL 60613-1251

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, what we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

020605

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Ann Davidson

Ann Inendino  
2313 N 76th Ct  
Elmwood Park, IL 60707-3029

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one

026806

well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Ann Inendino

laurence buckingham  
7450 N Washtenaw Ave  
Chicago, IL 60645-1437

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned

626607



wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
laurence buckingham

James Stuhlmacher  
1580 N Blanchard Ct  
Wheaton, IL 60187-3761

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Fracking Regulations

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from

020003

fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
James Stuhlmacher

Marie Quinn  
304 Stewart Ave  
Waukegan, IL 60085-2062

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

020009

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Marie Quinn

HenryRY Sienkiewicz  
6718 S Kedvale Ave  
Chicago, IL 60629-5709

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

020610

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking":

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
HenryRY Sienkiewicz

020611

Holly Andrews  
513 Ashby Dr  
Charleston, IL 61920-3214

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking":

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

026612

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Holly Andrews

Robert Bacon  
2065 Hickory Ln  
Schaumburg, IL 60195-1925

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed

626613

rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Robert Bacon

Kimberly Camacho  
608 E Hennepin Street  
Mark, IL 61340

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for

020614

fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Kimberly Camacho

Rick David  
2309w W Greenleaf Ave  
Chicago, IL 60645-3419

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two

020815



respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Rick David

Janet Stephens  
623 Sexton St  
Aurora, IL 60505-4763

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Janet Stephens

Juan Alvarez  
2035 W Haddon Ave # 1  
Chicago, IL 60622-3605

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing

020017

fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Juan Alvarez

Janet Simpson  
2611 Pebblebrook Ln  
Rolling Meadows, IL 60008-2165

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

020618

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Janet Simpson

Ann Moro  
1415 Greenview Ct  
Schaumburg, IL 60193-4950

020613

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking":

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

626620

Sincerely,  
Ann Moro

Karen Sewick  
4133 Saratoga Ave  
Downers Grove, IL 60515-2064

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

020021

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Karen Sewick

John Hockman  
14722 Estonian Ln  
Riverwoods, IL 60015-3553

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

020622

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
John Hockman

Michael Nelson  
PO Box 95  
Viola, IL 61486-0095

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one

020023



well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Michael Nelson

Raymond J Strauss  
3404 Steeplechase Way  
Grayslake, IL 60030-9344

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: NO to FRACKING

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

Hydraulic Fracturing has a dismal track record. Once a pocket of ground water is affected, it becomes permanently contaminated by toxic chemicals and the surrounding area becomes unfit for human habitation. On behalf of my fellow citizens, I urge your department to block this destructive practice in our state.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Raymond J Strauss

626624

Peter M.  
680 W Bel Aire Ln  
Mount Prospect, IL 60056-4225

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

026625

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Peter M.

Therese Hancock  
1581 Orchid St  
Yorkville, IL 60560-3067

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed

rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Therese Hancock

Mair McNamara  
421 W Oakwood Dr  
Barrington, IL 60010-1481

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for

020027

fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Mair McNamara

Kathleen D'Alessandro  
5716 N Virginia Ave  
Chicago, IL 60659-3719

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking":

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two

020023

respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Kathleen D'Alessandro

Patricia Collins  
860 Summit St  
Winnetka, IL 60093-1931

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

020029

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Patricia Collins

Mary meyers  
12341 Teluride Ln  
Mokena, IL 60448-1924

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

In a few words, I want to say that I am totally opposed to fracking. We have so many chemicals in our air and water now that are hazardous

020630

to our health that we don't need anymore. Fracking has a very poor track record, we don't need it in Illinois.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Mary meyers

Dennis McGee  
1424 N Ridgeway Ave  
Chicago, IL 60651-2159

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

020031



As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Dennis McGee

Rae Trine  
1892 Candlelight Cir  
Montgomery, IL 60538-3503

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile

020032

away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Rae Trine

Wojciech Zi?ba  
os. Batorego 43  
Poznan, Poland, IL 60687

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under

020533

the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Wojciech Zi?ba

William Neill  
923 W Altgeld St Apt 3  
Chicago, IL 60614-2968

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to

026634

create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
William Neill

Helen Edwards  
RR 1 Box 164  
White Hall, IL 62092-9717

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

020635

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Helen Edwards

Caryn Kubica  
740 Ashley Ct  
Hoffman Estates, IL 60169-4321

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

020036

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking":

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Caryn Kubica

K Collins

020037

PO Box 471  
Edinburg, IL 62531-0471

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume

026638

hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
K Collins

hayley gallagher  
2238 W Iowa St  
Chicago, IL 60622-4843

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois

626639



will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
hayley gallagher

Deborah Styka  
4n165 Wood Dale Rd  
Addison, IL 60101-2939

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

026640

contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Deborah Styka

Matthew Shapiro  
925 Greenleaf Ave  
Wilmette, IL 60091-2756

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

020641

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Matthew Shapiro

Merle Felder  
4230 N Northbrook Ct  
Peoria, IL 61614-6737

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at

020042

(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Merle Felder

Mirl Whitaker  
2601 Montvale Dr Apt 408  
Springfield, IL 62704-4273

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

020043

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Mirl Whitaker

Nancy Lutz  
19 Apple Ln  
Park Forest, IL 60466-2054

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

026044

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Nancy Lutz

Michael Garvey  
2623 N Hamlin Ave # 2  
Chicago, IL 60647-1009

020045

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking":

I think that you could equate fracking (even the word is offensive.) to sticking a needle in your mother's arm and somehow drag it along through tissue, muscle, ligaments, etc...trying to find healthy blood deposits. It is the same. And just because you can't hear the screaming, doesn't mean that the earth is not screaming to save her life.

You all no this is an apt description. And I know that deep down within yourselves you are morbidly ashamed. End the practice completely.

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

020046

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Michael Garvey

Kathy Ruopp  
9631 S Vanderpoel Ave  
Chicago, IL 60643-1229

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

020047



As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Kathy Ruopp

Jason Shadday  
129 E Bellevue Pl Apt 1101  
Chicago, IL 60611-5308

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one

626643

well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jason Shadday

Therese MacKenzie  
7040 N Sheridan Rd Apt 503  
Chicago, IL 60626-2947

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

I do realize that Illinois needs energy and jobs. But do we not need clear water for the future? Do we not need an atmosphere with less carbon and methane?

Why would not Illinois invest in renewable energy, thus giving us energy and jobs minus the pollution, noise, and use of resources required by fracking? The new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

Do you have children and grandchildren? If not, others do. Please think several generations forward, and do not be misled by immediate profits. They won't be able to buy healthy water, soil, and air when the

1320049

generations need them.

Multiple examples prove that fracking is not safe. Anyone who thinks it is has not read the research, but been fooled by corporate propaganda.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

The rules are inadequate at best. If nothing else, please delay action on drilling wells until you have more information about the dangers.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Therese MacKenzie

Dan Drennan  
7444 N Claremont Ave  
Chicago, IL 60645-1704

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock

026650

formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Dan Drennan

Wendy Messenger  
28153 N Hickory Ln  
Wauconda, IL 60084-1321

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and

020651

grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Wendy Messenger

Marilyn Francois  
1449 W Pensacola Ave  
Chicago, IL 60613-1307

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

020632

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Marilyn Francois

Joyce Good  
4915 N Avers Ave  
Chicago, IL 60625-1298

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed

020033

rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking":

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

RADIOACTIVE WASTE FROM THE SHALE WILL COME TO THE SURFACE WITH THE PRODUCED WATER. THE RULES DO NOT ADDRESS THESE RADIOACTIVE LIQUID AND SOLID WASTES. THE TOP CONTAMINANT FOR RADIOACTIVITY IN RADIUM 226 - WHICH WILL COMPRISE MUCH OF THE RADIATION, IS 5 PICO CURIES PER LITER OR GRAM. THE MARCELLUS SHALE HAS SHOWN WASTE WITH AS MUCH AS 16,000 PICO CURIES PER LITER OR GRAM. THE NEW ALBANY SHALE IS SAID TO BE MORE RADIOACTIVE THAN THE MARCELLUS SHALE. WE NEED PROTECTIVE RULES STATING WHERE WE PLACE THE WASTES SO AS NOT TO ENDANGER THE PEOPLE OF ILLINOIS. THIS RULES ARE MISSING.

**NO FRACKING UNTIL WE HAVE PROTECTIVE RULES!**

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and

well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Joyce Good

Carol Fox  
8902 N Wisner St Apt 2e  
Niles, IL 60714-1753

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence

020035



of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Carol Fox

Lana Night  
390 W Mahogany Ct Unit 407  
Palatine, IL 60067-7802

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that

widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Lana Night

Phillip Penton  
602 Cornelia St  
Joliet, IL 60435-5910

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking":

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock

formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Phillip Penton

Chris Hayes  
2336 Jason Dr  
Montgomery, IL 60538

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and

626658

grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Chris Hayes

John Gravenor  
6903 Hillcrest Dr  
Crystal Lake, IL 60012-3217

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

020039

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
john gravenor

S. McHugh  
429 N 7th St  
Dekalb, IL 60115-3417

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed

020660

rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
S. McHugh

Keith Klingeman  
2507 Bordeaux Ln Apt 105  
Naperville, IL 60540-1838

Dec 3, 2013

026661

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Keith Klingeman

020002

Julia Wade  
1112 N Walnut Ave  
Arlington Heights, IL 60004-4631

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking":

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an

020663



outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Julia Wade

Richard Casino  
5616 N Kenmore Ave  
Chicago, IL 60660-4606

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and

020004

fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Richard Casino

Gerald McNellis  
113 N Barton Trl  
Batavia, IL 60510-7635

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

020665

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Gerald McNellis

Janice Barnett  
54 N Du Bois Ave  
Elgin, IL 60123-5216

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits

020006

on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Janice Barnett

qiyuan zhou  
777 Inland Cir  
Naperville, IL 60563-0206

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can

626667

flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
qiyuan zhou

Thomas Goodrich  
322 Oakwood St  
Park Forest, IL 60466-2130

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking":

020003

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Thomas Goodrich

Rick Anderson  
5701 N Sheridan Rd  
Apt 180  
Chicago, IL 60660-4716

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

020669

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking":

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Rick Anderson

Linda Fitch

020070

10018 S Homan Ave  
Evergreen Park, IL 60805-3409

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume

020671



hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Linda Fitch

L.S. Wanner  
1186 N 1500 East Rd  
Milford, IL 60953-6238

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking":

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois

020072

will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
L.S. Wanner

Anthony Aleman  
PO Box 341  
Quincy, IL 62306-0341

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

020673

contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Anthony Aleman

Linda McCarthy  
18316 Maple St  
Lansing, IL 60438-3370

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

626674

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Linda McCarthy

Steve LeHew  
425 S Maple St  
Palatine, IL 60067-6847

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at

020675

(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Steve LeHew

mary hanley  
436 Selborne Rd  
Riverside, IL 60546-1627

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
mary hanley

David Kunstman  
2912 N Damen Ave  
Chicago, IL 60618-8206

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

626677

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking":

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
David Kunstman

Suzanne Imaz  
805 W Belle Plaine Ave # 203  
Chicago, IL 60613-2108

026678

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking":

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,

626679



Suzanne Imaz

Connie Machina  
25385 White Tail Tree  
Lexington, IL 61753-7556

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only

020680

begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Connie Machina

Earl Gum Jr  
317 Whistling Strait  
Washington, IL 61571-4028

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

626681

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Earl Gum Jr

Kim Rice  
1860 W Surrey Park Ln  
Arlington Heights, IL 60005-3474

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile

026632

away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Kim Rice

Carla Burke  
2105 Prentiss Dr Apt 206  
Downers Grove, IL 60516-2283

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under

020683

the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Carla Burke

Daniel Prendergast  
5621 S Kolmar Ave  
Chicago, IL 60629-5307

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to

020684

create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Daniel Prendergast

Bob Lichtenbert  
5307 W Nelson St  
Chicago, IL 60641-4954

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

626685

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Bob Lichtenbert

Marcene Schwarz  
34435 N Old Walnut Cir  
Gurnee, IL 60031-4291

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

020686

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking":

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Marcene Schwarz

Lyn Swanson

026687



Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume

hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Lyn Swanson

Mary Eliades  
2130 Forestview Rd  
Evanston, IL 60201-2008

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois

026689

will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

I can't express how against fracking I am. I see only terrible things occurring if we frack, oil spills, earthquakes, contamination of water to name just a few. Nothing good will come of allowing fracking and a lot of bad things could happen with no way to turn back the clock..

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Mary Eliades

gerald antich  
226 Charlestown Dr  
Bolingbrook, IL 60440-1370

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one

020030

well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
gerald antich

JD McKibben  
167 N Humphrey Ave  
Oak Park, IL 60302-2513

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned

020091

wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
JD McKibben

Carol Stark  
230 E 6th St  
Lockport, IL 60441-3012

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from

020692

fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Carol Stark

Jenifer Hartman  
1603 Imperial Dr  
Glenview, IL 60026-1543

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

020033

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jenifer Hartman

Lynn hasselberger  
292 Kimberly Rd  
North Barrington, IL 60010-2139

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

020034

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Lynn hasselberger

020695



Janet Neal  
118 N Chapel St  
Waukegan, IL 60085-4120

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Janet Neal

Janet Neal  
118 N Chapel St  
Waukegan, IL 60085-4120

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed

1:26637

rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Janet Neal

Donna Barrett  
250 Manor Dr  
Buffalo Grove, IL 60089-2416

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for

020033

fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Donna Barrett

Gina Redig  
5121 S University Ave  
Chicago, IL 60615-3907

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two

626639

respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Gina Redig

Leslie Cohen  
3011 Hartzell St  
Wilmette, IL 60091-2958

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking":

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

026700

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Leslie Cohen

Robert Zill  
11436 75th St  
Burr Ridge, IL 60527-7708

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing

626701

fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Robert Zill

W. D.  
2  
chicago, IL 60614-2109

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

626782

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking":

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
W. D.

greg tunison  
608s.19th st  
herrin, IL 62948

626733



Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking":

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

020734

Sincerely,  
greg tunison

Brad Hulick  
PO Box 705  
Folono, IL 61880-0705

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking":

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

020705

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Brad Hulick

Bobby Vaughn Jr.  
40 Lois Ln Apt 2a  
Metropolis, IL 62960-2503

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

026736

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Bobby Vaughn Jr.

Christopher Lee  
1343 W Touhy Ave Apt 2s  
Chicago, IL 60626-2676

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one

1326737

well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Christopher Lee

LaShawn Yancey  
501 E 32nd St Apt 506  
Chicago, IL 60616-4016

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned

1326738

wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
LaShawn Yancey

William Kroll  
920 Pleasant Ave  
Highland Park, IL 60035-4616

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from

026709

fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
William Kroll

William Kroll  
920 Pleasant Ave  
Highland Park, IL 60035-4616

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

020710

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
William Kroll

Lawrence Sullivan  
9410 Corsair Rd  
Frankfort, IL 60423-2527

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

020711



Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking":

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Lawrence Sullivan

020712

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
K D.

Jake Hawkes  
65 Meadowlark  
Springfield, IL 62702

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed

020714

rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jake Hawkes

Rich Bebak  
350 Wethington Dr  
Wauconda, IL 60084-1598

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for

628715

fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Rich Bebak

Marilyn Sieck  
13963 Redmond Dr  
Huntley, IL 60142-6389

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking":

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two

020716

respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking. It is past time for us to start taking care of our planet, instead of destroying it!

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Marilyn Sieck

Nancy & Vernon Wedow  
228 N Middleton Ave  
Palatine, IL 60067-4856

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

626717

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Nancy & Vernon Wedow

Taylor Novak  
230 Thomas St  
Park Forest, IL 60466-1127

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater,

626713

our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Taylor Novak

Marla Espeseth  
3815 N Oakley Ave Unit 1  
Chicago, IL 60618-3813

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

626719



Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking":

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Marla Espeseth

Christopher Riff  
2930 N Commonwealth Ave Apt  
404

020720

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking":

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and

well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Christopher Riff

J F  
4  
Decatur, IL 62526

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking":

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence

026722

of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
J F

Stephen Anderson  
1000 Springfield Ave  
Deerfield, IL 60015-3031

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that

020723

widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Stephen Anderson

GLORIA JARAPKO  
140 Fernwood Ln  
Bloomington, IL 60108-2523

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock

020724

formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
GLORIA JARAPKO

Helen Seiler  
1500 Chapel Ct  
Northbrook, IL 60062-4650

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and

020725

grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Helen Seiler

Mia Fiore  
108 Country Ct  
Bolingbrook, IL 60440-1570

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

020746

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Mia Fiore

Elenita Hinds  
Sandy Ln  
Libertyville, IL 60048

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed

020727



rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking":

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Elenita Hinds

Sheila Sheehan  
765 Victoria Dr  
Woodstock, IL 60098-2398

Dec 3, 2013

026728

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking":

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Sheila Sheehan

626729

Carol Johnson  
28w141 Liberty St  
Winfield, IL 60190-1955

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an

020739

fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
James Alstrum

Linda Moorman  
3510 S Rhodes Ave  
Chicago, IL 60653-1277

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

020731



Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking":

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Sheila Sheehan

020732

Carol Johnson  
28w141 Liberty St  
Winfield, IL 60190-1955

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking":

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an

020733

outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Carol Johnson

James Alstrum  
809 N School St  
Normal, IL 61761-1328

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and

026734



fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
James Alstrum

Linda Moorman  
3510 S Rhodes Ave  
Chicago, IL 60653-1277

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

626735

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Linda Moorman

Patricia King  
324 Prairie St  
Braidwood, IL 60408-2091

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits

020736

on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Patricia King

Steven McKnight  
6555 Prairie St  
Garden Prairie, IL 61038-9476

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can

020737

flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. This regulation is definitely inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away.

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Steven McKnight

Claudia McCarthy  
114 South Blvd  
Evanston, IL 60202-3108

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to

020738

create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Claudia McCarthy

Yvette Richey  
1438 E Lake Ave  
Peoria, IL 61614-7802

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

026739

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Yvette Richey

Karina Pavlova  
coventry  
Cir, IL 60061

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

020740

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Karina Pavlova

Deb Christensen

020741

191 W Adams St  
Manteno, IL 60950-1439

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume

626742



hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Deb Christensen

Sarah Joyner  
1648 Carol St  
Downers Grove, IL 60515-1863

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois

020743

will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Sarah Joyner

E D.  
4  
chicago, IL 60611-2109

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

626744

contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
E D.

James Dully  
205 Dogwood Ln  
Pekin, IL 61554-7409

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

020745

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
James Dully

Karen Snell  
309 Shady Ln  
Downers Grove, IL 60515-2202

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (a)(6) and at

626746

(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Karen Snell

Melanie Cornish  
5613 Cardinal Dr  
Waterloo, IL 62298-3255

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

How can you not see that contaminating any of our wayer is detrimental to the life of our planet and the future of humanity?

020747

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, what we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Melanie Cornish

Aaron Thompson  
645 N Kingsbury St  
Chicago, IL 60654-6940

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

626748

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Aaron Thompson

Robert Urnikis  
14622 S Arboretum Dr

626749

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and



well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Robert Urnikis

Julie Kralik-Welton  
584 Sycamore Cir  
Wauconda, IL 60084-2379

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence

626751

of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Julie Kralik-Welton

Reinessa Neuhalfen  
909 Sherman Ave Apt 103  
Evanston, IL 60202-1732

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

I cannot believe that we are going to go ahead and let the frackers do whatever they want in Illinois. Why is it okay to poison Illinois like this, dear IDNR? Please just ban fracking in this state!

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

626752

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Reinessa Neuhalfen

Jeffrey Courter  
2844 Alexander Cres  
Flossmoor, IL 60422-1702

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits

026753

on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

We often look to science to extend the comforts and conveniences of life in our world, including looking for ways to get more energy. However, history shows us that there are often unintended consequences for new technologies. We cannot afford to assume that because some scientists say a new source of energy is safe enough, that we should believe them. We are the ones who will be paying for any future unintended consequences. Caution must be used. We only have one world to live on - we must be the best stewards of it we can possibly be.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jeffrey Courter

Patricia Podboy  
1027 Deer Creek Rd  
Carpentersville, IL 60110-1955

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

020754

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Patricia Podboy

Angel Lee  
1103 E Lowden Ave  
Wheaton, IL 60189-6623

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

020755

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Angel Lee

greg berglund

020756

4011 Hennepin Dr  
Joliet, IL 60431-8808

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking":

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume

626757

hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
greg berglund

Denna Hackler-Sullivan  
2250 N Maplewood Ave  
Chicago, IL 60647-3146

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois

626758



will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Denna Hackler-Sullivan

EVAGELOS KARNAVAS  
13805 Timber Trails Rd  
Orland Park, IL 60462-1536

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

020739

contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
EVAGELOS KARNAVAS

Maria Cruz  
801 Lavergne Ave  
Wilmette, IL 60091-2029

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

020760

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Maria Cruz

Donald McGovern  
4039 Ellington Ave  
Western Springs, IL 60558-1206

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at

020761

(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Donald McGovern

Timothy Neuenkirchen  
370 Montgomery Rd  
Montgomery, IL 60538-1714

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

020762

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Timothy Neuenkirchen

jeanette Zawacki  
384 Colonial Cir  
Geneva, IL 60134-3639

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

020763

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
jeanette Zawacki

Nova Randolph  
21848 Mocaby Rd  
Thompsonville, IL 62890-3802

626764

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,

626765

Nova Randolph

Calvin Johnson  
1503 Colin Bradley Dr Apt A  
Marion, IL 62959-3193

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only

020706



begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Calvin Johnson

Carole Biang  
2770 Sandpiper Trl  
West Chicago, IL 60185-5920

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking".

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

020767

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Carole Biang

Esther Allman  
984 N Butternut Cir  
Frankfort, IL 60423-2106

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile

020768

away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Esther Allman

d holmquist  
11131 Indian Woods Dr  
Indian Head Park, IL 60525-4984

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under

020769

the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
d holmquist

Patricia Carlin  
4312 Osullivan Dr  
Joliet, IL 60431-8961

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to

026770

create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Patricia Carlin

Kathryn Bryzgalski  
18359 Poplar Ave  
Homewood, IL 60430-3426

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

020771

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Kathryn Bryzgalski

Patrick Henry  
95 Circle Dr W  
Montgomery, IL 60538-2527

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

020772

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Patrick Henry

Albert and Marcia Hertz

626773

659 W Aldine Ave  
Chicago, IL 60657-3423

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume

020774



hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Albert and Marcia Hertz

N Lee  
Forest Rd  
Lisle, IL 60532

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois

020775

will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
N Lee

Alicia Zody  
114 Maple St Apt 1a  
Marshall, IL 62441-1178

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

020776

contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Alicia Zody

Ruth LaSure  
116 W Elm St Apt 1322  
Chicago, IL 60610-7871

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

026777

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Ruth LaSure

June Hershey  
117 Fernwood Dr  
Naperville, IL 60540-7303

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (a)(6) and at

026778

(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
June Hershey

Sandra Derrig  
1608 W Berwyn Ave  
Chicago, IL 60640-2006

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

026779

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Sandra Derrig

karen scotese  
136 Dodge Ave  
Evanston, IL 60202-3661

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

020780

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
karen scotese

Maggie Shipton  
PO Box 374  
Hamel, IL 62046-0374

020781

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking":

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,

626782



Maggie Shipton

Lloyd Richardson  
2900 Kipling Ct  
Springfield, IL 62711-7974

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only

626783

begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Lloyd Richardson

Nate Frey  
525 W Deming Pl Apt 523  
Chicago, IL 60614-5904

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

626784

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Nate Frey

Cheryl Mix  
22w032 22nd St  
Glen Ellyn, IL 60137-6877

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile

026785

away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Cheryl Mix

Michael Surgeon  
6 Angela Ct  
Savoy, IL 61874-9447

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under

626786

the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Michael Surgeon

Charlie Romano  
105 New Brunswick Ln SW  
Poplar Grove, IL 61065-8501

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to

020787

create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Charlie Romano

Anne Marie Leofanti  
217 Westwood Dr  
Park Forest, IL 60466-1628

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

1:26788

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Anne Marie Leofanti

Henry Gelstor  
1809 W Main St  
Carbondale, IL 62901-2123

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

020789

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Henry Gelstor

james schroeder

626790



2056 W 21st St  
Chicago, IL 60608-2613

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking":

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume

020791

hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
James Schroeder

Lisa Madrid  
543 Oakhill Rd  
Elgin, IL 60120-2271

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois

026792

will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Lisa Madrid

Sander Gjuraj  
760 S Vintage Ln  
Round Lake, IL 60073-4262

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

026793

contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Sander Gjuraj

Carol P  
732 Co. Rd. 1300N  
Carmi, IL 62821

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

020734

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

"Only when the last tree has died and the last river has been poisoned and the last fish has been caught will we realize that we can't eat money." (copied)

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Carol P

Mark Brooker  
1149 E 56th St Apt 3  
Chicago, IL 60637-1527

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to

626735

create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules. Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Mark Brooker

Celeste Morgan  
2644 Granite Ct  
Crystal Lake, IL 60012-2607

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

020736

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Celeste Morgan

Ruben Gil  
6431 N Hoyne Ave  
Chicago, IL 60645-5601

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

626737

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking":

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Ruben Gil

Marnie Ware

026738



137 S Humphrey Ave  
Oak Park, IL 60302-2710

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking":

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume

026799

hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Marnie Ware

Cindy Jensen  
508 Park Ct  
Fox River Grove, IL 60021-1125

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois

626800

will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Cindy Jensen

James Lonergan  
212 S George St  
Mt Prospect, IL 60056-3430

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

020801

contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
James Lonergan

Frank Mores  
968 Casa Solana Dr  
Wheaton, IL 60189-8210

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

626802

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Frank Mores

Jean Sachs-Nygard  
2743 N Wolcott Ave Unit 39  
Chicago, IL 60614-4452

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

Until you can prove no ill effect to our water and our air do not permit any new company created process like fracking.

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can

026803

flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jean Sachs-Nygaard

Claudia Cass  
460 Carroll Dr  
Granite City, IL 62040-6634

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

020804

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Claudia Cass

patricia diekman  
7985 Haas Rd  
Savanna, IL 61074-8628

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

020805

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
patricia diekman

Robert Fleming  
10604 Ridge Dr

020806



Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch.

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking":

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and

well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Robert Fleming

Liane Casten  
1030 Asbury Ave  
Evanston, IL 60202-1165

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence

020808

of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Liane Casten

donna scott  
3723 N Oakley Ave  
Chicago, IL 60618-4812

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that

020309

widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
donna scott

Karen Hays  
206 N Bonnie Brae Ave  
Elmhurst, IL 60126-2506

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock

020810

formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Karen Hays

Pam Hill  
30600 Southwoods Dr  
Genoa, IL 60135-8163

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and

020811

grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Pam Hill

janet summy  
8827 Ellington Rd  
Fowler, IL 62338-2161

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

020812

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
janet summy

Tania Montoya  
5806 N Natoma Ave  
Chicago, IL 60631-3131

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed

020813

rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Tania Montoya

Norman Jones  
31 Hawthorne Ct  
Calumet City, IL 60409-5011

Dec 3, 2013

020814



Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Norman Jones

020815

Mary Dean  
5123 N Winthrop Ave  
Chicago, IL 60640-3111

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an

020816

outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Mary Dean

Marc Levin  
134 N La Salle St  
Chicago, IL 60602-1086

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and

020817

fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. What is the protocol for restoring a contaminated aquifer and criminally prosecuting the oil company executives who personally profit from destroying non-renewable water resources?

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Marc Levin

Steven Parzygnat  
1134 W Granville Ave  
Chicago, IL 60660-2092

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile

020818

away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Steven Parzygnat

Marvin Makinen  
5628 S Harper Ave  
Chicago, IL 60637-1832

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under

626819

the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking. We cannot afford to irreversibly spoil our environment and sources of potable water because of inadequate regulations on fracking. The health of children and of adults is at stake!

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Marvin Makinen

Richard Razo  
2600 W Haddon Ave # 3f  
Chicago, IL 60622-3430

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

020820

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Richard Razo

Andrew Arellano  
7829 41st Ct  
Lyons, IL 60534-1301

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed

020821

rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Andrew Arellano

Nancy Hardy  
12721 Golf View Dr  
Huntley, IL 60142-7521

Dec 3, 2013

020822



Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Nancy Hardy

020823

RUSSELL Zavala Zavala  
PO Box 607  
Herrin, IL 62948-0607

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an

020824

outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
RUSSELL Zavala Zavala

Jason Mortensen  
6142 N Clark St  
Chicago, IL 60660-2410

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and

626325

fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jason Mortensen



Sincerely,  
Mary Duff

Wendy Glasshof  
1441 E Lake Louise Dr  
Palatine, IL 60074-4115

Dec 5, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

I believe you are derelict in your duties to protect the people and the natural resources of we people of Illinois. I have read the detail report compiled by the people affected in Pennsylvania of how fracking has destroyed their water, their land, their health, their finances, their lives, and the future of all the people who are stuck inheriting that space.

I believe that these new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines. This was never the intention of anyone including our elected representatives and knowledgeable environmentalists.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow. It cannot be allowed to happen.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that

626827

widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Wendy Glasshof

William Slowinski  
5516 W 99th Pl  
Oak Lawn, IL 60453-3843

Dec 5, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock

626828

Dec 5, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

020829



Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Carlos Guerrero

Mary Duff  
2800 N Lake Shore Dr  
Chicago, IL 60657-6232

020830

our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jill Vargas

Carlos Guerrero  
2127 Tamarack Dr  
Joliet, IL 60432-2263

Dec 5, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

020831

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Sean Moren

Jill Vargas  
1111 gggg  
lockport, IL 60441

Dec 5, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater,

020832

(measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Hélène Lopez

Sean Moren  
949 N Wolcott Ave  
Chicago, IL 60622-6706

Dec 5, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

020833

fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
William S. Beisiegel, Jr.

Hélène Lopez  
463 chemin Le Peyron  
FLASSANS SUR ISSOLE, IL  
83340

Dec 5, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well

020834

Sincerely,  
Kevin Adams

William S. Beisiegel, Jr.  
1215 Mohawk Ct  
Schaumburg, IL 60193-4824

Dec 5, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

It's obvious by the information about these proposed rules that the IDNR has deigned to allow us to see that we need to slow down and take a much harder look at what kind of environmental protections WE THE PEOPLE OF ILLINOIS need in order to protect our future clean water supply and our public health.

The energy isn't going anywhere, and the longer we wait for the proper rules, the more valuable those resources become. We need to take our time, figure out all of the implications of any rule, and do it right the first time!

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for

020035

Dec 5, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking":

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

020836

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Susan Leibowitz

Kevin Adams  
1700 Devonshire Ln  
Shorewood, IL 60404-8177

626837



our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Edward Haggard

Susan Leibowitz  
732 W Schubert Ave  
Chicago, IL 60614-1507

Dec 5, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

026838

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Julie Moyer

Edward Haggard  
13553 Cicero Ave  
Crestwood, IL 60445-1934

Dec 5, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater,

(measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Peter Bertoncini

Julie Moyer  
1171 Rodgers St  
Barry, IL 62312-1370

Dec 5, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

626840

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Peter Bertoncini

Peter Bertoncini  
335 S Lombard Ave  
Oak Park, IL 60302-3523

Dec 5, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well

020841

back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Michael Buehler

Peter Bertoncini  
335 S Lombard Ave  
Oak Park, IL 60302-3523

Dec 5, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

020842

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
David Brayfield

Michael Buehler  
106 S Virginia Ave  
Belleville, IL 62220-3881

Dec 5, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it

020843

David Brayfield  
915 W Harvard St  
Champaign, IL 61821-2534

Dec 5, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

020844

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking":

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Margery Oberheide

626845



hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Don Coleman

Margery Oberheide  
1725 Fieldwood Dr # 202  
Northbrook, IL 60062-5922

Dec 5, 2013

020846

abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Linda Shore

Don Coleman  
650 E Butterfield Rd  
Lombard, IL 60148-5604

Dec 5, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from

020847

when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Dan Franks

Linda Shore  
4628 N Lowell Ave  
Chicago, IL 60630-4016

Dec 5, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or

026848

inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Gerda Muri

Dan Franks  
1050 Oakwood St  
Gurnee, IL 60031-2240

Dec 5, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits,

020849

new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Gayle B. Wade

Gerda Muri  
918 W Wolfram St # 2  
Chicago, IL 60657-5006

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Laura Renardo

Gayle B. Wade  
6040 S Harper Ave Apt 908  
Chicago, IL 60637-2936

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating

020851

Sincerely,  
brian Leonardi

Laura Renardo  
3620 Wesley Ave  
Berwyn, IL 60402-3861

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

020852

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking":

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

020853



Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Allan Kaplan

brian Leonardi  
3650 N Spitz Dr  
Waukegan, IL 60087-2289

020854

our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Irena Walas

Allan Kaplan  
1015 S Hampton Dr  
Round Lake, IL 60073-5650

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

620855

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Connie Roux

Irena Walas  
7622 Magnolia Trl  
Cherry Valley, IL 61016-9412

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater,

020856

(measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Evelyn Homan

Connie Roux  
407 Floral Park Dr  
Savoy, IL 61874-9757

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

626857

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Michael Mix

Evelyn Homan  
408 E Greenwich St  
Lexington, IL 61753-1537

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well

020858

back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Carol Bruns

Michael Mix  
2311 Ridgeland Ave  
Berwyn, IL 60402-2430

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

020859

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Thomas Rendall

Carol Bruns  
956 Lange Ave  
Beecher, IL 60401-3704

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it

020860

Thomas Rendall  
3504 Dixie Ave  
Park City, IL 60085-5913

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

620861



You must make the companies responsible for damage they cause. The massive extent of drilling and the huge amounts of contaminated water being left under our feet must not be the responsibility of innocent property owners.

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

One problem I have not heard discussed is the issue of where the clean water fracking will be using will come from. Here in south central Illinois, we barely have enough clean water to drink. Where are these millions of gallons of water needed for fracking?

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Rita Hunt

020862

create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Sharon Wakefield

Rita Hunt  
2139 County Road 1050 E  
Rinard, IL 62878-1022

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

020803

the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Heath Chappell

Sharon Wakefield  
501 Cheever Ave.  
Geneva, IL 60134

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to

626864

away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
ellene shapiro

Heath Chappell  
5333 W Newport Ave # 1  
Chicago, IL 60641-3333

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under

626865

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Walter Schmitt

ellene shapiro  
650 Laurel Ave Apt 502  
Highland Park, IL 60035-3584

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile

026866

begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Lana Schmitt

Walter Schmitt  
10005 Gentian Dr  
Machesney Park, IL 61115-1542

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

020867

Chris Siebold

Lana Schmitt  
10005 Gentian Dr  
Machesney Park, IL 61115-1542

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only

626863

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,

626869



I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Susan Cook

Chris Siebold  
4036 N Mozart St  
Chicago, IL 60618-2765

626870

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
mary lytle

Susan Cook  
1021 Sunnyside Ave  
Wauconda, IL 60084-1537

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

020871

(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Joe Sonnefeldt

mary lytle  
67 Alder Ct  
Wood River, IL 62095-2239

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

026872

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jordan Russell

Joe Sonnefeldt  
715 N Fairview Ave  
Mount Prospect, IL 60056-2037

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at

020873

contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Shari Ralish

Jordan Russell  
1619 W 16th St  
Chicago, IL 60608-2039

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

020874

will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Tony Viren

Shari Ralish  
206 Longview Dr  
Geneva, IL 60134-3815

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

020875

hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Marin Quezada

Tony Viren  
638 Candy Ln  
Machesney Park, IL 61115-1332

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois

626876

N Sheridan Rd  
Chicago, IL 60640

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking":

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume

620877



Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Isabel Bichao

Marin Quezada

020878

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
David Millett

Isabel Bichao  
1158 E 49th St  
Chicago, IL 60615-1908

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

020679

create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Mary Jo Comerford

David Millett  
1015 W Barry Ave  
Chicago, IL 60657-4309

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

020880

the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Tim McCrory

Mary Jo Comerford  
37 Maple Ct  
Decatur, IL 62526-3930

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to

020881

away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
John Helgoth

Tim McCrory  
1116 Pember Cir  
West Dundee, IL 60118-3516

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under

026882

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Alex A. Bobroff

John Helgoth  
1210 Price Ave  
Calumet City, IL 60409-5808

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile

020883

begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
lee adami

Alex A. Bobroff  
14326 S Creme Rd  
Homer Glen, IL 60491-9326

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

020884

Jolie Misek

lee adami  
2397 W Stagecoach Trl  
Scales Mound, IL 61075-9506

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only

020885



Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,

020886

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Carole Spencer

Jolie Misek  
9317 Pine Needle Pass  
Bull Valley, IL 60097-9460

020887

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Amy Rieselman

Carole Spencer  
115 E N 3rd St  
Georgetown, IL 61846-1715

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

020888

(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Angel Sides

Amy Rieselman  
25w156 Salem Ave  
Naperville, IL 60540-3420

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

020889

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Angel Sides

Angel Sides  
2124 Blackhawk Rd  
Springfield, IL 62702-1311

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at

020890

contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Angel Sides

Angel Sides  
2124 Blackhawk Rd  
Springfield, IL 62702-1311

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

020891

will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Bill Foster

Angel Sides  
2124 Blackhawk Rd  
Springfield, IL 62702-1311

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

026892

hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Dana Sullivan

Bill Foster  
574 Ambleside Rd  
Des Plaines, IL 60016-2608

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois

020893



5425 N Glenwood Ave Apt 1  
Chicago, IL 60640-1203

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume

020894

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jennifer Cohen

Dana Sullivan

626895

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Robert Allen

Jennifer Cohen  
535 Appletree Ln  
Deerfield, IL 60015-3930

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

020836

create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Roberta Allen

Roberta Allen  
108 1/2 Payne Ave  
Danville, IL 61832-5737

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

026897

the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
John Lawlor

Roberta Allen  
108 1/2 Payne Ave  
Danville, IL 61832-5737

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to

020898

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Shannon Peterson

john lawlor  
1506 N Dryden Ave  
Arlington Hts, IL 60004-4032

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

Please don't risk long term health problems for short term financial gain. These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under

020899

back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Francis Saponara-Rodriguez

Shannon Peterson  
14107 S King Rd  
Homer Glen, IL 60491-8516

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

020900

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Garrick Balk

Francis Saponara-Rodriguez  
1010 Brighton Dr  
Wheaton, IL 60189-8146

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it

020901



Garrick Balk  
236 Prairie St  
South Elgin, IL 60177-1528

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

020902

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Patricia Wille

020903

hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Wanda Berry

Patricia Wille  
995 N Butternut Cir  
Frankfort, IL 60423-2105

Dec 4, 2013

020904

abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Karen Long MacLeod

Wanda Berry  
3037 Fresno Ln  
Homewood, IL 60430-2840

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from

626935

when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Sarah Howard

Karen Long MacLeod  
347 Douglas Ave  
Waukegan, IL 60085-2041

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or

020906

inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
joe paenti

Sarah Howard  
1213 E 63rd St  
Chicago, IL 60637-3721

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits,

628397

new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Miguel Hernandez

joe paenti  
12021 S Leamington Ave  
Alsip, IL 60803-3135

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously

626908

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Whitney Murphy

Miguel Hernandez  
6245 S Sacramento Ave  
Chicago, IL 60629-2623

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating

020909



Sincerely,  
Stacy Croan

Whitney Murphy  
5412 Lyman Ave  
Downers Grove, IL 60515-4944

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

020910

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

020911

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Philip Kritzman

Stacy Croan  
1623 West Blvd  
Belleville, IL 62221-5059

020912

our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Robert Jespersen

Philip Kritzman  
5615 N Kostner Ave  
Chicago, IL 60646-5917

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

020913

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Charles Whipple

Robert Jespersen  
5701 N Sheridan Rd Apt 28s  
Chicago, IL 60660-6717

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater,

626914

hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

I am truly horrified that the practice of fracking is being allowed at all, in this country or anywhere. The threats it poses to our natural resources are so real and so extreme that I am shocked that we would be willing to engage in it. For the sake of a short term and filthy energy supply we are willing to sacrifice potable drinking water for the foreseeable future. Can this possibly seem like a reasonable bargain to anyone? Not to mention that the methane produced by the practice of fracking is even more damaging to our environment than CO<sub>2</sub>, and this at a time of rapid climate change.

What I find so disturbing and sad is that any arguments I could make in this letter concerning the new rules for fracking in Illinois and how they are so drastically inadequate have already been made by countless other people before me, and in fact you already knew these things when you drafted these rules. You are willing to sacrifice our planet, our drinking water, the air we breathe - so what more can I say other than please don't do this to us. Please. I wish I could say this to you in person, because I think it is easier to ignore nameless faceless people. It is easier to ignore a future that you can't see yet, and generations of people who are not yet born. Please don't destroy things for them, for us.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Rachel Krucoff

Charles Whipple  
1554 W Juneway Ter Apt 2r  
Chicago, IL 60626-1268

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

026915

abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Kevin Keenan

Rachel Krucoff  
5441 S Kenwood Ave Apt 3  
Chicago, IL 60615-5484

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from

020916

when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Deborah Schechter

Kevin Keenan  
596 Stuart Dr  
Carol Stream, IL 60188-4409

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or

020917



inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Frederick Schmidt

Deborah Schechter  
6647 N Richmond St  
Chicago, IL 60645-4210

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits,

020918

new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Armin Balli

Frederick Schmidt  
950 E Illinois Rd  
Lake Forest, IL 60045-2408

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously

026919

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Terry Trattner

Armin Balli  
6109 N Damen Ave Apt 4c  
Chicago, IL 60659-5312

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating

020920

Sincerely,  
JUDITH LEARNED

Terry Trattner  
433 Monarch Birch Ln  
Bartlett, IL 60103-1582

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

020921

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

020922

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Robert Kolkebeck

JUDITH LEARNED  
7610 OSKWOOD  
WONDER LAKE, IL 60097

020923

our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Tina Meadows

Robert Kolkebeck  
131 Hay St  
Park Forest, IL 60466-1219

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

020924

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Kay Taliaferro

Tina Meadows  
3338 N Oriole Ave  
Chicago, IL 60634-3246

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater,

020325



(measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
michelle lord

Kay Taliaferro  
35597 130th Ave  
Pleasant Hill, IL 62366-2325

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

020926

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Carlotta Olson

michelle lord  
4839 W Engle Rd  
Alsip, IL 60803-3088

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well

020927

back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Edwin Homeier

Carlotta Olson  
2056 W Le Moyne St  
Chicago, IL 60622-1943

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

020928

I urge you to make the following changes in the draft rules to fully implement the controls in the Act.

- 1) Fracking risks significant pollution of ground waters. Consequently, you must strengthen the protections against water pollution by:
  - a. Eliminating the potential abuses of "emergency pits" in the proposed regulation.
  - b. Extending the protections in the rules to include the FULL RANGE of chemicals used in Fracking, without regard to any claims of proprietary interests or trade secrets.
  - c. Requiring that complete descriptions of the processes and chemicals employed at a site are easily accessible and readily available to first responders and emergency medical personnel so that they can appropriately manage unexpected incidents.
  - d. Writing protections for fragile wells, streams or ponds into the rules to protect populations from exhaustion and contaminations of these vital resources.
- 2) Many loopholes in the existing regulations must be closed. For example:
  - a. All high-volume Fracking Operations should be covered.
  - b. The limits on the use of foams and gases in base fluids must be well defined and closely regulated.
  - c. The rules must fully apply to regulate all ongoing operations.
  - d. Existing operations must not be exempted from the full force of the rules for any reason, and if permits are modified all individuals impacted must be advised of the changes to be made.
- 3) Penalties must be significantly increased to discourage violation of the law. Failure to comply with the law should be made expensive enough to rectify problems and address legal liabilities that might arise.
- 4) Since hydrocarbons are potent greenhouse gases, it is critical that the rules assure the quantitative recovery of the gas liberated during Fracking without regard to the expense incurred. Failure to comply should be severely punished and proven violations should prevent issuing future Fracking permits to violators.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Bonnie Duman

Edwin Homeier  
109 Sun St  
Cabery, IL 60919-5502

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Rules DNR is Working on Right Now

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

After I completed a college degree in education, I taught science at the high school level for three years. I then resumed my education and received a PhD in Chemistry from the University of Wisconsin, Madison. I taught at the college level for seven years, attaining tenure as Associate Professor. I left academia and began work in industrial research, where I became a Senior Research Specialist in the fields of petroleum and petrochemicals. After 27 years in the research centers of the same major corporation, I retired with 27 US Patents and dozens of scientific publications. Consequently, I feel competent to comment on the potential scientific concerns associated with the Hydraulic Fracturing Process (Fracking).

There are many problematic aspects in Fracking technology and I comment upon some below. But first I want to point out that the current emphasis on acquiring and burning the last traces of hydrocarbon in the crust of the Earth is a flawed policy. Actually, if we utilize more than a fraction of currently proven hydrocarbon reserves, the temperature of the atmosphere will increase so much that the entire ecology is threatened. That would risk the lives of people like you, me and my grandchildren. So, I want to end or significantly restrict extractive processes. Since the state government has agreed to permit Fracking in Illinois, I am here to ask you to control the dangers associated with Fracking.

020930

begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Joseph Knell

Bonnie Duman  
930 Heather Rd  
Deerfield, IL 60015-3410

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

020931

Mary Garzino

Joseph Knell  
5200 N Sheridan Rd  
Chicago, IL 60640-2532

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only

026932

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,

020933



I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Steven Rhodes

Mary Garzino  
5022 N Sayre Ave  
Chicago, IL 60656-3711

020934

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
david kellogg

Steven Rhodes  
1420 S Prairie Ave  
Chicago, IL 60605-2884

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

020935

(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Donna Bucher

david kellogg  
520 Park Ave  
Rockford, IL 61103-6785

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

020936

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Penny Hanna

Donna Bucher  
5211 W Warwick Ave  
Chicago, IL 60641-3348

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at

020937

contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Sam Karim

Penny Hanna  
305 S Cottage Grove Ave  
Urbana, IL 61802-3505

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

020938

will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jeffrey Tangel

Sam Karim  
300 N Euclid Ave  
Oak Park, IL 60302-2110

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

020939

hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
David Ulibarri

Jeffrey Tangel  
2028 W 101st Pl  
Chicago, IL 60643-2071

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois

626949

6032 N Oriole Ave  
Chicago, IL 60631-3829

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume

020941



Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jacqueline Glass

David Ulibarri

020942

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Debra Harris

Jacqueline Glass  
17 Rockingham Pl  
Belleville, IL 62223-7006

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

620943

create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Corinne Shannon

Debra Harris  
9630 Keeler Ave  
Skokie, IL 60076-1128

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

020944

the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
traci brown

Corinne Shannon  
533 W Addison St  
Chicago, IL 60613-4717

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to

020945

away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Martha Howard

traci brown  
548 Belleview Ave  
West Chicago, IL 60185-2156

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under

020946

rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Carol Kimsey

Martha Howard  
4250 N Marine Dr  
Chicago, IL 60613-1744

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Moratorium on Fracking Permits

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

The current fracking rules are very weak, and will do irreparable harm to our groundwater. We need a complete moratorium on fracking.

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile

020947

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jean Hirsch

Carol Kimsey  
1162 Highland Ave  
Oak Park, IL 60304-2243

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed

020948

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

I wonder how many of the earthquakes in the mid-west are due to fracking? Additionally, I worry about the quality of our drinking water if things go awry in fracking areas, as they will because all mining endeavors have accidents. What is the cost of this in real-people terms? WHAT DO WE REALLY WANT FOR OUR CITIZENS????

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

020049



rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Imelda Smith

Jean Hirsch  
1610 Lincoln St  
Evanston, IL 60201-2341

Dec 4, 2013

020950

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Melissa Noel

Imelda Smith  
382 Pennsylvania Ave Apt 30  
Glen Ellyn, IL 60137-4333

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed

020951

inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. The whole process wastes an incredible amount of otherwise potable water, at a time when water is becoming an ever more scarce resource. Fracking also requires that hundreds of truckloads of equipment, supplies, and waste be transported to and from each drilling site, causing a huge increase in carbon emissions. It also causes horrible air pollution, habitat destruction, and land and water pollution, and most importantly, diverts our efforts away from developing renewable, clean energy production. The only way to avoid these problems is with an outright ban on fracking.

Please deny ALL requests for fracking permits. NO FRACKING IN ILLINOIS!

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Darcy Leach

Melissa Noel  
1128 Linden Ave  
Oak Park, IL 60302-1243

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Roxanne Zwier-Swanson

Darcy Leach  
423 W High St  
Peoria, IL 61606-1922

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously

020953

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Julia Neely-Spain

Roxanne Zwier-Swanson  
322 Gillett Ave  
Waukegan, IL 60085-2016

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating

020954

Sincerely,  
Colin Kay

Julia Neely-Spain  
1718 E Main St  
West Frankfort, IL 62896-1604

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

020935

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

Help us.  
Thank you

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

020938

THERE IS NO SAFE FRACKING!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Chris Mest

Colin Kay  
7637 161st Pl  
Tinley Park, IL 60477-1418

Dec 4, 2013

020957



fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Dan Delorenzo

Chris Mest  
218 Country Club Dr  
Prospect Heights, IL 60070-2566

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

020938

wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
John Zec

Dan Delorenzo  
6713 N Sacramento Ave  
Chicago, IL 60645-4222

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from

020959

well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Kenneth Bearden

John Zec  
6101 Boca Rio Dr  
Oak Forest, IL 60452-1817

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned

020960

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
A B

Kenneth Bearden  
211 N. Greebriar, Apt. 1  
Carterville, IL 62918

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one

020961

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Valerie Parmley

A B  
3823 W Addison St  
Chicago, IL 60618-5009

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

- 020902

Sincerely,  
Carolyn Kras

Valerie Parmley  
2500 Delmar Ave  
Granite City, IL 62040-3428

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

620980

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Sr. Fahrner

Carolyn Kras  
1234 Undisclosed  
La Grange, IL 60525

626965



fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Kate Harder

Sr. Fahrner  
1958 Illinois St  
Des Plaines, IL 60018-3047

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

020966

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Andy Myers

Kate Harder  
1186 Main St  
Glen Ellyn, IL 60137-3692

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing

020967

respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
JUDITH SCHWAGER

Andy Myers  
15101durkee rd  
Alden, IL 60001

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

626968

fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Allison Fradkin

JUDITH SCHWAGER  
1915 Dogwood Dr  
Hoffman Estates, IL 60192-1526

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two

020969

rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Sunny Balsam

Allison Fradkin  
3616 Torrey Pines Pkwy  
Northbrook, IL 60062-3104

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for

020970

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Katie Abma

Sunny Balsam  
822 Colfax St  
Evanston, IL 60201-2421

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed

626971

Katie Abma  
3201 Scoville Ave  
Berwyn, IL 60402-3546

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

020972

rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal. Fracking anywhere near the New Madrid Fault should be out of the question.

Since massive amounts of water are needed, how are you protecting sources of drinking water for local residents? Will you protect recreational waterways that so many Illinoisans depend on for their livelihoods?

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Steven Serikaku

020973



New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
David Lysik

Steven Serikaku  
6234 N Wayne Ave  
Chicago, IL 60660-1913

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed

- 020974

grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Victor Zaveduk

David Lysik  
1452 W Rosemont Ave  
Chicago, IL 60660-1320

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

026975

formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Elisabeth Wengronowitz

Victor Zaveduk  
6331 N Merrimac Ave  
Chicago, IL 60646-3707

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and

026976

fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

Anyone expecting corporate America (or international) to 'do the right thing' is either extremely naive or completely oblivious to current events, and quite possibly complicit with the thinking that short term gain is worth the risk of long term ruin.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Richard Ainsworth

Elisabeth Wengronowitz  
602 S Mitchell Ave  
Arlington Heights, IL 60005-2516

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock

026977

outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
peter izquierdo

Richard Ainsworth  
721 Taylor St  
Joliet, IL 60435-6155

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and

020978

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

1. We need a 1000 year plan for these fracking sites before injection begins.

2. I am terrorized as to the prospect of contaminated water, what safeguards are in place to protect the public long term health and economic viability.

Because fracking is not a contained process there will be non food grade chemicals in our drinking water. The united states government and townships spend billions a year on keeping our natural resources pristine and secure from terrorists. 1. Do not continue fracking until the process can be contained or 2. go back to only pumping out the gas not putting in non food grade chemicals into our food stuffs 3. please promote the use of renewable resources and at home conservation.

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an

626979

our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
peter izquierdo

peter izquierdo  
5346 W 23rd Pl  
Cicero, IL 60804-2808

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

020330

(measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Cathy Felix

peter izquierdo  
5346 W 23rd Pl  
Cicero, IL 60804-2808

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

Because fracking is not a contained process there will be non food grade chemicals in our drinking water. The united states government and townships spend billions a year on keeping our natural resources pristine and secure from terrorists. 1.Do not continue fracking until the process can be contained or 2.go back to only pumping out the gas not putting in non food grade chemicals into our food stuffs 3.please promote the use of renewable resources and at home conservation.

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater,

626981



Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Kirsten Schelbert

Cathy Felix  
2706 Deerfield Ln  
Rolling Meadows, IL 60008-2139

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well

626932

back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
amira noureldin

Kirsten Schelbert  
2523 Asbury Ave  
Evanston, IL 60201-2308

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

020983

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Marjorie Woodruff

amira noureldin  
5525 Mallard Ln  
Hoffman Estates, IL 60192-4564

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it

020984

Marjorie Woodruff  
1237 N Maplewood Ave  
Chicago, IL 60622-2858

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

020935

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
sara de mari

020936

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

My grandchildren, my children and I have a right to clean earth and water. Fracking destroys our right.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Barbara Davidson

sara de mari  
271 Lorraine Cir  
Bloomington, IL 60108-2550

Dec 4, 2013

020957

create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Meghan Capulong

Barbara Davidson  
2317 W Sherman Ave  
West Peoria, IL 61604-5420

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

- 020988

the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
clarence krygsheld

Meghan Capulong  
1607 Westminster Dr Apt 203  
Naperville, IL 60563-9224

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to

026939



away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Brandon Kozak

clarence krygsheld  
132 Wedgewood Way  
Bolingbrook, IL 60440-2013

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under

020099

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Francis S.

Brandon Kozak  
216 N Fillmore St  
Edwardsville, IL 62025-1756

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile

'ground-source' geothermal space heating and cooling, and so forth.]  
]

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
DENNIS NELSON

Francis S.  
4209 Lindley St  
Downers Grove, IL 60515-2128

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois' essential groundwater resource will be contaminated with cancer-causing 'fracking' chemicals, hydrocarbon gases, and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from 'fracking,' and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which toxic chemical and radioactive contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called "'frack' hits," when new fractures from gas and oil 'fracking' intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about "'frack' hits" on current wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). Even then, they only need to consider those current wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously very inadequate! Just this past September, in New Mexico, 'fracking' at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. (That was 2,640 feet away!)

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for 'fracking' permits are. Actually, very little is known about the connectivity of toxic chemical and radioactive contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread 'fracking' will expand these pathways, creating new routes for hazardous contamination which we might not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by 'fracking,' there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be AVOIDED WITH AN OUTRIGHT BAN ON ALL OIL AND GAS 'FRACKING!' [I am one of the original, modern environmental/energy activists dating back to around the very first "EARTH DAY" (Wednesday, April 22, 1970), over 43 years ago. I have a Bachelor of Science (B.S.) Degree in Biology and Environmental Studies from Dana College, Blair, Nebraska. I strongly support a non-fossil-fuel (non-gas and oil 'fracking')/non-nuclear energy pathway, which is based upon these things: Greatly increased energy efficiency, sustainable liquid biofuels (enzymatic biodiesel and cellulosic ethanol) produced from regional feedstocks, municipal and farm methane biogas co-digesters, solid biomass-fueled combined heat-and-power (CHP)/district heating systems, community-operated solar photovoltaic (PV) projects with "feed-in tariffs," community-owned wind turbines using "group net-metering," solar agricultural process heat for drying grains, higher-temperature solar industrial process heat, solar domestic and commercial water heating, passive solar space heating and cooling, lower-temperature

fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Nancy DeSecki

DENNIS NELSON  
3817 S Winchester Ave Fl 2  
Chicago, IL 60609-2011

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: ILLINOIS' NEW OIL AND GAS 'FRACKING' RULES ARE TERRIBLE: I CALL FOR NOT ONE 'FRACKING' PERMIT TO BE GRANTED!!

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from

020994

wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Bonita Brinkman

Nancy DeSecki  
558 Whispering Pines Rd  
Lindenhurst, IL 60046-8794

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from

020995

well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Meera Godbole

Bonita Brinkman  
4005 Bluff Dr  
Belvidere, IL 61008-7870

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking".

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
noreen lassandrello

Meera Godbole  
117 Winchester Dr Unit A  
Streamwood, IL 60107-2438

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one

020997



There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Sheridan Donofrie

noreen lassandrello  
307 Briargate Ter  
Hinsdale, IL 60521-2819

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

Sincerely,  
Richard Bathje

Sheridan Donofrie  
412 Cambridge Way  
Bolingbrook, IL 60440-1147

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

020999

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

021000

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
greg caravelli

Richard Bathje  
16810 Trapet Ave  
Hazel Crest, IL 60429-1318

021001

fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
THOMAS SUYKO

greg caravelli  
130 E Circle Dr  
New Lenox, IL 60451-9746

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

021002

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Teresa Willoby

THOMAS SUYKO  
6450 Barrick Dr  
Rockford, IL 61114-7806

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing

021003

respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Shawn Abrahamson

Teresa Willoby  
19204 Townline Rd  
Pekin, IL 61554-8761

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

021004

fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jennifer Walter

Shawn Abrahamson  
7377 N Rogers Ave  
Chicago, IL 60626-5960

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two

021005



rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
JUEL BEY

Jennifer Walter  
531 Mohican Rd  
Carol Stream, IL 60188-3606

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for

021006

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Gavin Van Horn

JUEL BEY  
7165 E Country Club Dr Apt 2  
Saint Anne, IL 60964-5808

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed

021007

Gavin Van Horn  
2541 Prairie Ave Apt 1  
Evanston, IL 60201-2251

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

021008

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jay Hurt

021009

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Thomas Spees

Jay Hurt  
PO Box 322  
Savoy, IL 61874-0322

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

021010

fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Amy Hedstrom

Thomas Spees  
542 W. Brompton, 1 South  
Chicago, IL 60657

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

021011

wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Kathleen Funck

Amy Hedstrom  
600 3rd St  
Wilmette, IL 60091-1921

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from

021012

well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Matthew Moresi

Kathleen Funck  
1318 W George St  
Chicago, IL 60657-4127

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned

021013



As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Mitchell Montgomery

Matthew Moresi  
1931 S Clark St Unit D  
Chicago, IL 60616-1990

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one

021014

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Arlene Echols

Mitchell Montgomery  
1504 Westgate Ct  
Rochelle, IL 61068-2407

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

021015

Sincerely,  
Chris Caron

Arlene Echols  
11329 S Saint Lawrence Ave  
Chicago, IL 60628-5111

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

021017

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Charlene Foulke

Chris Caron  
3440 Emerson St  
Franklin Park, IL 60131-1765

021018

fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
maureen oconnor

Charlene Foulke  
812 Pembroke Rd  
Libertyville, IL 60048-3048

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

021019

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Phyllis Arist

maureen oconnor  
6251 Edgebrook Ln E  
Indian Head Park, IL 60525-6993

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing

021020

respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Daniel McGuigan

Phyllis Arist  
945 Ridge Ave  
Evanston, IL 60202-1719

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

021021



fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Sarah Szopinski

Daniel McGuigan  
8390 Field Crest Ave  
Willow Springs, IL 60480-1068

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two

rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Marty Monroe

Sarah Szopinski  
1356 Laurel Oaks Dr  
Streamwood, IL 60107-3305

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for

021023

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Margaret Schneider

Marty Monroe  
2113 S 3rd St  
Fayetteville, IL 62258-5049

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed

Margaret Schneider  
85541 Oxford Lane  
Naperville, IL 60565

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

021025

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Sarah Leonardi

021026

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Craig Officer

Sarah Leonardi  
2105 Woodlane Dr  
Lindenhurst, IL 60046-8010

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

021027

fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
david marriott

Craig Officer  
7121 S Paxton Ave  
Chicago, IL 60649-2523

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

021028

wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Thomas Martens

david marriott  
409n. 3rd. st.  
St. Joseph, IL 61873

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from

021029



well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
David Forte

Thomas Martens  
9455 Pine St  
Mokena, IL 60448-9313

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned

021030

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Andrew Hoffman

David Forte  
821 Washington St  
Evanston, IL 60202-2257

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one

021031

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Teagen Andrews

Andrew Hoffman  
6023 S Park Ave  
Morton Grove, IL 60053-2947

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

021032

Sincerely,  
Mike C

Teagen Andrews  
28465 Highview Rd  
Mchenry, IL 60051-7217

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

021033

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

021034

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Karen Gylling

Mike C  
562 Greenbrier Ln  
Crystal Lake, IL 60014-7009

021035

fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Sandra Speice

Karen Gylling  
852 High Gate Crse  
Glen Ellyn, IL 60137-3205

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

021036

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Sarah Winblad

Sandra Speice  
395 Lake Shore Dr S  
Goreville, IL 62939-3153

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing

021037



respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Carol Buikema

Sarah Winblad  
918 S Carpenter St  
Chicago, IL 60607-4283

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

021038

fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
David Lang

Carol Buikema  
15140 Kilpatrick Ave  
Oak Forest, IL 60452-2422

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two

021039

rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Ruth Roll

David Lang  
2715 Greenwood Rd  
Northbrook, IL 60062-7623

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for

021040

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
John Lindgren

Ruth Roll  
r3, box 59A  
Monmouth, IL 61462-9403

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed

021041

John Lindgren  
807 S Oakley Blvd  
Chicago, IL 60612-4227

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

021042

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Paul Berland

021043

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Elise Shalda-Wright

Paul Berland  
625 Walnut Ave  
Elgin, IL 60123-7421

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

021044

fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jane Crowley

Elise Shalda-Wright  
2732 w 9th st  
Evergreen Park, IL 60805

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

021045



wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how VAGUE the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are NO GOOD options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the RISK that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright BAN on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
John van Kleef

Jane Crowley  
3317 Golden Eagle Rd  
Bloomington, IL 61704-2593

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from

021046

well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Carole Abood

John van Kleef  
2020 N Spaulding Ave  
Chicago, IL 60647-3794

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's GROUNDWATER, our most essential resource, WILL BE CONTAMINATED with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned

021047

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

There isn't one state where fracking hasn't caused destruction, or the poisoning of the water table. There is NO REASON we should let industries that engage in fracking be able to operate anywhere near our water.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
John Aardema

Carole Abood  
2018 Fulham Dr  
Naperville, IL 60564-8422

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one

021048

our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
mary jones

John Aardema  
2216 Wharf Dr  
Woodridge, IL 60517-4370

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

021049

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
nora Liu

mary jones  
8220 Hillside Rd  
Crystal Lake, IL 60012-2836

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater,

021050

(measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Joseph Sessa

nora Liu  
1603 S Highland Ave  
Arlington Heights, IL 60005-3631

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

021051

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Cherie Hunton

Joseph Sessa  
2151 W Bowler St  
Chicago, IL 60612-4201

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well

021052

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations hardly begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking in Illinois. But under the current rules, Illinois could easily be turned into a wasteland unfit for living or farming.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Don Dieckmann

Cherie Hunton  
371 Merion Dr  
Cary, IL 60013-1701

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

I am trying to raise a family in Illinois. We need clean drinking water.

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

021053



Sincerely,  
Jeff Staebell

Don Dieckmann  
4614 Wisteria Dr  
Alton, IL 62002-7158

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic and often radioactive waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the additional risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

021054

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

021055

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
John Ryan

Jeff Staebell  
10712 Willy Ave  
Algonquin, IL 60102-3517

021056

fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Tessa Fischer

John Ryan  
4512 Lathrop Dr  
Woodstock, IL 60098-8500

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

021057

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Mary Ann Wiesner

Tessa Fischer  
1710 Garand Dr  
Deerfield, IL 60015-2643

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking".

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing

021058

respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jo Anne Przybylowski

Mary Ann Wiesner  
4516 Middaugh Ave  
Downers Grove, IL 60515-2762

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

021059

fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Rita Killean

Jo Anne Przybyłowski  
4638 S St Louis Ave  
Chicago, IL 60632-2936

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two

021060

rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Carolyn Treadway

Rita Killean  
4063 W Glenlake Ave  
Chicago, IL 60646-5238

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for

021061



In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Elizabeth Bullock

Carolyn Treadway  
712 N School St  
Normal, IL 61761-1621

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed

021062

Elizabeth Bullock  
4500 N Magnolia Ave  
Chicago, IL 60640-5513

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

021063

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
John Williams

021064

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Joshua Miskinis

John Williams  
309 1st St # 73  
South Pekin, IL 61564-7540

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

021065

fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Josette Coupet

Joshua Miskinis  
209 E Kellogg Ave  
Kewanee, IL 61443-3711

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

021066

wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Marilyn Frade

Josette Coupet  
5458 N Kenmore Ave  
Chicago, IL 60640-1831

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from

021067

well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Stephanie Miklavcic

Marilyn Frade  
1036 Washington Blvd  
Oak Park, IL 60302-3788

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned

021068

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Kay Taliaferro

Stephanie Miklavcic  
306 Desoto St Apt 6  
Ottawa, IL 61350-1457

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one

021069



There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Dan Crowe

Kay Taliaferro  
35597 130th Ave  
Pleasant Hill, IL 62366-2325

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

021070

Dan Crowe  
842 W Barry Ave  
Chicago, IL 60657-4451

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

Government is supposed to be for the people, to protect them against corporate ruthlessness. These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

021071

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Janet McDonnell

021072

rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Tracy Warning

Janet McDonnell  
1322 N Vail Ave  
Arlington Heights, IL 60004-4735

Dec 4, 2013

021073

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Joanne Smith

Tracy Warning  
33 Lake Metonga Trl  
Grant Park, IL 60940-9778

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed

021074

grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Terri Latsch

Joanne Smith  
605 Esta Dr  
Plano, IL 60545-2017

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

021075

formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Michael Polite

Terri Latsch  
76 Catherine Ct  
Crystal Lake, IL 60014-6111

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and

021076

widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Craig Slocum

Michael Polite  
184 Acorn Ln  
Libertyville, IL 60048-2158

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock

021077



of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Charles Petrof

Craig Slocum  
186 W Burlington St  
Riverside, IL 60546-1902

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that

021078

well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Sherri Webber

Charles Petrof  
1204 Wesley Ave  
Evanston, IL 60202-1131

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence

021079

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Connie Stone

Sherri Webber  
PO Box 134

021081

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Ann Winterhalder

Connie Stone  
656 Lakekwood Farms Dr.  
Bolingbrook, IL 60490

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

021082

flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Rose Palomo

Ann Winterhalder  
3108 N Nagle Ave  
Chicago, IL 60634-4916

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

021083

on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Patricia Loehr

Rose Palomo  
730 Surrey Dr  
Streamwood, IL 60107-2165

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can

021084

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
anne gustafson

Patricia Loehr  
1410 Somerset Rd  
Harrisburg, IL 62946-5442

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits

021085



fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Connie Fischbein

anne gustafson  
601 E Front St  
Bloomington, IL 61701-5313

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

021086

outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
mike lankford

Connie Fischbein  
420 Hamilton St  
Evanston, IL 60202-1368

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and

021087

mike lankford  
265 E Penning Ave  
Wood River, IL 62095-2030

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an

021088

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
BJ Ross

021089

rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Patrick Maher

BJ Ross  
109 W Illinois St Apt 307  
Urbana, IL 61801-3355

Dec 4, 2013

021090

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Cristen Leifheit

Patrick Maher  
642 Champlaine Ct  
Westmont, IL 60559-1207

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed

021091

(measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Junyeup Lee

Cristen Leifheit  
2625 W Cortland St Apt 3r  
Chicago, IL 60647-4229

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

How are we ignoring all of the known hazards brought with Fracking? The regulations are not strict enough and it is going to cost our health and the health of our families and severe damage to our environment.

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

021092

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Paula Willey

Junyeup Lee  
818 N Washtenaw Ave  
Chicago, IL 60622-8578

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well

021093



back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Timothy Rutnicki

Paula Willey  
7502 Cuneo Dr  
Spring Grove, IL 60081-8466

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

021094

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Bob Shields

Timothy Rutnicki  
1 S West Ave  
Bourbonnais, IL 60914-1109

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it

021095

Bob Shields  
8 Poplar Rd  
Yorkville, IL 60560-9519

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, what we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

021096

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Simon Helgeson

021097

hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
John Plas

Simon Helgeson  
526 Lee St Apt 3e  
Evanston, IL 60202-4528

Dec 4, 2013

021098

abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Tom Payleitner

john plas  
32930 N Thornapple Ln  
Grayslake, IL 60030-2059

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from

021099

when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Michelle Maher

Tom Payleitner  
33261 N Lake Shore Dr  
Grayslake, IL 60030-1701

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or

021100

inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Brian Muhr

Michelle Maher  
642 Champlaine Ct  
Westmont, IL 60559-1207

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits,

021101



new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Patricia Todd

Brian Muhr  
1120 Jackson Ave  
Charleston, IL 61920-2237

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously

021102

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Allan Johnston

Patricia Todd  
38442 N Munn Rd  
Lake Villa, IL 60046-7022

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating

021103

Sincerely,  
William Linz

Allan Johnston  
548 Sheridan  
Evanston, IL 60202

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

021104

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

021105

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Troy Pierce

William Linz  
77 Central Ave Apt 207  
Roselle, IL 60172-1947

021106

our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Roberta Lorenz

Troy Pierce  
4325 N Dayton St Apt 201  
Chicago, IL 60613-1449

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

021107

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Frank Probst

Roberta Lorenz  
3301 Maple Ave  
Brookfield, IL 60513-1240

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking".

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater,

021108

(measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Candace Lorkiewicz

Frank Probst  
17699 E 1000th Ave  
Effingham, IL 62401-5830

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

021109



Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jacqueline Price

Candace Lorkiewicz  
806 Maple Ave  
Lisle, IL 60532-2350

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well

021110

back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Lisa Emeott

Jacqueline price  
17410 Brook Crossing Ln  
Orland Park, IL 60467-8511

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

021111

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Eileen Pech

Lisa Emeott  
5608 Silentbrook Ln  
Rolling Meadows, IL 60008-2118

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it

021112

Eileen Pech  
3100 Clinton Ave  
Berwyn, IL 60402-2902

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

021113

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Clifford Rot

021114

hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Gary Ritchie

Clifford Rot  
1005 Meadow Ln  
Elgin, IL 60123-1429

Dec 4, 2013

021115

abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Kathleen Tovey

Gary Ritchie  
204 W Front St  
Roanoke, IL 61561-7697

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from

021116

when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Patmarie Bailey-Swartz

Kathleen Tovey  
10031 W Kennedy Rd  
Peotone, IL 60468-9004

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or

021117



away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

Stop poisoning us for profits.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Frank brisk

Patmarie Bailey-Swartz  
546 Glendale Rd  
Glenview, IL 60025-4542

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits,

021118

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Geetanjali K. Singh U.S. Army (Retired)

Frank brisk  
2617 cental dr  
flossmoor, IL 60430

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile

021119

Kandace Shick

Geetanjali K. Singh U.S. Army  
(Retired)  
276 Stonehill Ln  
Schaumburg, IL 60193-1741

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

I gave 25 years of my life in service to this country so our people could live a happier existence. But people can't live without clean water, and you can't drink oil!!! Don't screw up our natural resources. !!! Don't be a bought dog!!! Once its gone, its gone! We will end up trucking in water like other communities who have had fracking on their land. Destruction of the water will sit on your soul, and the diseases of mankind will be on your hands. These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

021120

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,

021121

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Carol Lapetino

Kandace Shick  
37 Stainfield Dr  
Plano, IL 60545-9529

021122

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
angie davies

Carol Lapetino  
6441 Loomes Ave  
Downers Grove, IL 60516-2458

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

021123

(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Stephan Loubere

angie davies  
1260 prairie  
new lenox, IL 60451

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

021124

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Tamara Dreier

Stephan Loubere  
6954 N Greenview Ave  
Chicago, IL 60626-3458

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at

021125



contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Ed Cornwell

Tamara Dreier  
830 Cardiff Ct  
O Fallon, IL 62269-6863

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

021126

will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Letitia Noel

Ed Cornwell  
2504 Propes Ave  
Granite City, IL 62040-5614

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

021127

hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Mikael Stefansson

Letitia Noel  
55 W Goethe St  
Chicago, IL 60610-7406

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois

021128

K. Zachariadou 6  
Larnaca, IL 60111

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume

021129

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Alex Booker

Mikael Stefansson

021137

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
M. Vetter

Alex Booker  
1639 Napa Dr  
Gurnee, IL 60031-5136

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

021131

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking. Please, for the sake of our land, our health, our children, and even future generations reconsider these new rules and do not allow any permits for fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Malissa Winkowski

M. Vetter  
413 S East Ave  
Oak Park, IL 60302-3909

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

021132

(measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Audra Soulias

Malissa Winkowski  
2148 N Central Park Ave  
Chicago, IL 60647-3599

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

021133



Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jane Krupicka

Audra Soulias  
300 N Canal St  
Chicago, IL 60606-1236

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well

021134

back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Perry Johnson

Jane Krupicka  
222 Janet Dr  
Island Lake, IL 60042-9601

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

021135

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Artemis Asproyerakas

Perry Johnson  
5820 Carol Ave  
Morton Grove, IL 60053-3005

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it

021136

Artemis Asproyerakas  
1322 W Ohio St  
Chicago, IL 60642-6456

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

021137

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

- New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Beverly Dattilo

021138

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

Most importantly, these new rules will almost guarantee that Illinois's groundwater will be contaminated with cancer-causing chemicals, gases and even radioactive brines.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is grossly inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet.

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know that widespread drilling and fracking itself combined with leaking and failing casings will expand these pathways. These plus existing natural geological features may create new routes for contamination that may not be manifest for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, all problems associated with fracking would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Don McClure

Beverly Dattilo  
15114 Evergreen Dr Apt 1b  
Orland Park, IL 60462-5307

Dec 4, 2013

021139

our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Ronald Smith

Don McClure  
608 W Green St Apt 3  
Champaign, IL 61820-8021

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

021140

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal. We already have the New Madrid fault that sent shocks across the state as far as Johnsbury.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Susan Lanes

Ronald Smith  
536 Selborne Rd  
Riverside, IL 60546-1629

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater,

021141



existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
mike butche

Susan Lanes  
2321 Fairview Ave  
Johnsburg, IL 60051-2539

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow. THERE HAVE BEEN TOO MANY UNRESOLVED PROBLEMS OUT WEST AND IN PENNSYLVANIA, WE DO NOT NEED THAT IN ILLINOIS!

021142

contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jennifer Morski

mike butche  
2660 Carriage Ct  
Aurora, IL 60504-5238

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

021143

will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Cynthia Wright

Jennifer Morski  
6413 Cedar Rd  
Oak Forest, IL 60452-1501

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

021144

hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
walt rippa

Cynthia Wright  
934 E County Road 750 N  
Tuscola, IL 61953-7563

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois

021145

20872 W Verona Ave  
Lake Villa, IL 60046-8948

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume

021146

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
terry koehler

walt rippa

021147

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Julie Griffith

terry koehler  
641 McHenry Ave Apt A  
Woodstock, IL 60098-2911

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

021148

create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
walt rippa

Julie Griffith  
1020 Kehoe Dr  
St Charles, IL 60174-3739

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

021149



the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
S.A. Kips

walt rippa  
20872 W Verona Ave  
Lake Villa, IL 60046-8948

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to

021159

away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jody Martin

S.A. Kips  
726 Butterfield Rd  
Oakbrook Terrace, IL 60181-4250

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under

021151

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Patricia Moffett-Ward

Jody Martin  
907 Wescott Rd  
Bolingbrook, IL 60440-9012

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile

021152

begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Chuck Waggoner

Patricia Moffett-Ward  
601 Oak St  
Quincy, IL 62301-2509

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

021153

Anglique Sophina

Chuck Waggoner  
141-1/2 East Main Street  
Shelbyville, IL 62565

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only

021154

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,

021155

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Susan Barrons

Anglique Sophina  
2100 2137th Ave  
Atlanta, IL 61723-8732

021156

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
EMI DAY

Susan Barrons  
1205 Court C  
Hanover Park, IL 60133-5505

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

021157



(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, what we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Costa Nikolaides

EMI DAY  
1100 N Dearborn St  
Chicago, IL 60610-7175

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

021158

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
George Pappas

Costa Nikolaidis  
2s145 Hampton Ln  
Lombard, IL 60148-5138

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases, and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at

021159

contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Haris Lakaca

George Pappas  
3422 N Albany Ave  
Chicago, IL 60618-5602

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

021163

will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Steven Hirsh

Haris Lakaca  
6454 N Claremont Ave  
Chicago, IL 60645-5449

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

021161

hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Mike Charlesworth

Steven Hirsh  
6857 N Kolmar Ave  
Lincolnwood, IL 60712-4702

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois

021162

919 Wartburg Dr  
Bloomington, IL 61704-8747

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume

021163

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Steven Hirsh

Mike Charlesworth

021164

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Ruth Kopala

Steven Hirsh  
6857 N Kolmar Ave  
Lincolnwood, IL 60712-4702

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

021165



(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

If you haven't seen them yet, I urge you to watch the two "Gasland" documentaries. If you didn't think fracking was dangerous, you will after you watch those documentaries.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Mark Tischler

Ruth Kopala  
317 E Elm Ave  
La Grange, IL 60525-6427

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

021166

away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

If you haven't seen them yet, I urge you to watch the two "Gasland" documentaries. If you didn't think fracking was dangerous, you will after you watch those documentaries.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Barbara Tischler

Mark Tischler  
418 Brighton Dr  
Wheaton, IL 60189-8102

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at

021167

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Vincent Hardt

Barbara Tischler  
418 Brighton Dr  
Wheaton, IL 60189-8102

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile

021168

begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Ann Meister

Vincent Hardt  
29w424 Butternut Ln  
Warrenville, IL 60555-2632

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

021169

Jolene Grider

Ann Meister  
3508 N New England Ave  
Chicago, IL 60634-3749

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only

021170

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,

021171

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Mary Ann Black

Jolene Grider  
2578 County Road 400 N  
Broadlands, IL 61816-9721

021172

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, what we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Stephanie Lundeen

Mary Ann Black  
101 N Long St  
Caseyville, IL 62232-1003

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

021173



(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Anthony Withers

Stephanie Lundeen  
5226 Hoffman St  
Skokie, IL 60077-2417

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

021174

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Steve Wanninger

Anthony Withers  
PO Box 205  
Garden Prairie, IL 61038-0205

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (a)(6) and at

021175

contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Sandra Meland

Steve Wanninger  
1714 Melrose St  
Rockford, IL 61103-4748

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

021176

will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Michael Jensen

Sandra Meland  
5125 Blodgett Ave Apt 419  
Downers Grove, IL 60515-5043

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

021177

hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
James Hansen

Michael Jensen  
2029 Ashland Ave  
Evanston, IL 60201-3401

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois

021178

802 Lake Ave  
Wilmette, IL 60091-1726

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume

021179

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Mary Lane

James Hansen

021180

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Marc Jauhiainen

Mary Lane  
1107 S Old Wilke Rd  
Arlington Heights, IL 60005-2978

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

021181



create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Ann Charland

Marc Jauhiainen  
4408 W Shamrock Ln Apt 2d  
Mchenry, IL 60050-3170

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking".

021182

the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Thomas Frazzetta

Ann Charland  
4612 Bears Paw Ct  
Springfield, IL 62711-6710

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to

021183

away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
David Gendry

Thomas Frazzetta  
916 W William St  
Champaign, IL 61821-4511

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under

021184

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Ellen Savage

David Gendry  
1809 W Forest Ave  
Decatur, IL 62522-2648

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile

021185

begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Alvin Humphrey

Ellen Savage  
1570 McCraren Rd  
Highland Park, IL 60035-2715

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

021186

Kerry Rose

Alvin Humphrey  
525 Martin Cave Ln  
Goreville, IL 62939-2713

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only

021187

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,

021188

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Dorothy Stoner

Kerry Rose  
44w527 Welter Rd  
Maple Park, IL 60151-8446

021189



New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jerome Hossli

Dorothy Stoner  
1130 Longford Rd  
Bartlett, IL 60103-1939

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

021190

(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Joe F

Jerome Hossli  
1301 W Fillmore St  
Chicago, IL 60607-4806

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

021191

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Glenn Golden

Joe F  
1314 Wisconsin Ave Apt 1  
Berwyn, IL 60402-1253

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at

021192

contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Linda Annen

Glenn Golden  
303 Greenleaf Ave  
Wilmette, IL 60091-1909

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

021193

outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Mike Dotson

Linda Annen  
1902 Arbor Fields Dr  
Plainfield, IL 60586-5729

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

I have family and friends who live near the area and could be exposed to contaminants from their water supply, etc. Would any congress person of Illinois allow their families to drink or bathe in contaminated water? Or grow food supplies in contaminated earth?

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

021194

Mike Dotson  
102 Anderson St Apt B  
Carterville, IL 62918-1202

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an

021195

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
J. Fiola

021196

rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Char Rush

J. Fiola  
724 Bangs St  
Aurora, IL 60505-5312

Dec 3, 2013

021197



New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Brock Auerbach-Lynn

Char Rush  
2008 N Thirteen Club Dr  
Peoria, IL 61604-3765

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed

021198

grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Charlene Crudup

Brock Auerbach-Lynn  
435 W Oakdale Ave  
Chicago, IL 60657-5960

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

021199

formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Lora Chamberlain

Charlene Crudup  
821 S Williams St Apt B603  
Westmont, IL 60559-2495

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and

021200

widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
diane libman

Lora Chamberlain  
6341 N. Glenwood, 1#  
Chicago, IL 60660

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock

021201

of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Michael Cross

diane libman  
1132 W Lunt Ave  
Chicago, IL 60626-3563

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that

021202

well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Cynthia Johnson

Michael Cross  
30w715 Whispering Winds Dr  
Naperville, IL 60563-1056

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence

021203

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jon Womack

Cynthia Johnson  
128 26th St

021205



These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Michelle Groves

Jon Womack  
2601 Lynnville Ct  
Lindenwood, IL 61049-9721

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

021206

flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
E Grandt

Michelle Groves  
416 Division  
Itasca, IL 60142

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

021207

on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Shelley Anderson

E Grandt  
360 W Illinois St Apt 1c  
Chicago, IL 60654-5236

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can

021208

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Michael Dymmoch

Shelley Anderson  
2434 W Morse Ave  
Chicago, IL 60645-4612

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits

021209

fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Debra Rodgers

Michael Dymmoch  
PO Box 2254  
Northbrook, IL 60065-2254

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

021210

outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Laura Strong

Debra Rodgers  
510 S Mason St  
Bloomington, IL 61701-4920

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and

021211

Laura Strong  
5490 Alexandria Dr  
Lake IN The Hills, IL 60156-6211

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an

021212

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Darrel Bruck Jr.

021213



rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jeffrey Sanders

Darrel Bruck Jr.  
2943 Chippewa Dr  
Bourbonnais, IL 60914-4304

Dec 3, 2013

021214

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Ken Schulman

Jeffrey Sanders  
1577 Winnetka Rd  
Glenview, IL 60025-1821

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed

021215

grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Deborah Cheek

Ken Schulman  
233 Chandler Dr  
Mundelein, IL 60060-1732

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

021216

formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
David Williams

Deborah Cheek  
503 W Center St  
Mount Morris, IL 61054-1315

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and

021217

widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Michelle Skrip

David Williams  
2875 Heritage Dr  
Joliet, IL 60435-4700

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock

021218

of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Arlene Gloria Hirsch

Michelle Skrip  
1654 Peter Ln  
Romeoville, IL 60446-5163

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that

021219

Arlene Gloria Hirsch  
6325 N Sheridan Rd Apt 1901  
Chicago, IL 60660-5721

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

Please make better rules to keep harm from being done. Once things are ruined, they will stay ruined. Please do not be reckless with non-renewable resources.

Our water belongs to all the people. Thank you.

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence

021220

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Nicolas Baria

021221



These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal. Look at what happened near Youngstown Ohio.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
David Ziegler

Nicolas Baria  
4718 N Saint Louis Ave  
Chicago, IL 60625-5376

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

021222

fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Darlene Pavlik

David Ziegler  
4765 N Linder Ave Apt 3c  
Chicago, IL 60630-3439

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

021223

wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Barbara McIntosh

Darlene Pavlik  
3301 N Hamilton Ave  
Chicago, IL 60618-6216

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from

021224

back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Kristin Logerquist  
1036 S. Kenilworth  
Oak Park, IL 60304

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Kristin Logerquist

Barbara McIntosh  
3924 Belle Meade Ln  
Rockford, IL 61108-2012

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned

021225

Kristin Logerquist  
1036 S Kenilworth Ave  
Oak Park, IL 60304-1915

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it

021226

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Seetal Varughese

021227

rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Trina Patton

Seetal Varughese  
4972 Wild Rose Ln  
Long Grove, IL 60047-5280

Dec 3, 2013

021228

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
patricia canterbury

Trina Patton  
1114 Howard St  
Wheaton, IL 60187-3810

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed

021229



grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Cortney Zaret

patricia canterbury  
5525 S Richmond St  
Chicago, IL 60629-2124

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

021239

formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Max Murillo

Cortney Zaret  
3647 N Wilton Ave # 1  
Chicago, IL 60613-4312

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and

021231

widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Lisa Langbein

Max Murillo  
1505 Catalpa St  
Waukegan, IL 60085-5328

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock

021232

of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
E. Shimasaki

Lisa Langbein  
23314 Allen Robert Dr  
Plainfield, IL 60544-7953

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that

021233

well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Patricia Guido

E. Shimasaki  
615 Long Rd  
Glenview, IL 60025-3455

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence

021234

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

STOP protecting oil and big business and START protecting the American people.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
David Berchtold

Patricia Guido  
706 E D St

021236

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Elizabeth Lennon

David Berchtold  
402 N Main Ave  
Minier, IL 61759-7503

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

021237



(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Donald Sanborn

Elizabeth Lennon  
4333 N Kildare Ave  
Chicago, IL 60641-2011

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

021233

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Rachel Goldstein

Donald Sanborn  
617 Illinois St  
Geneva, IL 60134-1349

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at

021239

contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
P M

Rachel Goldstein  
1334 S Indiana Pkwy  
Chicago, IL 60605-2618

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

021240

will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Clarisse Perrette

P M  
Dewitt  
Chicago, IL 60611-7314

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

021241

hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Melodie Huffman

Clarisse Perrette  
999 N Lake Shore Dr  
Chicago, IL 60611-1305

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois

021242

47 Shorewood Dr S  
Danville, IL 61832-1415

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume

021243

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Matthew Genaze

Melodie Huffman

021244

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Randy Kiel

Matthew Genaze  
3901 Clausen Ave  
Western Springs, IL 60558-1226

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

021245



create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Tony Krohn

Randy Kiel  
318 Illinois St  
Crystal Lake, IL 60014-3619

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

021246

the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Joshua Herman

Tony Krohn  
2566 Redlich Ct  
Decatur, IL 62521-4921

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to

021247

away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Carla Womack

Joshua Herman  
2542 Old Glenview Rd  
Wilmette, IL 60091-3117

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under

021248

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Renate Gokl

Carla Womack  
2601 Lynnville Ct  
Lindenwood, IL 61049-9721

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile

021249

begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Annie Wilder

Renate Gokl  
5838 S Stony Island Ave Apt 15e  
Chicago, IL 60637-2028

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

021250

Maureen Verwiel

Annie Wilder  
711b Wind Rivers Dr  
Grafton, IL 62037-1140

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only

021251

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,

021252

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Mylane Bryant

Maureen Verwiel  
2129 N Magnolia Ave  
Chicago, IL 60614-4011

021253



create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

We have enough problems with contaminated ground water caused by farming and farm animals. Please don't allow fracking. Please protect our ground water.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Judith Luckenbach Nelson

Mylane Bryant  
708 South Ave  
Saint Charles, IL 60174-3057

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

021254

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

We have enough problems with contaminated ground water caused by farming and farm animals. Please don't allow fracking. Please protect our ground water.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Judith Luckenbach Nelson

Judith Luckenbach Nelson  
1036 Grove St  
Jacksonville, IL 62650-2338

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to

021255

contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jaelyn Olson

Judith Luckenbach Nelson  
1036 Grove St  
Jacksonville, IL 62650-2338

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

021256

will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
A.M. Volz

Jaelyn Olson  
429 Highland Ave  
West Dundee, IL 60118-1277

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

021257

hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Ruth Peterson

A.M. Volz  
2025 S Indiana Ave Apt 508  
Chicago, IL 60616-4890

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois

021258

611 Normal Road  
DeKalb, IL 60115

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume

021259

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Christine Austin

Ruth Peterson

021260

Carole Pooler  
4942 N Washtenaw Ave  
Chicago, IL 60625-2724

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

021261



In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Carole Pooler

Kristine Runyon  
704 N Blanchard St  
Wheaton, IL 60187-4406

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed

021262

rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Kristine Runyon

Ronald Golland  
1305 Dennis Pl  
Des Plaines, IL 60018-1403

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for

021263

fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Ronald Golland

Ellen Domke  
1301 W Thorndale Ave  
Chicago, IL 60660-3305

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two

021264

respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Ellen Domke

Lance Ofenloch  
4849 W Warner Ave  
Chicago, IL 60641-1815

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

021265

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Lance Ofenloch

Karen Lowrance  
620 N Oak Tree Rd  
Parkersburg, IL 62452-2203

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing

021266

fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Karen Lowrance

Joel Libman  
5455 N Sheridan Rd Apt 2911  
Chicago, IL 60640-1940

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

021267

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Joel Libman

David Rechs  
221 S Maple Ave Apt A  
Oak Park, IL 60302-3095

021268

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

021269



Sincerely,  
David Rechs

Omar Santos  
35 Victoria Lane  
Elgin, IL 60124-4339

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

021270

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Omar Santos

Susan Weber  
795 Riford Rd  
Glen Ellyn, IL 60137-3952

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

021271

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Susan Weber

diane janicki  
2230 Norfolk Ave  
Crete, IL 60417-9685

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one

021272

well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
diane janicki

J Komistra  
414 Washington St  
Galena, IL 61036-2140

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned

021273

wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
J Komistra

hille haker  
931 Sherman Ave  
Evanston, IL 60202-1703

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from

021274

fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
hille haker

Dawn Albanese  
156 Basswood Dr  
Elk Grove Village, IL 60007-1718

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

021275

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Dawn Albanese

Janice Lindquist  
4152 N Harding Ave  
Chicago, IL 60618-1943

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

021276

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Janice Lindquist

021277



Jude Grant  
636 2nd St  
Bethalto, IL 62010-1413

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

021278

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jude Grant

Gladys Bryer  
550 Sheridan Sq  
Evanston, IL 60202-3100

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed

021279

rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Gladys Bryer

Jill Lawless  
1178 Prairie Trl  
Grayslake, IL 60030-3540

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for

021280

fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jill Lawless

J. Brunner  
2639 E Bel Aire Dr  
Arlington Heights, IL 60004-6601

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two

021281

respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
J. Brunner

Alexandria Klug  
3608 W Grace St  
Chicago, IL 60618-4111

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

021282

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Alexandria Klug

John Meeks  
PO Box 408151  
Chicago, IL 60640-0004

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing

021283

fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
John Meeks

Barbara Beam  
1315 W Ash St  
Springfield, IL 62704-3412

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

021284

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Barbara Beam

Misti Schneider  
438 Red Bird St  
Troy, IL 62294-2121

021285



Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

021286

Sincerely,  
Misti Schneider

Loryn Ankeny  
219 S Kaspar Ave  
Arlington Hts, IL 60005-1769

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit-only when the safety of drinking water and lives can be guaranteed

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines. I listened to the forum online, that you had for these rules last week and I was appalled that these rules are so inadequate on keeping our groundwater and drinking water safe and human lives.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois

021287

will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems such as not providing the health professionals with a complete list of all chemicals that will be used in this process, that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Loryn Ankeny

Leonard Olszewski  
3417 East Ave  
Berwyn, IL 60402-3746

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

021288

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Leonard Olszewski

Bonita Staas  
11294 N Henderson Rd  
Orangeville, IL 61060-9676

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

Please!! Have some mercy on us!!! These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits

021289

on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking. NO FRACKING! Please let us invest in solar or even wind power instead. We can do it.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Bonita Staas

Rochelle Brophy  
Rockwell  
Chicago, IL 60647

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to

021290

create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Rochelle Brophy

Jolene Campbell  
709 Truman St  
Moro, IL 62067-1835

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

021291

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jolene Campbell

Jude Wrzesinski  
5120 Rotary Rd  
Cherry Valley, IL 61016-9602

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

021292

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

You are dealing with our air and water. We all have to breathe and drink. Please, please do not poison us!

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jude Wrzesinski

021293



Patrick Dillon  
6483 N Northwest Hwy Apt 302  
Chicago, IL 60631-1487

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an

021294

outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Patrick Dillon

Tina Brenza  
419 N Mulford Rd Ste 5  
Rockford, IL 61107-5198

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and

021295

fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Tina Brenza

Kathy Kras  
6200 Sunset Ave  
La Grange Highlands, IL  
60525-3852

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile

021296

away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Kathy Kras

Dale Janssen  
17101 S Parker Rd  
Homer Glen, IL 60491-6102

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under

021297

the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Dale Janssen

William LaMorte  
7427 W 109th Pl  
Worth, IL 60482-1016

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to

021298

create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
William LaMorte

Karen Pallist  
2200 W Arthur Ave  
Chicago, IL 60645

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

021293

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate.

There are many examples of communities around the country who have been altered because of their proximity to fracking sites. Those changes have not been for the better and many households suffer from toxic chemicals in their water, land and even the air.

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Karen Pallist

Keith Nathan  
362 Meadow Ct  
Vernon Hills, IL 60061-1920

Dec 3, 2013

021330

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

I am totally offended that any of you would allow IL to become a "dead state, whose lands are no longer plentiful; whose waterway's are filled with toxic chemicals; and a state that lets money in the right people's pockets determine the future destruction of The State of Illinois!"

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

021301



In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Keith Nathan

Rosette Bagley  
25w042 Keswick Ln  
Naperville, IL 60540-5910

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed

021302

rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Rosette Bagley

Pypr Hubbard  
529 Shelly St  
Alton, IL 62002-6021

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for

021303

fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Pyre Hubbard

John Cole  
68 Sterling Cir Apt 107  
Wheaton, IL 60189-2123

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two

021304

respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
John Cole

Joyce Jolliff  
2084 Mallard Ln  
Carbondale, IL 62902-0843

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

021335

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Joyce Jolliff

Jeremiah Gerst  
488 Water Tower Rd S  
Manteno, IL 60950-1725

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing

021306

fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jeremiah Gerst

Scott Smith  
29w420 White Oak Dr  
Warrenville, IL 60555-2683

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

021307

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Scott Smith

Rose Martin  
16845 Head Ave  
Hazel Crest, IL 60429-1309

021308

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

021309



Sincerely,  
Rose Martin

joy kaye  
2 Sunshine Ct  
Bloomington, IL 61704-2342

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

021310

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
joy kaye

Howard Holmes  
2962 Shelly Ln  
Aurora, IL 60504-5887

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

021311

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Howard Holmes

John Pearson  
3913 W 82nd St  
Chicago, IL 60652-2909

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one

021312

well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
John Pearson

pat matz  
302 Roger Rd  
Darien, IL 60561-3971

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned

021313

wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
pat matz

carolyn massey  
632 1/2 N 6th St  
Quincy, IL 62301-2302

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from

021314

fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
carolyn massey

Marianne Schenker  
Sheridan rd  
Chicago, IL 60657

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

021315

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Marianne Schenker

Marianne Schenker  
Sheridan rd  
Chicago, IL 60657

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

021316

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, what we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Marianne Schenker

021317



Daniel Puetz  
990 N Cove Dr  
Palatine, IL 60067-1900

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

021318

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Daniel Puetz

Sandi Redman  
5340 Washington St  
Skokie, IL 60077-2456

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed

021319

rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Sandi Redman

Rosalie Johnson  
19n710 Lundstrom Ln  
Dundee, IL 60118-9207

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for

021320

fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Rosalie Johnson

Bob Konieczka  
1584 Millstone Ln  
Gurnee, IL 60031-5681

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two

021321

respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Bob Konieczka

Daniel Baker  
4121 Jody Ct  
Rolling Meadows, IL 60008-2360

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

021322

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Daniel Baker

Becky Dees-McMahon  
PO Box 643  
Sheffield, IL 61361-0643

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing

021323

fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Becky Dees-McMahon

Ashley Given  
16 Sedgwick Rd  
Oswego, IL 60543-8907

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

021324

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Ashley Given

Ellen Credille Credille  
1218 W North Shore Ave  
Chicago, IL 60626-5602

021325



Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

021326

Sincerely,  
Ellen Credille Credille

Jim O'Neil  
14721 N Court 6  
Effingham, IL 62401-7646

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

021327

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jim O'Neil

Joan Whitehead  
14109 Moore Rd  
Marion, IL 62959-6837

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

021328

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Joan Whitehead

David Domal  
3034 E Stone Creek Blvd  
Urbana, IL 61802-9447

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one

021329

well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
David Domal

Gretel Hengst  
3654 15th Avenue Ct  
Moline, IL 61265-3456

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned

021330

wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Gretel Hengst

Brady Lavin  
1340 W Touhy Ave  
Chicago, IL 60626-2645

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from

021331

fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Brady Lavin

Rick Simkin  
4517 N Mozart St  
Chicago, IL 60625-3816

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

021332

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

Contaminated water threatens the health and safety of the people of Illinois. It also threatens the salability of products from Illinois: crops grown with carcinogenic water, animals raised with radioactive water, products manufactured with carcinogens and radioactive materials will be rejected by customers around the world, and will cause people around the world to view Illinois products with suspicion and disfavor.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Rick Simkin

021333



christy monroe  
332 Berry Dr  
Naperville, IL 60540-5102

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

021334

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
christy monroe

S Nolan  
1076 Il Route 10  
Monticello, IL 61856-8041

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed

021335

rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
S Nolan

ANDRA ADDIS  
6041 N Talman Ave  
Chicago, IL 60659-4033

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for

021336

fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
ANDRA ADDIS

Dale Grupe  
1706 Idlewild Ln  
Homewood, IL 60430-3909

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two

021337

respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Dale Grupe

Joshua Shirar  
646 E Pennsylvania Dr Apt 6  
Palatine, IL 60074-1974

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

021338

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Joshua Shirar

Brittanny Norton  
1015 Madison St  
Evanston, IL 60202-3457

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing

021339

fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Brittanny Norton

Holly Schleizer  
411 Washington St  
Glenview, IL 60025-5054

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

021340

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Holly Schleizer

karen stancil  
5n210 Glen Ellyn Rd  
Bloomington, IL 60108-1666

021341



Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

021342

Sincerely,  
karen stancil

Tymue Upshire  
1255 S Michigan Ave  
Chicago, IL 60605-3286

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

021343

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Tymue Upshire

Jeffrey Gahrts  
520 Longfellow Ave  
Glen Ellyn, IL 60137-4715

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

021344

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jeffrey Gahrts

Brian Angel  
15100 S 94th Ave  
Orland Park, IL 60462-3865

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one

021345

well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Brian Angel

Victoria Cummings  
1608 Flower St  
Mchenry, IL 60050-3722

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned

021346

wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Victoria Cummings

Neil Kijek  
201 W Parker St  
Gardner, IL 60424-6218

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from

021347

fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Neil Kijek

Mary Derbick-Johnson  
1930 N Harlem Ave  
Elmwood Park, IL 60707-3742

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

021348

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Mary Derbick-Johnson

Micheal Cwynar  
2106 Washington Ave  
Wilmette, IL 60091-2373

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

021349



Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Micheal Cwynar

021350

Mary Jo Osowski  
315 N Stone Ave  
La Grange Park, IL 60526-1818

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

021351

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Mary Jo Osowski

Vicki Gerberich  
4031 Woodland Ave  
Western Springs, IL 60558-1123

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed

021352

rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Vicki Gerberich

David Llewellyn  
3604 Lawrence Dr  
Naperville, IL 60564-4111

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for

021353

fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
David Llewellyn

Bob Jorgensen  
212 Sunnybrook Dr  
East Peoria, IL 61611-3462

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two

021354

respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Bob Jorgensen

Michelle McCloskey  
5352 W Sunnyside Ave  
Chicago, IL 60630-3768

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

021355

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Michelle McCloskey

Rona omer  
6539 N Kolmar Ave  
Lincolnwood, IL 60712-3440

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing

021356

fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Rona omer

Jane Wand  
3800 Columbus Rd  
Quincy, IL 62305-4763

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

021357



I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jane Wand

Andrew Locatelli  
4209 N Kedvale Ave  
Chicago, IL 60641-2219

021358

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

021359

Sincerely,  
Andrew Locatelli

Betty Morefield  
2116 W Meadow Ln  
Carbondale, IL 62901-2047

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

021360

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Betty Morefield

Dan Novak  
551 W Surf St  
Chicago, IL 60657-6020

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

021361

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Dan Novak

Matthew Williams  
1715 W Estes Ave  
Chicago, IL 60626-2431

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one

021362

well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Matthew Williams

Kristin Coe-West  
111 Tenney St  
Kewanee, IL 61443-3447

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned

021363

wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Kristin Coe-West

Mary Kage  
4116 N Kilbourn Ave # 2  
Chicago, IL 60641-1913

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from

021364

fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Mary Kage

patrick pierce  
4015 Boone Creek Cir  
Mchenry, IL 60050-3987

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

021365



These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
patrick pierce

Michael Dieterle  
8705 S Rood Rd  
Kingston, IL 60145-8281

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

021366

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Michael Dieterle

021367

John O'Flaherty  
2323 W Pershing Rd Apt 409  
Chicago, IL 60609-2234

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

021368

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
John O'Flaherty

Susan Spengler  
620 E Kenilworth Ave  
Palatine, IL 60074-6337

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed

021369

rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

Although I do not live anywhere near the site, I am very concerned about the water supply in this state and country. Anything, increased energy or not, is more important than having clean air to breathe and water to drink.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Susan Spengler

Jennifer Cunningham  
504 N Elmwood Dr  
Aurora, IL 60506-2810

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously

021379

inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jennifer Cunningham

MARIANNE UPP  
6326 N Hermitage Ave  
Chicago, IL 60660-1106

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits,

021371

when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
MARIANNE UPP

Phyllis Jenkins  
150 E Foch St  
Carlinville, IL 62626-3699

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or

021372

abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Phyllis Jenkins

Paulette Dubetz  
8138 W Addison St  
Chicago, IL 60634-2010

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from

021373



hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Paulette Dubetz

Rick Russo  
5555 N Sheridan Rd  
Chicago, IL 60640-1601

Dec 3, 2013

021374

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

**FRACK NO! In Illinois!**

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Rick Russo

021375

Patricia Pruitt  
1032 Randolph St  
Oak Park, IL 60302-3406

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an

021376

outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Patricia Pruitt

Steven Hall  
7706 La Harve Dr  
Rockford, IL 61103-7725

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and

021377

fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Steven Hall

Rev. Jeff Zimmerman  
637 Elder Ln  
Deerfield, IL 60015-3146

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: More Controls on Fracking Permits

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

021378

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Rev. Jeff Zimmerman

Lynne Rooney-Katsma  
319 Millstream Ln  
Oswego, IL 60543-8279

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits

021379

on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Lynne Rooney-Katsma

Donna Estes  
689A Co. Rd. 700N  
Toledo, IL 62468

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can

021380

flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Donna Estes

Jason Blumstein  
4936 N Winchester Ave  
Chicago, IL 60640-3310

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

021381



These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jason Blumstein

Christopher Bakker  
4541 N Wolcott Ave Unit B  
Chicago, IL 60640-5253

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Please Not One Fracking Permit

021382

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

Please please no fracking. It's so wrong on so many levels, literally.  
I'll support you 100% against any business pressure to issue permits.  
Please please

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Christopher Bakker

021383

James Lohmeier  
10425 S Sawyer Ave  
Chicago, IL 60655-2413

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an

021384

outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
James Lohmeier

June Zand  
1637 Dublin Ct  
Inverness, IL 60067-4726

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and

021385

fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
June Zand

Patrick McMurray  
39310 N Meadow Ln  
Wadsworth, IL 60083-9230

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Criminally insane!

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

The fact that there are any laws in affect that allow forthwith devastation of our water supply is purposturous. By allowing this you have set out to be amongst those that we hear about in tales of genocide. This is murder plane and simple. If one person dies from contaminated water it is murder plane and simple. Please reconsider your actions.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Patrick McMurray

Christine Austin  
606 W Saluki Dr  
Marion, IL 62959

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

021386

formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
William Slowinski

Jerry Sonnefeldt  
7041 N Ozanam Ave  
Chicago, IL 60631-1062

Dec 5, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and

021387

grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jerry Sonnefeldt

Laurie Schaefer  
736 S Stuart Ln  
Palatine, IL 60067-6736

Dec 5, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

021388

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Laurie Schaefer

Alice Macy  
88 Brookside Dr  
Elgin, IL 60123-4824

Dec 5, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed

021389



rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Alice Macy

Kari Sealund  
304 S Wilshire Ln  
Arlington Heights, IL 60004-6741

Dec 5, 2013

021390

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Kari Sealund

021391

Peter Borchard  
1420 E Lowden Ln  
Mt Prospect, IL 60056-2608

Dec 5, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an

021392

outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Peter Borchard

Margaret Crawford  
2314 Timber Ridge Rd  
Saint Jacob, IL 62281-1050

Dec 5, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and

021393

fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Margaret Crawford

Alexis Fry  
5105 W Dartmoor Dr  
Mchenry, IL 60050-5138

Dec 5, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

021394

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Alexis Fry

Maria D. Castano  
3307 W Wellington Ave  
Chicago, IL 60618-6813

Dec 5, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits

021395

on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Maria D. Castano

021396

Jo Beth Harrison  
2472 W Berteau Ave # 2  
Chicago, IL 60618-2804

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for

021397



fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jo Beth Harrison

Robert Linzmeier  
950 E Wilmette Rd  
Palatine, IL 60074-6812

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater,

our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-

volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Robert Linzmeier'

Konrad Cieslikowski  
5317 N Delphia Ave  
Chicago, IL 60656-4615

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock

021400

formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Konrad Cieslikowski

Robert Fricke  
3913 W 124th Pl Apt 1e # 1e  
Alsip, IL 60803-1434

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

021401

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only

begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Robert Fricke

Steve Schueth  
421 W Barry Ave  
Chicago, IL 60657-5545

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned

021403

wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Steve Schueth

S. Israil  
3455 Rain  
Skokie, IL 60076

Dec 3, 2013

021404

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it



back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
S. Israil

Kori Mill  
1140 W Pratt Blvd Apt 2e  
Chicago, IL 60626-4413

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can

021406

flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Kori Mill

Greg Witt

021407

1506 Lake Ave  
Wilmette, IL 60091-1638

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

021408

contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Greg Witt

Lindsey Heffner  
5 Country View Dr  
Belleville, IL 62221-2561

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing

021409

fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

021410

Sincerely,  
Lindsey Heffner

Dustin Smedley  
6347 E Flora St  
Kankakee, IL 60901-7566

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously

021411

inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Dustin Smedley

Bridget Dawson  
1314 Evergreen Ct  
Glenview, IL 60025-3177

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules.

021412

The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an



outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Bridget Dawson

Justin Hoffman  
2524 W Wilson Ave  
Chicago, IL 60625-3026

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under

021414

the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Justin Hoffman

Sarah Ellis  
21227 Somerset St  
Shorewood, IL 60404-2522

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

021415

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed

021416

rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Sarah Ellis

Mary Barbezat  
353 N Liberty St  
Elgin, IL 60120-4207

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

021417

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Mary Barbezat

John Homola  
1308 Knollwood Cir

021418

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that

widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
John Homola

Chloe Schofield  
1155 Green Bay Rd  
Glencoe, IL 60022-1145

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

- 021420

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.



Sincerely,  
Chloe Schofield

Nancy Wilkinson  
207 N Clay St Apt 1  
Mount Carroll, IL  
61053-1140

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously

021422

inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Nancy Wilkinson

Janice Polito  
24 Crestwood Dr  
Streamwood, IL 60107-1606

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules.

021423

The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an

outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Janice Polito

Aana Vigen  
6424 N Glenwood Ave  
Chicago, IL 60626-6301

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under

021425

the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Aana Vigen

Paul Williams  
4825 W Hutchinson St  
Chicago, IL 60641-1610

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

021426

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed

021427

rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Paul Williams

Mitch Romanowski  
322 W Glade Rd  
Palatine, IL 60067-6832

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

021428

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Mitch Romanowski

young klessig  
3740 N Claremont Ave

021429



Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that

widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
young klessig

Dorothy Battle  
6088 Brookline Ct  
Lisle, IL 60532-2863

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

021431

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Dorothy Battle

Kerry Kuhn  
419 Springsouth Rd  
Schaumburg, IL 60193-3547

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking".

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one

021433

well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Kerry Kuhn

Vimala Menon  
26w153 Blackhawk Dr  
Wheaton, IL 60189-5903

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources

021434

and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Vimala Menon

Donna Janovsky  
333 E Ontario St  
Chicago, IL 60611-4804

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits

021436

on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Donna Janovsky

Judy Mayer  
815 17th St  
Rock Island, IL 61201-2561

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

021437



Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois

021438

will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Judy Mayer

Jenny Campbell  
3716 W Dickens Ave  
Chicago, IL 60647-3409

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

021439

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jenny Campbell

Patricia Barth  
9479 Bay Colony Dr  
Des Plaines, IL 60016-3606

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating

021441

new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Patricia Barth

Debra Weninger  
2460 W Pensacola Ave  
Chicago, IL 60618-1608

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

021442

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,

021443

Debra Weninger

Charles Bialeschki  
2604 Brownfield Rd  
Urbana, IL 61802-7655

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile

021444

away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Charles Bialeschki

Zach Weller  
4838 W Patterson Ave  
Chicago, IL 60641-3554

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

021445



These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Zach Weller

Jenna Streeter  
420 E Waterside Dr  
Chicago, IL 60601-8001

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well

021447

(measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jenna Streeter

Monique Wallace  
608 S 19th St  
Herrin, IL 62948-2306

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

021448

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence

of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Monique Wallace

Theresa Ebel  
Huntington Ct  
Orland Park, IL 60462

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at

021450

(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Theresa Ebel

Pamela Kersting  
176 Farm Gate Ln  
Bolingbrook, IL 60440-1501

021451

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Pamela Kersting

John Koperczak  
11233 S Nagle Ave  
Worth, IL 60482-1924

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or

021453



abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
John Koperczak

021454

Edlin Brewer  
339 W Barry Ave Apt 9c  
Chicago, IL 60657-5602

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

.. 021455

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Edlin Brewer

Sanford Wilder  
711 Wind Rivers Dr  
Grafton, IL 62037-1140

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

021456

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for

high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Sanford Wilder

Katie Johnson  
1107 E Main St  
Urbana, IL 61802-2827

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two

021458

respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Katie Johnson

K. Dipinto  
10 N Lake St  
Grayslake, IL 60030-3635

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: protect IL

021459

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

021460

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
K. Dipinto

Renaë Kerrigan  
1420 E Elmhurst Ave  
Peoria, IL 61603-1666

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and

021461



grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Renaë Kerrigan

Mary Luecke  
3330 Lake St  
Evanston, IL 60203-1919

021462

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

021463

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Mary Luecke

Nicola Davies  
Quenchwell  
Truro, IL 61234

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from

021464

fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Nicola Davies

021465

Kimberly Wood  
545 N Stewart Ave  
Lombard, IL 60148-1723

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

021466

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Kimberly Wood

Bruce Frazin  
327 N Catherine Ave  
La Grange Park, IL  
60526-2004

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

021467

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for

821468

high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Bruce Frazin

Hillary Colby  
1479 Sedona Ave  
Aurora, IL 60504-3700

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two

021469



respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Hillary Colby

Debra Diana  
207 Regency Dr  
Bloomington, IL  
60108-2168

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

021470

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence

021471

of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Debra Diana

shane conger  
416 S Santa Fe Ave  
Princeville, IL 61559-9200

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at

021472

(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
shane conger

fred glickstein  
111  
winnetka, IL 60093

021473

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

021474

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
fred glickstein

Denise Carrington  
3686 main st  
Mannaheim, IL 61234

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or

021475

abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Denise Carrington

021476

Olga Abella  
12129 N 675th St  
Robinson, IL 62454-4227

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

021477



Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Olga Abella

Michael Mikolajczyk  
247 Terrance Dr  
Naperville, IL 60565-1255

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

021478

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for

high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Michael Mikolajczyk

Kelvin Limbrick  
1603 Valley Rd  
Champaign, IL 61820-7157

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two

021480

respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Kelvin Limbrick

Denise Pittluck  
3713 Sonoma Cir  
Lake IN The Hills, IL  
60156-6742

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

021481

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence

of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Denise Pittluck

Laura Haldeman  
Burr Rd.  
Channahon, IL 60410

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at

021483

(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Laura Haldeman

Chris Simpson  
1420 Acorn Ave  
Downers Grove, IL  
60515-1104

021484

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating

021485



new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Chris Simpson

Kristi Lindfors  
899 Sarah St  
Sandwich, IL 60548-9223

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

021486

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,

021487

Kristi Lindfors

Karen Wilson  
5761 S Blackstone Ave  
Chicago, IL 60637-1823

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile

021488

away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Karen Wilson

Denise Carrera  
555 N Mount Prospect Rd  
Des Plaines, IL 60016-2028

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

021489

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Denise Carrera

Ricky Estrada  
2437 N Talman Ave  
Chicago, IL 60647-1809

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well

021491

(measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Ricky Estrada

Peggy Schramm  
1335 N Jackson St  
Waukegan, IL 60085-1858

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

021492

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence

021493



of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Peggy Schramm

Linda Bridges  
201 S Glen Oak Dr  
Athens, IL 62613-9701

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at

021494

(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Linda Bridges

Tom Merz  
Illinois  
Illinois, IL 60123-7558

021495

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

021496

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Tom Merz

Lynn Morris  
233 E Wacker Dr Apt 3504  
Chicago, IL 60601-5114

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or

021497

abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

**FRACKING IS DANGEROUS DIRTY AND RUINS THE EARTH. IT HURTS FAMILIES, ANIMALS AND WILDLIFE IN GENERAL. STOP THE GREEDY FRACKERS!!!**

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can

guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Lynn Morris

laurie czizik  
6700 S Brainard Ave  
Countryside, IL 60525-4671

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

021499

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
laurie czizik

Mary Fishman  
11757 S Bell Ave  
Chicago, IL 60643-4740

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

021500

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.



There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Mary Fishman

Allyson Frink  
26637 E 1800 North Rd  
Cooksville, IL 61730-7501

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits,

021502

when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Allyson Frink

David Moyer  
1433 Henry Pl  
Waukegan, IL 60085-1918

Dec 3, 2013

021503

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and

021504

fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

It is truly amazing just how much the proposed new rules condemn the well-being of the people in favor of corporate profits. They are utterly abysmal and cannot be approved as they are currently written. They should be thrown out.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
David Moyer

Deborah Marak  
2010 N Walnut Ave  
Arlington Heights, IL  
60004-3000

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater,

021505

our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-

volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Deborah Marak

K Brinkmann  
Michigan Ave  
Urbana, IL 61801

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock

021507

formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
K Brinkmann

JANUSZ MAKA  
5408 N Moody Ave  
Chicago, IL 60630-1051

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

021508

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only



begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
JANUSZ MAKA

Susan Francis  
2200 Cherry Ln Apt 116  
Lisle, IL 60532-1109

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

I am actually stunned at your proposed rules, as I expected far, far better from Illinois, especially in light of all that has happened in Ohio and Pennsylvania. Do we learn nothing?!?! These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at

021510

(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Susan Francis

Melissa Suzik  
534 N Rumble Ln  
Addison, IL 60101-1721

021511

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

021512

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Melissa Suzik

J. M.  
167 N Humphrey Ave  
Oak Park, IL 60302-2513

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

PLEASE SAY NO!

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

021513

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
J. M.

Kim Holeton  
27126 Five Points Road  
Sycamore, IL 60178-9036

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one

021515

well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Kim Holeton

Dawn Austin  
215 Siwiha Dr  
Grayslake, IL 60030-1443

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources

021516

and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.



In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Dawn Austin

Tim Yoder  
44 Elm Ave  
Highwood, IL 60040-1930

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits

021518

on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Tim Yoder

Kristin Mathis  
12606 Highland Ave  
Blue Island, IL 60406-1942

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

021519

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois

021520

will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Kristin Mathis

John Watson  
419 Custer Ave  
Evanston, IL 60202-5606

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

021521

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
John Watson

Mark Goldman  
840 Krystal Ln  
New Lenox, IL 60451-2696

021522

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating

021523

new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Mark Goldman

Joseph Szuszwalak, Jr.  
1468 Newcastle Ln  
Bartlett, IL 60103-8931

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

021524

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,

021525



Joseph Szuszwalak, Jr.

Cheryl Ivey  
W Margate  
Chicago, IL 60640-3083

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile

021526

away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Cheryl Ivey

sharon white  
847 11th Ave  
Silvis, IL 61282

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

021527

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
sharon white

Whitney Graves  
211 W Northgate Rd  
Peoria, IL 61614-2144

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well

021529

(measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Whitney Graves

Katherine Carson  
N Wells St  
Chicago, IL 60614-5807

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

021533

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence

of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Katherine Carson

Russ Wagner  
47 Briar Ln  
Geneva, IL 60134-2413

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at

021532

(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Russ Wagner

Robert Krueger  
6538 N Newgard Ave  
Chicago, IL 60626-5010

021533



Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

021534

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Robert Krueger

Richard Smith  
2849 Atlantic St  
Franklin Park, IL 60131-2601

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or

021535

abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Richard Smith

021536

Amalie Callahan  
1522 36th St  
Rock Island, IL 61201-3051

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

021537

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Amalie Callahan

Liz Reid  
1677 W Olive Ave  
Chicago, IL 60660-4102

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

021538

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for

high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Liz Reid

carol hanson  
2460 Swan Pond Rd  
Dongola, IL 62926-2616

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two

021540

respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
carol hanson

Sherry Woodward  
2083 Lookout Dr  
Pingree Grove, IL  
60140-2033

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

021541



Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence

of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Sherry Woodward

LaToya Belt  
1634 W Columbia Ave Apt  
3n  
Chicago, IL 60626-4166

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

. 021543

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
LaToya Belt

David Atwood  
10641 S Hale Ave  
Chicago, IL 60643-2700

021544

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating

021545

new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking. That is what I demand. You are charged with protecting our natural resources. You are not charged with allowing our environment to be despoiled. We, the People owe no allegiance to the extraction industries.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
David Atwood

Amy Payleitner  
33261 N Lake Shore Dr  
Grayslake, IL 60030-1701

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater,

021546

our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-

volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Amy Payleitner

Liz Clark  
3028 N Halsted St  
Chicago, IL 60657-5197

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock

021548

formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Liz Clark

Kathleen Williams  
177th St  
Tinley Park, IL 60477

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

021549



I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only

begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Kathleen Williams

Reva Frankel  
6234 N Central Park Ave  
Chicago, IL 60659-2202

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned

021551

wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Reva Frankel

Beatriz Picallo  
13034 W Choctaw Trl  
Homer Glen, IL 60491-8607

Dec 3, 2013

021552

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it

021553

back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Beatriz Picallo

Elizabeth Rude  
1140 Briarwood Ln  
Northbrook, IL 60062-3507

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can

021554

flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Elizabeth Rude

Lori Fagan

021555

33 Esther St  
Crystal Lake, IL 60014-6112

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

021556

contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Lori Fagan

Alan Ehrenberg  
4539 W Deming Pl  
Chicago, IL 60639-1907

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing

021557



fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

021553

Sincerely,  
Alan Ehrenberg

patricia hatzis  
26743 S Greenbriar Dr  
Monee, IL 60449-9258

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously

021559

inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
patricia hatzis

Marty Brown  
23 N Harvard Ave  
Villa Park, IL 60181-2328

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules.

021060

The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an

outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Marty Brown

Judy Davy  
717 Home Ave  
Oak Park, IL 60304-1013

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under

021562

the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Judy Davy

Jonathon Zammit  
7659 N Bosworth Ave  
Chicago, IL 60626-6116

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

021563

Subject: Fracking Will Ruin IL Water!

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed

021564

rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jonathon Zammit

Craig Weitzmon  
1268 Brookline Ct  
Naperville, IL 60563-3434

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

021565



Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Craig Weitzmon

Gregory Bereiter  
2238 Rockefeller Dr

021566

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that

widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Gregory Bereiter

Kevin Sheridan  
3516 N Paulina St  
Chicago, IL 60657-1227

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

021568

Richard Winship  
1144 Wesley Ave  
Evanston, IL 60202-1163

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for

021569

fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Richard Winship

Tim Lapetino  
1914 W Montrose Ave Apt 3  
Chicago, IL 60613-1057

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater,

021570

our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-

volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Tim Lapetino

Sergio Rivera  
3319 N Karlov Ave Apt 3w  
Chicago, IL 60641-4611

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock

021572

formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Sergio Rivera

Tina Montalto  
1n561 Creekside Ct  
Lombard, IL 60148-1496

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

021573



I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only

begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Tina Montalto

Sheri Gronert  
Main  
Antioch, IL 60002

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned

021575

wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Sheri Gronert

Dan Owen  
669 Union Hill Rd  
Carbondale, IL 62903-8215

Dec 3, 2013

021576

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it

021577

back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Dan Owen

John McGarry  
10615 Princess Ave  
Chicago Ridge, IL  
60415-1808

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to

021578

create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
John McGarry

021579

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Kevin Sheridan

Jane Drews  
506 N Crestwood Ave  
Mchenry, IL 60051-7532

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

It's your duty to protect the citizens of Illinois. Clean, uncontaminated water is essential to life. Everyone depends on it. Special interests should have no bearing on your responsibility to assure us that our water will not be jeopardized.

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well

021581



(measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jane Drews

Thomas Bowler  
4015 N Rockwell St  
Chicago, IL 60618-3720

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

021582

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence

021583

of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Thomas Bowler

Lawrence Mast  
549 S Wynbrooke Rd  
Romeoville, IL 60446-5321

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (a)(6) and at

021584

(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Lawrence Mast

Robin Weaver  
2582 Sedona Dr  
Normal, IL 61761-5478

021585

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

021588

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Robin Weaver

Brik Blackford  
808 N Jefferson Ave  
Dixon, IL 61021-1652

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or

021587

abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Brik Blackford

021588

Iris Rudnick  
5836 N Artesian Ave  
Chicago, IL 60659-5033

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

021589



Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Iris Rudnick

Bonnie Carter  
2342 N Spaulding Ave Apt  
205  
Chicago, IL 60647-2577

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

021590

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Bonnie Carter

Maureen Doyle  
1628 S Prospect St  
Wheaton, IL 60189-7756

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well

021592

(measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Maureen Doyle

Gina Femali  
681 S Jade Ln  
Round Lake, IL 60073-8164

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

021593

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence

021594

of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Gina Femali

Rocky jette  
5609 Fairview Ave  
Downers Grove, IL  
60516-1521

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

021595

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

No freaking fracking!!

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Rocky jette

Carlye Owens

021596

3954 W Dakin St  
Chicago, IL 60618-3136

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking".

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

021597



contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Carlye Owens

Marc Maschino  
333 W Hubbard Sy  
Chicago, IL 60654

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing

021593

fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Marc Maschino

Mark Marcheski  
35w524 Parsons Rd  
Dundee, IL 60118-9275

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously

021600

inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Mark Marcheski

Bob Watkins  
7064 W Main St  
Niles, IL 60714-2255

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules.

021601

The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an

outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Bob Watkins

Bob Watkins  
7064 W Main St  
Niles, IL 60714-2255

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under

021603

the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Bob Watkins

Zach Barr  
305 W Bissell St  
Bloomington, IL 61701-6603

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

021604

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed

021605



rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Zach Barr

Rowena Langston  
prairie ave  
Chicago, IL 60619

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

021606

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Rowena Langston

Mary Hughes  
1102 S. Jackson

021607

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that

widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Mary Hughes

Jaime Walton  
123 S Green St  
Chicago, IL 60607-3491

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

021609

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jaime Walton

Genevra Gallo-Bayiates  
1503 Madison St  
Evanston, IL 60202-2033

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

Fracking is neither safe nor sustainable. It's a short-term fix with very dire and lasting consequences. These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock

021611

formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Genevra Gallo-Bayiates

Mardene Costa  
4115 Bennett Ave  
Gurnee, IL 60031-3003

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

021612

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only



begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Mardene Costa

Dawn O'Rourke  
3827 N Fremont St  
Chicago, IL 60613-3020

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned

021614

wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Dawn O'Rourke

David Fuchs  
1560 N Sandburg Ter Apt  
2902  
Chicago, IL 60610-7726

Dec 3, 2013

021615

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and

021616

fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
David Fuchs

Robert Handelsman  
2643 Central Park Ave  
Evanston, IL 60201-1170

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to

021617

create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Robert Handelsman

021613

Marilea White  
5 Lake Ridge Ct  
Bloomington, IL 61701-1475

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for

021619

fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

Look at all the trouble other states, such as Pennsylvania, have had from fracking. Look at our drought a couple summers ago. There is no way to protect our environment from all the dangers of fracking. The only answer is to ban it altogether. Can you guarantee NO damage to our environment if fracking is allowed?

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Marilea White

Susan Devine  
1350 W Grand Ave  
Chicago, IL 60642-6406

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

621620

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only



begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Susan Devine

Ann Blanchard  
3115 Town Square Dr  
Rolling Meadows, IL  
60008-2686

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

I don't want our Illinois groundwater contaminated with fracking's waste materials!!

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow. I don't want to be able to light my water on fire with a match!!

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned

021622

wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Ann Blanchard

Janet Kane  
1024 Shari Ln  
Libertyville, IL 60048-2531

Dec 3, 2013

021623

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it

021624

back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Janet Kane

Mary Jaeger-Voss  
820 Green St  
Henry, IL 61537-1050

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can

021625

flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Mary Jaeger-Voss

Jill Kellogg

021626

4104 N. Elston Ave.  
Chicago, IL 60618

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

021627

contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jill Kellogg

David Gaumer  
123 Main Street  
Champaign, IL 61821

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing

021628

fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

Let us protect Illinois citizens instead of big business!!!

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can



guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
David Gaumer

Gary Kleppe  
363 N Lincoln Ave  
Villa Park, IL 60181-1902

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

021630

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Gary Kleppe

Todd Hartman  
1603 Imperial Dr  
Glenview, IL 60026-1543

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

021631

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Todd Hartman

jennifer Baron  
4239 N Keystone Ave Apt 4n  
Chicago, IL 60641-5082

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits,

021633

when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
jennifer Baron

Frank Raguso  
11s088 West St  
Naperville, IL 60565-5529

Dec 3, 2013

021634

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and

021635

fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Frank Raguso

Kathleen Gates  
1190 Twisted Oak Ln  
Buffalo Grove, IL  
60089-1678

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from

021636

fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Kathleen Gates

021637



Eldon Grossman  
2819 N Spaulding Ave  
Chicago, IL 60618-7516

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

021633

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Eldon Grossman

Cary Oakwood  
9 Bernay Court  
Oakwood Hills, IL 60013

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can

guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Cary Oakwood

Megan Hoff  
2250 N Sheffield Ave  
Chicago, IL 60614-3673

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

021641

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Megan Hoff

Victoria Fuller  
1949 W Wabansia Ave  
Chicago, IL 60622-1343

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

021642

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Victoria Fuller

BOB HAGELE  
222 N Columbus Dr  
Chicago, IL 60601-7810

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits,

021644

when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
BOB HAGELE

Bradley Daniels  
901 S Mattis Ave  
Champaign, IL 61821-4336

Dec 3, 2013

021645



Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and

021646

fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Bradley Daniels

Mark Miller  
55 Scottie Lane Dr  
Goreville, IL 62939-2146

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases, and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to

021647

create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,840 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Mark Miller

Devon Fuson

021648

11450 Old Highway 50  
Flora, IL 62839-3431

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

021649

contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Devon Fuson

Terrie Stewart  
446 Ridgewood Ln  
Buffalo Grove, IL  
60089-1856

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater,

021659

our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-

volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Terrie Stewart

Margaret Nagel  
631 Hinman Ave Apt 1a  
Evanston, IL 60202-2514

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules dare a disgrace to those who approved them, and a t raggedy for the state of Illinois. They must have been written in profound ignorance of the facts, or with a profound disregard for anyone except industry lobbyists. They will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines. By the way, the NRDC magazine On Earth shows categorically that the amount of oil/gas saved through energy efficiency outweighs the amount extracted by a greedy and irresponsible, to-hell-wiith-the-future industry.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits,

021652

when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Margaret Nagel

Jeff Schneider  
420 Dank Street  
Chicago, IL 60622

Dec 3, 2013

021653



Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and

021654

fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Jeff Schneider

Robert Hamilton  
6525 N Sheridan Rd  
Chicago, IL 60626-5761

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to

021655

create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Robert Hamilton

Michael Fuchs  
562 Bovidae Cir  
Naperville, IL 60565-6184

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

It is critical that your organization take as its highest priority the safety and preservation of our natural resources. You are stewards of our environment, and must demand scientific proof that industrial processes will protect that natural environment.

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously

inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Michael Fuchs

Gabriella Brown  
7715 S South Shore Dr Apt  
405  
Chicago, IL 60649-4465

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

021658

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only

begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Gabriella Brown

Mary Baechle  
60 Timber Ter  
Cary, IL 60013-1555

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned

021660

wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Mary Baechle

Joseph Grant  
1433 W Summerdale Ave  
Chicago, IL 60640-2129

Dec 3, 2013

021661



Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it

021662

back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Joseph Grant

Peter Gunther  
2318 W Sunnyside Ave # 3  
Chicago, IL 60625-2114

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can

021663

flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Peter Gunther

Sarah-Bess Dworin

021664

1421 Sherman Ave  
Evanston, IL 60201-4460

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

021665

contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Sarah-Bess Dworin

K Castelluccio  
0s472 Circle Dr  
West Chicago, IL  
60185-3718

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater,

021666

our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-

volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
K Castelluccio

George Carlson  
271 Brighton Rd  
Elk Grove Village, IL  
60007-3423

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

021668

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
George Carlson

Roger Kushla  
1018 Maple Ave  
Evanston, IL 60202-1238

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

021669



I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

Fracking will make strip mining look like enlightened conservation!

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence

of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Roger Kushla

Ron Gary  
7455 N Greenview Ave Apt  
215  
Chicago, IL 60626-1741

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

021671

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Ron Gary

Tim Hoyt  
644 W Arlington Pl  
Chicago, IL 60614-6330

021672

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating

021673

new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
Tim Hoyt

Mark Fry  
322 S Illinois Ave  
Villa Park, IL 60181-2922

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

021674

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,

021675

Mark Fry

John Talbot  
361 Wedgewood Cir  
Romeoville, IL 60446-5088

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile

021676

away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,  
John Talbot

Douglas Campbell  
330 N Highland Ave  
Rockford, IL 61107-4302

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking";

621677